## MATH 436 Notes: Chain conditions.

Jonathan Pakianathan

December 1, 2003

## 1 Chain conditions

We next consider sequences of ideals in a ring R.

**Definition 1.1 (Chains).** A sequence of (left) (right) ideals  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq I_4 \subseteq \ldots$  is called an ascending chain of (left) (right) ideals.

A sequence of (left) (right) ideals  $I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq I_3 \supseteq I_4 \supseteq \ldots$  is called a descending chain of (left) (right) ideals.

A chain is said to stabilize if there exists  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $I_N = I_{N+k}$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 1.2 (Noetherian rings).** A ring R is called (left)(right)(two-sided) Noetherian if every ascending chain of (left)(right)(two-sided) ideals in R stabilizes.

Note that if a ring R is either left or right Noetherian then it is two-sided Noetherian.

A ring R is called Noetherian if it is both left and right Noetherian.

**Definition 1.3 (Artinian rings).** A ring R is called (left)(right)(two-sided)Artinian if every ascending chain of (left)(right)(two-sided) ideals in R stabilizes.

Note that if a ring R is either left or right Artinian then it is two-sided Artinian.

A ring R is called Artinian if it is both left and right Artinian.

The following is an important characterization of Noetherian rings:

**Theorem 1.4 (Noetherian rings).** Let R be a ring. The following are equivalent:

(1) R is left Noetherian.

(2) Every nonempty set S of left ideals of R has a maximal element I, i.e., there exists  $I \in S$  such that  $J \in S$ ,  $I \subseteq J$  implies I = J.

(3) Every left ideal of R is finitely generated.

Similar theorems hold for right and two-sided Noetherian rings.

*Proof.* (1)  $\implies$  (2): Suppose there exists a nonempty set of left ideals S of R without a maximal element. Note for any  $I_k \in S$ . Since  $I_k$  is not maximal in S, we may find  $I_{k+1} \in S$  such that  $I_k \subset I_{k+1}$  (we will use  $\subset$  to denote proper subsets). Thus since S is not empty, we may construct an infinite chain  $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset I_3 \subset I_4 \subset \ldots$  which does not stabilize, contradicting the fact that R is left Noetherian. Thus every nonempty set of left ideals of R must have a maximal element.

(2)  $\implies$  (1): Let  $S = \{I_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be an ascending chain of left ideals. By assumption S has a maximal element say  $I_N$ . Since  $I_N$  is maximal and  $I_N \subseteq I_n$  for all  $n \ge N$  we see that  $I_N = I_n$  for all  $n \ge N$  and so the chain stabilizes. Thus every ascending chain of left ideals stabilizes and so R is left Noetherian.

(3)  $\implies$  (1): Let  $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq I_3 \subseteq \ldots$  be an ascending chain of left ideals in R. Then  $I = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n$  is a left ideal of R. By assumption  $I = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)_L$ . For each  $1 \leq j \leq k, x_j \in I$  so  $x_j \in I_{n_j}$  for some positive integer  $n_j$ . Thus  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_k\} \subseteq I_{max(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)}$  from which it follows that  $I \subseteq I_{max(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)}$  and hence  $I = I_{max(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)}$ . Setting  $N = max(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$  it then follows easily that  $I_N = I_{N+s}$  for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  and so the chain stabilizes. Thus any ascending chain of left ideals in R stabilizes and so R is left Noetherian.

(1)  $\implies$  (3): Let *I* be a left ideal of *R*. Suppose that *I* is not finitely generated as a left ideal of *R*. Then in particular  $I \neq (0)$ . Hence we may choose nonzero  $a_1 \in I$ . Suppose we have chosen  $a_1, \ldots, a_k \in I$ . Then since *I* is not finitely generated as a left ideal, there exists  $a_{k+1} \in I - (a_1, \ldots, a_k)_L$ . Thus using the axiom of countable choice we may choose  $a_1, a_2, \ldots$  such that the left ideals  $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)_L = I_k$  form an infinite ascending chain of left ideals which does not stabilize. This contradicts the fact that *R* is left Noetherian and so we conclude that every left ideal is finitely generated.

The following is an important corollary:

Corollary 1.5 (Principal Ideal rings are Noetherian). Let R be a principal ideal ring, then R is a Noetherian ring. Thus every PID is a Noetherian integral domain.

*Proof.* In a principal ideal ring R, every left or right ideal is generated by a single element and hence in particular, it is finitely generated. Thus R is a Noetherian ring by the Theorem 1.4.

It is a deeper theorem that every Artinian ring is Noetherian. (we will see this next semester time permitting.)

The converse is not true in general as the following example shows:

**Example 1.6** ( $\mathbb{Z}$  is a Noetherian ring which is not Artinian). We have seen that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID and so it is Noetherian by Corollary 1.5. However it is not Artinian. For example, if n > 1 is an integer then the descending chain of ideals  $(n) \supset (n^2) \supset (n^3) \supset (n^4) \supset \ldots$  does not stabilize.

This example shows that even a PID (where every ideal is generated by a single element) might not be Artinian!