

On the recursion relation of Motzkin numbers of higher rank

Matthias Schork
Alexanderstr. 76
60489 Frankfurt, Germany
mschork@member.ams.org

Submitted: May 20, 2006; Accepted: January 23, 2007; Published: March 13, 2007

Abstract

It is proposed that finding the recursion relation and generating function for the (colored) Motzkin numbers of higher rank introduced recently is an interesting problem.

1 Introduction

The classical Motzkin numbers count the numbers of Motzkin paths (and are also related to many other combinatorial objects [1]). Let us recall the definition of Motzkin paths. We consider in the Cartesian plane $\mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}$ those lattice paths starting from $(0, 0)$ that use the steps $\{U, L, D\}$, where $U = (1, 1)$ is an up-step, $L = (1, 0)$ a level-step and $D = (1, -1)$ a down-step. Let $M(n, k)$ denote the set of paths beginning in $(0, 0)$ and ending in (n, k) that never go below the x -axis. Paths in $M(n, 0)$ are called *Motzkin paths* and $m_n := |M(n, 0)|$ is called *n -th Motzkin number*. The Motzkin numbers satisfy the recursion relation [2]

$$(n + 2)m_n = (2n + 1)m_{n-1} + 3(n - 1)m_{n-2} \quad (1)$$

and have the generating function [1]

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} m_n x^n = \frac{1 - x - \sqrt{1 - 2x - 3x^2}}{2x^2}. \quad (2)$$

Those Motzkin paths which have no level-steps are called *Dyck paths* and are enumerated by Catalan numbers [1]. In recent times the above situation has been generalized by introducing colorings of the paths. For example, the *k -colored Motzkin paths* have horizontal steps colored by k colors (see [3, 4] and the references given therein). More generally, one can introduce colors for each type of step [5, 6]. Let us denote by u the number of colors for an up-step U , by l the number of colors for a level-step L and by d the number of colors for a down-step D . (Note that if we normalize the weights as $u + l + d = 1$ we can view the paths as discrete random walks.) One can then introduce the set $M^{(u,l,d)}(n, 0)$ of *(u, l, d) -colored Motzkin paths* and the corresponding *(u, l, d) -Motzkin numbers* $m_n^{(u,l,d)} := |M^{(u,l,d)}(n, 0)|$. In [5] a combinatorial proof is given that the $(1, l, d)$ -Motzkin numbers satisfy the recursion relation

$$(n + 2)m_n^{(1,l,d)} = l(2n + 1)m_{n-1}^{(1,l,d)} + (4d - l^2)(n - 1)m_{n-2}^{(1,l,d)}. \quad (3)$$

Choosing $l = 1$ and $d = 1$ yields the recursion relation (1) of the conventional Motzkin numbers $m_n \equiv m_n^{(1,1,1)}$. Note that choosing $(u, l, d) = (1, k, 1)$ corresponds to the k -colored

Motzkin paths. Defining $m_{k,n} := |M^{(1,k,1)}(n, 0)|$, one obtains from (3) the recursion relation $(n + 2)m_{k,n} = k(2n + 1)m_{k,n-1} + (4 - k^2)(n - 1)m_{k,n-2}$ for the number of k -colored Motzkin paths. A generating function for $m_{k,n}$ is derived in [3],

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} m_{k,n} x^n = \frac{1 - kx - \sqrt{(1 - kx)^2 - 4x^2}}{2x^2}. \quad (4)$$

For $k = 1$ this identity reduces to (2) for the conventional Motzkin numbers $m_n \equiv m_{1,n}$.

Problem 1. *Derive a recursion relation and generating function for the general (u, l, d) -Motzkin numbers $m_n^{(u,l,d)}$, i.e., generalize (3) and (4) to the general case.*

2 Motzkin numbers of higher rank

We will now generalize the situation considered in the previous section. It is discussed in [7] in the context of duality triads of higher rank (where one considers recurrence relations of higher rank, or equivalently, orthogonal matrix polynomials [8]) why it is interesting to consider the situation where the steps of the paths can go up or down more than one unit. The maximum number of units which a single step can go up or down will be called the rank. More precisely, let $r \geq 1$ be a natural number. The set of *admissible* steps consists of:

1. r types of up-steps $U_j = (1, j)$ with weights u_j for $1 \leq j \leq r$.
2. A level-step $L = (1, 0)$ with weight l .
3. r types of down-steps $D_j = (1, -j)$ with weights d_j for $1 \leq j \leq r$.

In the following we write $(\mathbf{u}, l, \mathbf{d}) := (u_r, \dots, u_1, l, d_1, \dots, d_r)$ for the vector of weights.

Definition 1. [7] The set $M^{(\mathbf{u}, l, \mathbf{d})}(n, 0)$ of $(\mathbf{u}, l, \mathbf{d})$ -colored Motzkin paths of rank r is the set of paths which start in $(0, 0)$, end in $(n, 0)$, have only admissible steps and are never below the x -axis. The corresponding number of paths, $m_n^{(\mathbf{u}, l, \mathbf{d})} := |M^{(\mathbf{u}, l, \mathbf{d})}(n, 0)|$, will be called $(\mathbf{u}, l, \mathbf{d})$ -Motzkin number of rank r .

Remark 1. The case $r = 1$ corresponds exactly to the (u, l, d) -Motzkin paths (and numbers) considered in the previous section. In the case of higher rank one may also switch to a probabilistic point of view if one considers the normalization $u_r + \dots + u_1 + l + d_1 + \dots + d_r = 1$. Furthermore, in close analogy to the rank one case one may also define *Dyck paths of rank r* as those Motzkin paths of rank r which have no level-steps.

It is clear that we can associate to each Motzkin path of rank r and length n a conventional Motzkin path of length rn in the following fashion (for the following we assume all weights to be equal to one). For each admissible step $S_k \in \{U_j, L, D_j\}$ we let $\mu(U_j) := U^j \equiv UU \dots U$ (j times), $\mu(L) := L$ and $\mu(D_j) := D^j$. For a path $P_n = S_1 S_2 \dots S_n$ we define $\mu(P_n)$ by concatenation, i.e., $\mu(P_n) := \mu(S_1) \mu(S_2) \dots \mu(S_n)$. For example, if $r = 3$ and $P_4 = U_3 L D_2 D_1$, then $\mu(P_4) = UUULLDDD$ is a path of length 7. To obtain a path of length $3 \cdot 4 = 12$, we fill the missing 5 steps with L 's. More formally, let us introduce the *absolute height* $|S_k|$ of a step S_k by $|U_j| := j$, $|L| := 0$ and $|D_j| := j$. The absolute height of a path $P_n = S_1 \dots S_n$

is given as the sum of the absolute heights of its steps, i.e., $|P_n| = \sum_{k=1}^n |S_k|$. With this notation we have well-defined maps

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_{r,n} : M^{(1,1,1)}(n, 0) &\longrightarrow M(rn, 0), \\ P_n &\longmapsto \mu_{r,n}(P_n) := \mu(P_n)L^{rn-|P_n|}.\end{aligned}$$

The map $\mu_{r,n}$ is in general neither surjective nor injective. As an example, consider $r = 2$ and $n = 2$. $M^{(1,1,1)}(2, 0) = \{LL, U_1D_1, U_2D_2\}$. It follows that $\mu_{2,2}(LL) = LLLL$, $\mu_{2,2}(U_1D_1) = UDLL$ and $\mu_{2,2}(U_2D_2) = UUDD$ are in $M(4, 0)$ but there are many more elements in $M(4, 0)$ which are not in the image of $M^{(1,1,1)}(2, 0)$, e.g., the path $ULLD$. This shows that $\mu_{2,2}$ is not surjective. On the other hand, consider $r = 2$ and $n = 3$. The two paths $U_1U_2D_3$ and $U_2U_1D_3$ in $M^{(1,1,1)}(3, 0)$ have the same image $UUUDDD$ in $M(6, 0)$, i.e., $\mu_{2,3}$ is not injective. This brief discussion should show that the study of $M^{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{d})}(n, 0)$, i.e., the case of higher rank, cannot be reduced in a straightforward way to the rank one case.

3 The Problem

Problem 2. *Derive a recursion relation and generating function for $m_n^{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{d})}$, the general $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{d})$ -Motzkin numbers of rank r .*

Remark 2. Clearly, Problem 2 captures Problem 1 as the case $r = 1$. Presumably, the most interesting case should be the first case where r is greater than one, i.e., $r = 2$, since already here many of the arguments used in [5] break down. A very simple example of such an argument in the case $r = 1$ is that a path ending in $(n, 0)$, i.e., on the x -axis, must have an equal number of up- and down-steps (implying in particular that there do not exist Dyck paths of length n if n is odd). This is not true in the case of higher rank since already for $r = 2$ one can find a Motzkin path (even Dyck path) $U_2D_1D_1$ of length 3 with unequal number of up- and down-steps.

Motzkin paths are related to duality triads of rank one, whereas Motzkin paths of rank r are related to duality triads of rank r (see [7] for a discussion of duality triads and their relation to Motzkin numbers and [8] for some further properties of duality triads). Duality triads of rank r are characterised by a recursion relation of order $2r + 1$. This is the reason for the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. *The $m_n^{(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{d})}$ satisfy a $(2r + 1)$ -term recursion relation.*

References

- [1] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics, Vol. 2*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] R. Sulanke, Moments of generalized Motzkin paths, *J. Integer Seq.* **3** (2000), Article 00.1.1.
- [3] A. Sapounakis and P. Tsikouras, On k -colored Motzkin words, *J. Integer Seq.* **7** (2004), Article 04.2.5.
- [4] A. Sapounakis and P. Tsikouras, Counting peaks and valleys in k -colored Motzkin words, *Electron. J. Comb.* **12** (2005), Article 12.

- [5] W.J. Woan, A Recursion Relation for Weighted Motzkin Sequences, *J. Integer Seq.* **8** (2005), Article 05.1.6.
- [6] W.J. Woan, A Relation between Restricted and Unrestricted Weighted Motzkin Paths, *J. Integer Seq* **9** (2006), Article 06.1.7.
- [7] M. Schork, On a generalization of duality triads, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **4** (2006), 304–318.
- [8] M. Schork, Duality triads of higher rank: Further properties and some examples, *Cent. Eur. J. Math.* **4** (2006), 507–524.