

# Small ring spectra

Ethan S. Devinatz\*

*Department of Mathematics, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA*

Communicated by A. Heller

Received 29 April 1991

*Abstract*

Devinatz, E.S., Small ring spectra. Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra 81 (1992) 11–16.

We determine conditions under which the cofibre of a self-map of a ring spectrum is again a ring spectrum. Sufficiently large iterates of  $v_n$  self-maps will satisfy this condition.

The main result of this paper gives conditions under which the cofibre of a self-map of a ring spectrum is again a ring spectrum. In particular, sufficiently large iterates of  $v_n$  self-maps satisfy this condition. By a ring spectrum, we mean a spectrum  $X$  together with maps  $\mu : X \wedge X \rightarrow X$  and  $\eta : S^0 \rightarrow X$  such that the composition

$$X = S^0 \wedge X \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge X} X \wedge X \xrightarrow{\mu} X$$

is the identity (in the stable category). Neither associativity nor commutativity is assumed; it is also not even assumed that  $\eta$  is a two-sided unit. We can then prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $X$  be a ring spectrum and let  $f : \Sigma^{|f|} X \rightarrow X$  with  $|f|$  even. Suppose that:*

(i) *The map  $f \wedge X : \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge X \rightarrow X \wedge X$  is in the center of the ring  $[X \wedge X, X \wedge X]_*$ .*

(ii) *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{2|f|} X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{X \wedge f^2} & X \wedge X \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ \Sigma^{2|f|} X & \xrightarrow{f^2} & X \end{array}$$

*commutes, where  $f^2 = f \circ f$ .*

*Correspondence to:* E.S. Devinatz, Department of Mathematics, University of Washington, GN-50, Seattle, WA 98195, USA.

\* Partially supported by NSF grant DMS 8903168.

Then  $C(f^2)$ , the cofibre of the map  $f^2 : \Sigma^{2|f|}X \rightarrow X$ , has the structure of a ring spectrum so that the inclusion map  $X \rightarrow C(f^2)$  is a map of ring spectra.

Now suppose that  $X$  is a  $p$ -local finite ring spectrum with  $K(n-1)_*X = 0$  but  $K(n)_*X \neq 0$ . As usual  $K(i)$  denotes the  $i$ th Morava  $K$ -theory. Recall that a  $v_n$  self-map is a map  $g : \Sigma^{|g|}X \rightarrow X$  which induces an isomorphism on  $K(n)_*X$  and a nilpotent homomorphism on  $K(i)_*X$  for  $i \neq n$ . Then, by the essential uniqueness, naturality, and centrality of  $v_n$  self-maps [3, Section 3], it follows that if  $g$  is any  $v_n$  self-map and  $n > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $N$  such that  $g^N$  satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). This implies the next result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $X$  be a  $p$ -local finite ring spectrum, and let  $g$  be a  $v_n$  self-map ( $n > 0$ ). Then there exists a natural number  $N$  such that, for each  $m > 0$ ,  $C(g^{mN})$  has the structure of a ring spectrum so that the inclusion  $X \rightarrow C(g^{mN})$  is a map of ring spectra.  $\square$*

Working before the nilpotence theorem, Oka obtained some results on ring spectra structures on certain specific finite complexes  $X$  with  $K(n)_*X \neq 0$  and  $n$  small [4]. Of course, in general, one cannot expect such specific results from nilpotence technology. Nevertheless, this type of result is useful in some contexts. For example, in [2], it was sufficient to use the general existence of  $v_2$  self-maps without knowing that any specific power of multiplication by  $v_2$  could be realized. Furthermore, we expect that Theorem 2 will be a technical tool needed to explicitly present the Brown–Comenetz dual  $I_n$  of  $L_n S^0$  as a direct limit of finite spectra (cf. [2, 1.5]). (In the absence of the telescope conjecture, this presentation will be in the  $E(N)_*$ -local homotopy category, where  $N$  may be arbitrary.)

Finally, we remark that Theorem 2 may be folklore to certain BP-theorists.

The proof of Theorem 1 requires three lemmas, the last two of which will be proved later. First, we introduce some notation. Given a self map  $g : \Sigma^{|g|}X \rightarrow X$ , there is a cofibration sequence

$$\cdots \rightarrow \Sigma^{|g|}X \xrightarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{i} C(g) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Sigma^{|g|+1}X \xrightarrow{-g} \Sigma X \rightarrow \cdots.$$

**Lemma 3.** *If  $f$  is a self-map of  $X$  and  $f \wedge X$  is in the center of the ring  $[X \wedge X, X \wedge X]_*$ , then  $f \wedge X = X \wedge f$ .*

**Proof.** Use the fact that  $f \wedge X$  commutes with the commutativity automorphism  $\tau : X \wedge X \rightarrow X \wedge X$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $X$  be any spectrum and suppose that  $f$  is a self-map of even degree such that  $f \wedge X$  is central. Then there exists a map  $h : \Sigma^{2|f|+1}X \wedge X \rightarrow X \wedge X$  such that the diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f)} & X \wedge C(f) \\ \downarrow X \wedge \partial & & \uparrow X \wedge \iota \\ \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge \Sigma^{|f|+1} X & \xrightarrow{h} & X \wedge X \end{array}$$

commutes.

**Lemma 5.** *Let  $f$  and  $X$  be as in Lemma 4. Then*

$$f^2 \wedge C(f^2) : \Sigma^{2|f|} X \wedge C(f^2) \rightarrow X \wedge C(f^2)$$

is trivial.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** First note that hypothesis (ii) implies the existence of a map  $m : X \wedge C(f^2) \rightarrow C(f^2)$  such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Sigma^{2|f|} S^0 \wedge X & \xrightarrow{S^0 \wedge f^2} & S^0 \wedge X & \longrightarrow & S^0 \wedge C(f^2) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{2|f|+1} S^0 \wedge X \\ \downarrow \eta \wedge X & & \downarrow \eta \wedge X & & \downarrow \eta \wedge C(f^2) & & \downarrow \eta \wedge X \\ \Sigma^{2|f|} X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{X \wedge f^2} & X \wedge X & \longrightarrow & X \wedge C(f^2) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{2|f|+1} X \wedge X \\ \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow m & & \downarrow \mu \\ \Sigma^{2|f|} X & \xrightarrow{f^2} & X & \longrightarrow & C(f^2) & \longrightarrow & \Sigma^{2|f|+1} X \end{array}$$

commutes, where the rows are cofibration sequences. Now the fact that  $\mu \circ (\eta \wedge X) = \text{id}_X$  does not of course imply that  $m \circ (\eta \wedge C(f^2))$  is the identity—it does, however, imply that  $m \circ (\eta \wedge C(f^2))$  is an automorphism of  $C(f^2)$ . It is then easy to see that by replacing  $m$  with  $[m \circ (\eta \wedge C(f^2))]^{-1} \circ m$ , we can arrange things so that the above diagram commutes and so that  $m \circ (\eta \wedge C(f^2))$  is the identity.

Next, Lemma 5 implies that there exists a retraction  $r : C(f^2) \wedge C(f^2) \rightarrow X \wedge C(f^2)$ . Define  $\mu' : C(f^2) \wedge C(f^2) \rightarrow C(f^2)$  by  $\mu' = m \circ r$  and  $\eta'$  by  $\eta' = \iota \circ \eta$ . Then one easily checks that these maps give  $C(f^2)$  the structure of a ring spectrum and that  $\iota : X \rightarrow C(f^2)$  is a ring spectrum map.  $\square$

**Proof of Lemma 4.** Begin by observing that, since  $X \wedge f = f \wedge X$ , the composite

$$\Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge X \xrightarrow{f \wedge X} X \wedge X \rightarrow X \wedge C(f)$$

is trivial. There then exists a map

$$g : \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge \Sigma^{|f|+1} X \rightarrow X \wedge C(f)$$

such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\Sigma^{[f]}X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{f \wedge X} & X \wedge X \\
\downarrow X \wedge \iota & & \downarrow \\
\Sigma^{[f]}C(X \wedge f) = \Sigma^{[f]}X \wedge C(f) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f)} & X \wedge C(f) \\
\downarrow X \wedge \partial & \nearrow g & \\
\Sigma^{[f]}X \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X & & 
\end{array}$$

commutes. To complete the proof, we must show that  $(X \wedge \partial) \circ g : \Sigma^{2[f+1]}X \wedge X \rightarrow \Sigma^{[f+1]}X \wedge X$  is trivial. For this, it will be convenient to describe  $g$  at the point-set level.

Identify  $\Sigma^{[f]}X \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X$  with  $\Sigma^{[f]}[C(X \wedge f) \cup_{X \wedge X} C(X \wedge X)]$ . The cone coordinates are parameterized by  $[0, 1]$  with 0 the cone point. Now let  $H : \Sigma^{[f]}X \wedge X \wedge I_+ \rightarrow X \wedge X$  be a homotopy with  $H_0 = f \wedge X$  and  $H_1 = X \wedge f$ . Finally, write

$$X \wedge C(f) = C(X \wedge f) = X \wedge X \cup_{X \wedge \Sigma^{[f]}X} C(X \wedge \Sigma^{[f]}X)$$

as usual. Then define  $g | \Sigma^{[f]}C(X \wedge f)$  to be  $f \wedge C(f)$  and define

$$(g | \Sigma^{[f]}C(X \wedge X))(x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge s) = \begin{cases} H(x_1 \wedge x_2, 2 - 2s) & s \geq 1/2, \\ x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge 2s & s \leq 1/2. \end{cases}$$

Next, consider the cofibration sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{j} & C(X \wedge f) \cup_{X \wedge X} C(X \wedge X) \\
& \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} & (X \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X) \vee \Sigma(X \wedge X) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Sigma(X \wedge X)
\end{array}$$

where  $j$  includes  $X \wedge X$  onto the base of  $C(X \wedge X)$ . It is easy to see that

$$(X \wedge \partial) \circ g = k \circ \bar{\pi}$$

(up to homotopy), where

$$\begin{aligned}
k | \Sigma^{[f]}X \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X &= f \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X, \\
k | \Sigma^{[f+1]}X \wedge X &= \text{id}.
\end{aligned}$$

We claim, however, that  $\bar{\partial}$  is just  $-k$ . This implies that  $(X \wedge \partial) \circ g$  is trivial, completing the proof.

To prove the claim, note that  $\bar{\partial} | X \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X$  is just  $\partial_1 = -(X \wedge f) = -(f \wedge X)$  in the cofibration sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X \wedge \Sigma^{[f]}X & \xrightarrow{X \wedge f} & X \wedge X \rightarrow C(X \wedge f) \\
& & \longrightarrow X \wedge \Sigma^{[f+1]}X \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \Sigma(X \wedge X)
\end{array}$$

and that  $\bar{\partial} \mid \Sigma(X \wedge X)$  is just  $\partial_2 = -\text{id}$  in the cofibration sequence

$$X \wedge X \xrightarrow{\text{id}} X \wedge X \rightarrow C(X \wedge X) \rightarrow \Sigma(X \wedge X) \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \Sigma(X \wedge X). \quad \square$$

**Remark.** One uses the assumption that  $|f|$  is even to get  $\partial_1 = -k \mid \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge \Sigma^{|f|+1} X$ .

With Lemma 4 proven, the proof of the last remaining lemma is straightforward.

**Proof of Lemma 5.** Apply Verdier's axiom [1, Part III, 6.8] to the commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Sigma^{2|f|} X & \xrightarrow{f^2} & X \\ \downarrow f & \nearrow f & \\ \Sigma^{|f|} X & & \end{array}$$

to obtain a cofibration sequence

$$\Sigma^{|f|} C(f) \xrightarrow{t} C(f^2) \xrightarrow{\pi} C(f) \xrightarrow{\delta} \Sigma^{|f|+1} C(f).$$

Now note that the composition

$$\Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f^2) \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f^2)} X \wedge C(f^2) \xrightarrow{X \wedge \pi} X \wedge C(f)$$

is trivial. This follows from the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f^2) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f^2)} & X \wedge C(f^2) \\ & \nearrow X \wedge \partial & \downarrow X \wedge \pi & & \downarrow X \wedge \pi \\ \Sigma^{3|f|+1} X \wedge X & & \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f)} & X \wedge C(f) \\ & \searrow X \wedge f & \downarrow X \wedge \partial & & \uparrow X \wedge t \\ & & \Sigma^{2|f|+1} X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{h} & X \wedge X \end{array}$$

and the fact that  $h \circ (X \wedge f) = (X \wedge f) \circ h$ . There is therefore a map

$$q : \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f^2) \rightarrow \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f)$$

with  $(X \wedge t) \circ q = f \wedge C(f^2)$ . But  $(f \wedge C(f^2)) \circ (X \wedge t)$  is trivial, again because of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{3|f|+1} X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{h} & \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge X & & \\
\uparrow X \wedge \partial & & \downarrow X \wedge \iota & & \searrow X \wedge f \\
\Sigma^{2|f|} X \wedge C(f) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f)} & \Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f) & & X \wedge X \\
\downarrow X \wedge t & & \downarrow X \wedge t & & \swarrow X \wedge \iota \\
\Sigma^{|f|} X \wedge C(f^2) & \xrightarrow{f \wedge C(f^2)} & X \wedge C(f^2) & & 
\end{array}$$

and the fact that  $X \wedge f$  is central.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
f^2 \wedge C(f^2) &= (f \wedge C(f^2)) \circ (f \wedge C(f^2)) \\
&= (f \wedge C(f^2)) \circ (X \wedge t) \circ q = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J.F. Adams, *Stable Homotopy and Generalized Homology* (University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1974).
- [2] E.S. Devinatz, *K-theory and the generating hypothesis*, Amer. J. Math. 112 (1990) 787–804.
- [3] M.J. Hopkins, *Global methods in homotopy theory*, in: *Homotopy Theory – Proceedings of the Durham Symposium 1985* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987) 73–96.
- [4] S. Oka, *Ring spectra with few cells*, Japan J. Math. 5 (1979) 81–100.