

Every model category  $\mathcal{C}$  has a *homotopy category*  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  obtained from  $\mathcal{C}$  by formally inverting all weak equivalences. Its objects are those of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and its morphisms are those of  $\mathcal{C}$  along with inverses of weak equivalences. Hence weak equivalences in  $\mathcal{C}$  become isomorphisms in  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ . There is a functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  that is the identity on objects. More details will be given later.

We can ask to what extent a functor  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  from a model category  $\mathcal{C}$  can be factored through the homotopy category  $\text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$  obtained from  $\mathcal{C}$  by formally inverting all weak equivalences. We have a functor  $\gamma: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C})$ . Now consider pairs  $(G, s)$  where  $G: \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $s$  is a natural transformation from  $G\gamma$  to  $F$ . A *left derived functor*  $(LF, t)$ , if it exists, is such a pair with the universal property that any such pair  $(G, s)$  admits a unique natural transformation  $g$  to  $LF$  such that  $s = t g$ . In other words it is a *right Kan extension* of  $F$  along  $\gamma$ .

Similarly a *right derived functor*  $RF: \text{Ho}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a left Kan extension of  $F$  along  $\gamma$ . In both cases the universal property implies uniqueness. It is customary to omit the natural transformation from the notation.

It can be shown (Prop 9.3 of [Dwyer-Spalinski](#)) that a functor  $F$  on a model category  $\mathcal{C}$  which converts weak equivalences between cofibrant objects to weak isomorphisms has a left derived functor  $LF$  which agrees with  $F$  on cofibrant objects.

Now suppose that  $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is a functor between model categories. A total left (right) derived functor  $\mathbf{L}F$  ( $\mathbf{R}F$ ) is a left (right) derived functor (and hence a right (left) Kan extension) for the composite  $\gamma_{\mathcal{D}}F$ .

This notion of a derived functor is related to the one in homological algebra in the following way. For a ring  $R$  let  $\mathbf{Ch}_R$  denote the category of nonnegatively graded chain complexes of left  $R$ -modules. It has a model structure in which weak equivalences are maps inducing isomorphisms in homology (quasi-isomorphisms), fibrations are surjections, and cofibrations are injections with projective cokernel in each degree. This means that the cofibrant objects are chain complexes of projective  $R$ -modules. For an  $R$ -module  $N$ , let  $K(N, 0)$  denote the chain complex which is  $N$  concentrated in degree 0. It has a cofibrant replacement  $P \rightarrow K(N, 0)$  where  $P$  is a projective resolution of  $N$ .

For a right  $R$ -module  $M$ , the functor  $M \otimes -$  defines a functor  $F: \mathbf{Ch}_R \rightarrow \mathbf{Ch}_Z$ . It has a total left derived functor  $\mathbf{L}F: \text{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}_R) \rightarrow \text{Ho}(\mathbf{Ch}_Z)$ . Then it follows from the above that there is a natural isomorphism

$$H_i \mathbf{L}F(K(N, 0)) \cong \text{Tor}_i^R(M, N) \text{ for all } i \geq 0.$$