

MATH 436 Notes: Cyclic groups and Invariant Subgroups.

Jonathan Pakianathan

September 30, 2003

1 Cyclic Groups

Now that we have enough basic tools, let us go back and study the structure of cyclic groups. Recall, these are exactly the groups G which can be generated by a single element $x \in G$.

Before we begin we record an important example:

Example 1.1. *Given a group G and an element $x \in G$ we may define a homomorphism $\phi_x : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ via $\phi_x(n) = x^n$. It is easy to see that $\text{Im}(\phi_x) = \langle x \rangle$ is the cyclic subgroup generated by x .*

If one considers $\ker(\phi_x)$, we have seen that it must be of the form $d\mathbb{Z}$ for some unique integer $d \geq 0$. We define the order of x , denote $o(x)$ by:

$$o(x) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } d \geq 1 \\ \infty & \text{if } d = 0 \end{cases}$$

Thus if x has infinite order then the elements in the set $\{x^k | k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ are all distinct while if x has order $o(x) \geq 1$ then $x^k = e$ exactly when k is a multiple of $o(x)$. Thus $x^m = x^n$ whenever $m \equiv n$ modulo $o(x)$.

By the First Isomorphism Theorem, $\langle x \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/o(x)\mathbb{Z}$. Hence when x has finite order, $|\langle x \rangle| = o(x)$ and so in the case of a finite group, this order must divide the order of the group G by Lagrange's Theorem.

The homomorphisms above also give an important cautionary example!

Example 1.2 (Image subgroups are not necessarily normal). Let $G = \Sigma_3$ and $a = (12) \in G$. Then $\phi_a : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ defined by $\phi_a(n) = a^n$ has image $\text{Im}(\phi_a) = \langle a \rangle$ which is not normal in G .

Before we study cyclic groups, we make a useful reformulation of the concept:

Lemma 1.3. A group G is cyclic if and only if there is an epimorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow G$.

Proof. \implies : If $G = \langle x \rangle$ is a cyclic group then the homomorphism defined in Example 1.1 is an epimorphism $\mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow G$.

\impliedby : On the other hand if $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow G$ is an epimorphism then $x = \phi(1)$ is easily seen to generate G . \square

Definition 1.4. We say H is a quotient group of G if there is an epimorphism $G \twoheadrightarrow H$.

Now we are ready to prove the core facts about cyclic groups:

Proposition 1.5. The following are facts about cyclic groups:

- (1) A quotient group of a cyclic group is cyclic.
- (2) Subgroups of cyclic groups are cyclic.
- (3) If G is a cyclic group then G is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ for a unique integer $d \geq 0$. (Note that when $d = 0$, $\mathbb{Z}/0\mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}$). Thus a cyclic group is determined up to isomorphism by its order.

Proof. **(3):** By Lemma 1.3, there is an epimorphism $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \twoheadrightarrow G$. Then the First Isomorphism Theorem shows that $G \cong \mathbb{Z}/d\mathbb{Z}$ where $d\mathbb{Z} = \ker(\phi)$, $d \geq 0$.

(1): Let $G \xrightarrow{\lambda} H$ and suppose G cyclic generated by x . It is easy to see that since λ is an epimorphism, $\lambda(x)$ generates H and so H is cyclic also.

(2): Now suppose G is cyclic and $H \leq G$. Then by Lemma 1.3, we have an epimorphism $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\phi} G$. Let $S = \phi^{-1}(H)$ then $S \leq \mathbb{Z}$ and so is cyclic generated by some integer $d \geq 0$. Then ϕ restricts to an epimorphism $S \twoheadrightarrow H$ and so H is cyclic as it is a quotient of a cyclic group. \square

2 Invariant Subgroups

We begin this section with yet another important example of a homomorphism:

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be a group and $g \in G$. We define $\gamma_g : G \rightarrow G$ by $\gamma_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$ for all $h \in G$. γ_g is referred to as the conjugation map given by conjugation by g .*

Then $\gamma_g \in \text{Aut}(G)$ for all $g \in G$. We refer to the set $\{\gamma_g | g \in G\}$ as the set of inner automorphisms of G and denote it $\text{Inn}(G)$.

Proof. The only thing we need to prove is that γ_g is a bijective homomorphism of G to itself.

We calculate

$$\gamma_g(hw) = ghwg^{-1} = ghg^{-1}gwg^{-1} = \gamma_g(h)\gamma_g(w)$$

and hence γ_g is an endomorphism of G . On the other hand

$$\gamma_{g^{-1}}(\gamma_g(h)) = \gamma_{g^{-1}}(ghg^{-1}) = g^{-1}ghg^{-1}(g^{-1})^{-1} = h$$

for all $h \in G$. Thus $\gamma_{g^{-1}} \circ \gamma_g = 1_G$ for all $g \in G$, and also $\gamma_g \circ \gamma_{g^{-1}} = 1_G$ by interchanging the roles of g and g^{-1} . Thus each γ_g is bijective and hence gives an automorphism of G . \square

We next show that the correspondence $g \rightarrow \gamma_g$ itself is a homomorphism!

Theorem 2.2 (Conjugation Action Homomorphism). *Let G be a group, then the function $\Theta : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ given by $\Theta(g) = \gamma_g$ is a homomorphism with image $\text{Inn}(G)$ and kernel $Z(G) = \{g \in G | gh = hg \text{ for all } h \in G\}$. $Z(G)$ is called the center of G , it consists of the elements of G which commute with everything in G .*

Thus $\text{Inn}(G) \leq \text{Aut}(G)$, $Z(G) \trianglelefteq G$ and $G/Z(G) \cong \text{Inn}(G)$.

Proof. We calculate:

$$\gamma_{gg'}(h) = (gg')h(gg')^{-1} = g(g'hg'^{-1})g^{-1} = g\gamma_{g'}(h)g^{-1} = \gamma_g(\gamma_{g'}(h))$$

for all $g, g', h \in G$. Thus we conclude $\gamma_{gg'} = \gamma_g \circ \gamma_{g'}$ for all $g, g' \in G$ and hence Θ is a homomorphism.

It is clear that $Im(\Theta) = Inn(G)$ by definition. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} g \in ker(\Theta) &\leftrightarrow \gamma_g = 1_G \\ &\leftrightarrow ghg^{-1} = h \text{ for all } h \in G \\ &\leftrightarrow gh = hg \text{ for all } h \in G \\ &\leftrightarrow g \in Z(G). \end{aligned}$$

The final line of the theorem then follows from the First Isomorphism Theorem and the general facts on images and kernels of homomorphisms. \square

Definition 2.3. *In the homework, you will in fact show that $Inn(G) \trianglelefteq Aut(G)$. Thus we may define the quotient group $Out(G) = Aut(G)/Inn(G)$, of outer automorphisms of G . Thus $Out(G)$ measures in some sense the automorphisms of G which are not given by conjugation.*

Notice that if G is Abelian, all non-identity automorphisms of G have to be outer automorphisms.

We look at some examples next, but first a basic lemma:

Lemma 2.4 (Orders under homomorphisms). *If $f : G \rightarrow H$ is a homomorphism and $x \in G$ has finite order then $f(x)$ also has finite order and $o(f(x)) | o(x)$.*

If $f : G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism then $o(x) = o(f(x))$ for all $x \in G$.

Proof. Let $x \in G$ have finite order $o(x) = m \geq 1$. Then $x^m = e$ so applying f to both sides of this equation we find $f(x)^m = f(x^m) = f(e) = e$. Thus $f(x)$ has finite order and $o(f(x)) | m$ as we desired to show.

If $f : G \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism, it restricts to an isomorphism of $\langle x \rangle$ and $\langle f(x) \rangle$ and so $o(x) = o(f(x))$ in this case. \square

We can now work out some examples:

Example 2.5 (Automorphisms of Σ_3). *As $|\Sigma_3| = 3! = 6$, there are $6! = 720$ bijections of Σ_3 to itself. We would like to decide which of these are automorphisms! We can compute the orders of elements of Σ_3 :*

Order 1 : e

Order 2 : $(12), (13), (23)$

Order 3 : $(123), (132)$

We have seen that $\{(12), (13)\}$ generate Σ_3 and that automorphisms τ are uniquely determined by what they do on a generating set. Thus it suffices to

consider the possibilities for $\tau((12))$ and $\tau((13))$. Since (12) has order 2, we see that $\tau((12))$ would have to have order 2 by Lemma 2.4. Thus there are 3 possibilities for $\tau((12))$. Once we have chosen one of these, as $\tau((13))$ must also have order 2, and τ is injective we have two possibilities left for $\tau((13))$. Thus there are at most $3 \times 2 = 6$ automorphisms of Σ_3 .

On the other hand it is simple to check that $Z(\Sigma_3) = \{e\}$ and so $\text{Inn}(\Sigma_3) \cong \Sigma_3/Z(\Sigma_3)$ has order 6. Thus we conclude that $\text{Aut}(\Sigma_3) = \text{Inn}(\Sigma_3) \cong \Sigma_3$ and that $\text{Out}(\Sigma_3) = 1$. Thus all automorphisms of Σ_3 are inner automorphisms.

Notice also that only 6 out of the 720 bijections of Σ_3 to itself preserve the algebraic structure!

We next consider subgroups invariant under various classes of endomorphisms of G :

Definition 2.6. Let $H \leq G$. We say that:

- (1) H is normal in G if $\gamma_g(H) \subseteq H$ for all inner automorphisms γ_g of G . We write $H \trianglelefteq G$ in this case.
- (2) H is characteristic in G if $\tau(H) \subseteq H$ for all automorphisms τ of G . We write $H \leq_{\text{char}} G$ in this case.
- (3) H is fully invariant in G if $\lambda(H) \subseteq H$ for all endomorphisms λ of G . We write $H \leq_{\text{f.i.}} G$ in this case.

It is immediate that

$$H \leq_{\text{f.i.}} G \implies H \leq_{\text{char}} G \implies H \trianglelefteq G.$$

This is because $\text{Inn}(G) \subseteq \text{Aut}(G) \subseteq \text{End}(G)$ and because if a subgroup is invariant under a bigger set of endomorphisms, it is also invariant for a subset.

One thing we should check is that the definition of normal subgroup given in Definition 2.6 is the same as the one we previously gave!

Note $\gamma_g(H) \subset H$ for all $g \in G$ is equivalent to $gHg^{-1} \subset H$ for all $g \in G$. This is in turn equivalent to $gHg^{-1} = H$ for all $g \in G$. (This can be seen by replacing g with g^{-1} .) Finally this is equivalent to $gH = Hg$ for all $g \in G$ which was our original definition of normality. Thus the two definitions coincide.

The following is a basic proposition:

Proposition 2.7. Let G be a group then:

- (1) $K \leq_{\text{char}} H, H \leq_{\text{char}} G \implies K \leq_{\text{char}} G$.

- (2) $K \leq_{f.i.} H, H \leq_{f.i.} G \implies K \leq_{f.i.} G$.
 (3) $K \trianglelefteq H, H \trianglelefteq G$ does not imply $K \trianglelefteq G$. Thus "being a normal subgroup" is not a transitive relation!

Proof. We only prove (1). The proof of (2) is similar and is left to the reader. So suppose $K \leq_{char} H, H \leq_{char} G$ and let $\tau \in Aut(G)$. Then τ restricts to an automorphism $\tau|_H$ of H as H is characteristic in G . (τ^{-1} will restrict to give the inverse automorphism.) Since K is characteristic in H , $\tau|_H(K) = \tau(K) \subseteq K$. Thus we see that $\tau(K) \subseteq K$ for all $\tau \in Aut(G)$ and so $K \leq_{char} G$.

A counterexample for (3) will be done in the Homework. The proof for (1) and (2) does not carry over to (3) as the restriction of an inner automorphism of G to a subgroup H can yield an automorphism of H which is not inner. For example, in Σ_3 , let $H = \langle (123) \rangle$ and let $g = (12) \in \Sigma_3 - H$. Then conjugation by g , gives an inner automorphism γ_g of Σ_3 which restricts to a nontrivial automorphism of H as $H \trianglelefteq \Sigma_3$. Moreover since H is Abelian, we see that $\gamma_g|_H$ is an outer automorphism of H . So restrictions of inner automorphisms need not be inner!

□

We will give an example of a fully invariant subgroup but first a definition:

Definition 2.8 (Commutators). Given a group G and $x, y \in G$ we define the commutator $[x, y]$ by $[x, y] = xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$. It is simple to check that

$$xy = [x, y]yx$$

and so the commutator $[x, y]$ measures the failure of x and y to commute.

Note:

$$[x, y]^{-1} = (xyx^{-1}y^{-1})^{-1} = yxy^{-1}x^{-1} = [y, x].$$

So the inverse of a commutator $[x, y]$ is the commutator $[y, x]$.

Example 2.9 (Commutator subgroup). Given a group G , we define the commutator subgroup G' (sometimes denoted also by $[G, G]$) by

$$G' = \langle [x, y] \mid x, y \in G \rangle .$$

Thus G' is generated by all the commutators in G . The typical element in G' is a finite product of commutators $[x_1, y_1][x_1, y_2] \dots [x_k, y_k]$. (we don't have to use inverses as the inverse of a commutator is itself a commutator).

Note it is easy to see that $G' = \{e\}$ if and only if G is Abelian.

Proposition 2.10 (Commutator Subgroups are Fully Invariant). *Let G be a group, then $G' \leq_{f.i.} G$ and so in particular $G' \trianglelefteq G$. The quotient group G/G' is Abelian.*

Proof. Let $f : G \rightarrow G$ be an endomorphism of G . Then we compute:

$$f([x, y]) = f(xy x^{-1} y^{-1}) = f(x) f(y) f(x)^{-1} f(y)^{-1} = [f(x), f(y)].$$

Thus f takes commutators to commutators and hence takes a finite product of commutators to a finite product of commutators. Hence $f(G') \subseteq G'$. Since this holds for any endomorphism f , we see that $G' \leq_{f.i.} G$.

Now we show G/G' is Abelian. Let $x, y \in G$ then it is simple to compute that $[xG', yG'] = [x, y]G' = G'$ as $[x, y] \in G'$. Thus xG', yG' commute in G/G' . Since xG', yG' were arbitrary in G/G' we conclude that G/G' is Abelian. \square

Theorem 2.11 (Abelianizations). *Given a group G we define G_{ab} , the Abelianization of G via $G_{ab} = G/G'$. We have seen previously that G_{ab} is Abelian. In fact, it is the largest Abelian quotient of G . In other words, given an epimorphism $G \xrightarrow{\psi} A$ where A is Abelian, we may always find a homomorphism μ making the following diagram commute:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{\psi} & A \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \mu \\ & G/G' = G_{ab} & \end{array}$$

In particular, A will be a quotient of G_{ab} .

Proof. This will follow from our fundamental factorization lemma once we can show G' is contained in $\ker(\psi)$. Now $\psi([x, y]) = [\psi(x), \psi(y)] = e$ as this final commutator lives in an Abelian group A . Thus all commutators of G lie in $\ker(\psi)$ and so it follows that $G' \subseteq \ker(\psi)$. The existence of a homomorphism μ making the diagram commute then follows from our fundamental factorization theorem. \square