



Automata and Transcendence of the Tate Period in Finite Characteristic

Jean-Paul Allouche; Dinesh S. Thakur

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 127, No. 5. (May, 1999), pp. 1309-1312.

Stable URL:

<http://links.jstor.org/sici?sici=0002-9939%28199905%29127%3A5%3C1309%3AAATOTT%3E2.0.CO%3B2-H>

Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society is currently published by American Mathematical Society.

Your use of the JSTOR archive indicates your acceptance of JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use, available at <http://www.jstor.org/about/terms.html>. JSTOR's Terms and Conditions of Use provides, in part, that unless you have obtained prior permission, you may not download an entire issue of a journal or multiple copies of articles, and you may use content in the JSTOR archive only for your personal, non-commercial use.

Please contact the publisher regarding any further use of this work. Publisher contact information may be obtained at <http://www.jstor.org/journals/ams.html>.

Each copy of any part of a JSTOR transmission must contain the same copyright notice that appears on the screen or printed page of such transmission.

The JSTOR Archive is a trusted digital repository providing for long-term preservation and access to leading academic journals and scholarly literature from around the world. The Archive is supported by libraries, scholarly societies, publishers, and foundations. It is an initiative of JSTOR, a not-for-profit organization with a mission to help the scholarly community take advantage of advances in technology. For more information regarding JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

AUTOMATA AND TRANSCENDENCE OF THE TATE PERIOD IN FINITE CHARACTERISTIC

JEAN-PAUL ALLOUCHE AND DINESH S. THAKUR

(Communicated by David E. Rohrlich)

ABSTRACT. Using the techniques of automata theory, we give another proof of the function field analogue of the Mahler-Manin conjecture and prove transcendence results for the power series associated to higher divisor functions $\sigma_k(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^k$.

Let p be a prime number, and let k be an algebraic closure of \mathbf{F}_p . Let q be a variable and consider $a_4, a_6 \in k[[q]]$ defined by

$$a_4 := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{-5n^3 q^n}{1 - q^n}, \quad a_6 := \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{-(7n^5 + 5n^3)q^n}{12(1 - q^n)}.$$

Theorem. *The period q of the Tate elliptic curve $y^2 + xy = x^3 + a_4x + a_6$ over $K := k(a_4, a_6)$ is transcendental over K .*

This function field analogue of the Mahler-Manin conjecture was proved by Voloch [V96] (soon afterwards the original conjecture was proved in [BDGP96]; see also [W96]), by approximating q by algebraic quantities and getting a contradiction by analyzing the Galois action using Igusa’s theorem. We offer below a proof based on the automata criterion of algebraicity due to Christol [C79, CKMR80].

Proof. It suffices to prove that a_4 (resp. a_6) is transcendental over $k(q)$, if $p \neq 5$ (resp. $p = 5$). Namely, the Hasse invariant of the Tate elliptic curve, i.e., the coefficient of x^{p-1} in $(x^3 + x^2/4 + a_4x + a_6)^{(p-1)/2}$ for $p > 3$, is equal to one, which shows (essentially first noticed in [S-D73]) that a_4 and a_6 are algebraically dependent, for $p > 3$. (See the first part of the remarks below for the case $p \leq 3$.) In fact, we prove

Proposition. *If $h := (p - 1)/\gcd(u, p - 1)$ is even (e.g., if $p > 2$ and u is odd), then $\sum_{n \geq 1} n^u q^n / (1 - q^n)$ is transcendental over $\mathbf{F}_p(q)$.*

Proof. With $\sigma_u(\ell) := \sum_{d|\ell} d^u$, we have

$$\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{n^u q^n}{1 - q^n} = \sum_{n \geq 1} n^u \sum_{k \geq 1} q^{kn} = \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \sigma_u(\ell) q^\ell.$$

Received by the editors August 27, 1997.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11J89, 11G07, 68Q68, 11B85.

Key words and phrases. Transcendence, periods, elliptic curves, automata, recognizability.

The second author was supported in part by NSF grant DMS 9623187.

Now, from Christol’s theorem [C79], [CKMR80], the series $\sum b_n q^n \in \mathbf{F}_p[[q]]$ is transcendental over $\mathbf{F}_p(q)$ if and only if the sequence b_n is not p -automatic. Furthermore, from a theorem of Cobham [Co72], for any p -automatic sequence b_n , and for any value α taken by this sequence, if $\#\{n \leq x, b_n = \alpha\}$ is $o(x)$, then

– either there exist an integer $d \geq 1$ and a real number s with $0 < s < 1$, such that

$$0 < \liminf \frac{\#\{n \leq x, b_n = \alpha\}}{x^s \log^{d-1} x} < \limsup \frac{\#\{n \leq x, b_n = \alpha\}}{x^s \log^{d-1} x} < \infty,$$

– or there exist integers $d \geq 1, m \geq 2$, and a rational number $c > 0$, such that

$$\#\{n \leq x, b_n = \alpha\} \sim c \left(\frac{\log x}{\log m} \right)^{d-1}.$$

On the other hand, since h is even, for some $A > 0$, we have

$$\#\{n \leq x, \sigma_u(n) \neq 0 \pmod p\} \sim \frac{Ax}{(\log x)^{1/h}}.$$

(We learned this result in [R77], but it had been first proved in [Ran61].) Now define $b_n = 0$ if $\sigma_u(n) = 0 \pmod p$, and $b_n = 1$ otherwise. If $\sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_u(n)q^n$ were algebraic over $k(q)$, then the sequence $(\sigma_u(n) \pmod p)_n$ would be p -automatic, as would be the sequence b_n , and the two statements above give us the desired contradiction.

Remarks. (1) In [T96], another proof of the theorem above was given by reducing the question to the transcendence of the theta function and using the theory of modular forms to show algebraic dependence between the theta function and a_4, a_6 , which are related to Eisenstein series. The present proof avoids this modular technology form by directly establishing the transcendence of a_4 or a_6 . For $p \leq 7$, the proof is simpler in that we do not then need the fact on the Hasse invariant either: it is easy to see that $a_4 = a_6$ if $p = 2$; $a_4 = 0$ if $p = 5$; $a_4 = 5a_6$ if $p = 7$; and (see [T96]) $a_6 + a_4 = a_4^2$ if $p = 3$. A more conceptual proof of the last equality has been recently given (private communication) by Antonios Broumas, based on his generalization of the Hasse invariant (taking values in Witt vectors). Another proof follows from the results of Katz mentioned in (2). It would be nice to have a direct elementary proof of the algebraic relation between a_4 and a_6 , in general.

(2) For a non-negative integer u , let $S_u := \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_u(n)q^n \in \mathbf{F}_p[[q]]$. One might ask in general whether S_u is transcendental over $k(q)$ and when S_u and S_v are algebraically dependent over \mathbf{F}_p , or more generally what the transcendence degree of the field generated by all S_u ’s over \mathbf{F}_p is. It is easy to see that $S_u = S_{u+k(p-1)}$, if $u, k > 0$ and $S_{p-1} = S_0 - S_0^p$, so that the answers depend only on u, v modulo $p - 1$ and the case $p = 2$ has been completely settled. The situation of algebraic dependence, in general, is unclear when u or v is even. Poonen checked that there is no non-trivial algebraic relation of total degree < 21 between S_1 and S_2 , when $p = 3$. Even when u and v are odd, the question of the possible algebraic dependences is non-trivial because the well-known relations between Eisenstein series when expressed in terms of S_u ’s and reduced modulo p can sometimes become trivial. For example, the fact that the Hasse invariant is one, gives a non-trivial relation between S_3 and S_5 for

$p > 7$, and we have $S_3 = S_5$ for $p = 2, 3$ as explained above. Even though the relation obtained is trivial for $p = 5, 7$, Bjorn Poonen found by computer calculation that with $x = S_3$ and $y = S_5$, we have $x^5 - x = 3y^4 + 2y^3 - y^2 - y$, for $p = 5$ and $y^7 - y = 4x^{12} + 3x^{11} + 3x^{10} + x^9 - x^8 + x^6 + 5x^5 - x^3 + 4x^2 - x$ for $p = 7$. He proved these relations using some results of Katz and pointed out that Theorem 2.1 of [K75] on higher congruences between modular forms implies that for odd u and v , S_u and S_v are algebraically dependent over \mathbf{F}_p , because of their relation with the q -expansion of Eisenstein series.

As for the transcendence question, we have (for example) the following result: *If $c_p := \zeta(p)/\zeta(p-1)$ is an irrational real number (here ζ is the Riemann zeta function), and if $p-1$ divides u , then S_u is transcendental over $k(q)$.* The proof uses again Rankin's result [Ran61] for the case where the number h defined above is odd. Here $h = 1$, and Rankin's result implies that, for some positive rational r ,

$$\#\{n \leq x, \sigma_u(n) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\} \sim (c_p r)x.$$

But from Cobham's theorem [Co72], if the sequence b_n is p -automatic and if the set $\{n, b_n = \alpha\}$ has a natural density, then this density must be a rational number.

(3) Watson proved in [Wat35] a conjecture of Ramanujan, stating that the Ramanujan τ function satisfies $\tau(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{691}$ for almost all n . Watson used the congruence $\tau(n) \equiv \sigma_{11}(n) \pmod{691}$. We can add a modest contribution to the study of the sequence $\tau(n)$ by proving, as above, that it is not 691-automatic.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was done when the first author visited the University of Arizona. The first author warmly thanks his colleagues for the very nice and fruitful time he spent there. We also thank Antonios Broumas and Bjorn Poonen for their comments.

REFERENCES

- [BDGP96] K. Barre-Sirieix, G. Diaz, F. Gramain, G. Philibert, *Une preuve de la conjecture de Mahler-Manin*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), 1–9. MR **96j**:11103
- [C79] G. Christol, *Ensembles presque-périodiques k -reconnaissables*, Theoret. Comput. Sci. **9** (1979), 141–145. MR **80e**:68141
- [CKMR80] G. Christol, T. Kamae, M. Mendès France, G. Rauzy, *Suites algébriques, automates et substitutions*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **108** (1980), 401–419. MR **82e**:10092
- [Co72] A. Cobham, *Uniform tag sequences*, Math. Systems Theory **6** (1972), 164–192. MR **56**:15230
- [K75] N. Katz, *Higher congruences between modular forms*, Ann. Math. **101** (1975), 332–367. MR **54**:5120
- [R77] C. Radoux, *Divisibilité de $\sigma_k(n)$ par un nombre premier*, Séminaire Delange-Pisot-Poitou, Théorie des Nombres, 19^e année, 1977/78, Exposé n° 3, (1978), 3-01–3-05. MR **80b**:10064
- [Ran61] R. A. Rankin, *The divisibility of divisor functions*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **5** (1961), 35–40. MR **26**:2407
- [S-D73] H. P. F. Swinnerton-Dyer, *On l -adic representations and congruences of modular forms*, in: Modular functions of one variable III, Proc. Internat. Summer School, Univ. Antwerp 1972, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **350** (1973), 1–55.
- [T96] D. Thakur, *Automata-style proof of Voloch's result on transcendence*, J. Number Theory **58** (1996), 60–63. MR **98a**:11100
- [V96] J. F. Voloch, *Transcendence of elliptic modular functions in characteristic p* , J. Number Theory **58** (1996), 55–59. MR **98a**:11099

- [W96] M. Waldschmidt, *Sur la nature arithmétique des valeurs de fonctions modulaires*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 49^e année, vol. 1996–1997, exposé 824, Nov. 96, Paris, pp. 824–1–824–36.
- [Wat35] G. N. Watson, *Über Ramanujansche Kongruenzeigenschaften der Zerfallungszahlen (I)*, Math. Z. **39** (1935), 712–731.

CNRS, LRI, BÂTIMENT 490, UNIVERSITÉ D'ORSAY F-91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE
E-mail address: `allouche@lri.fr`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON, ARIZONA 85721
E-mail address: `thakur@math.arizona.edu`