

The Fekete-Szegő Theorem with Local Rationality Conditions on Curves

Robert Rumely

Second Annual Upstate Number Theory Conference
University of Rochester
April 28, 2012

First Example

Consider the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $R > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to $D(0, R)$. If $R < 1$ there are only finitely many.

It is not obvious, but these assertions remain true for $D(a, R)$, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Analogous assertions hold for a filled ellipse $\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} \leq 1$:

- If $(A + B)/2 > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to the filled ellipse.
- If $(A + B)/2 < 1$, there are only finitely many.

First Example

Consider the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $R > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to $D(0, R)$. If $R < 1$ there are only finitely many.

It is not obvious, but these assertions remain true for $D(a, R)$, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Analogous assertions hold for a filled ellipse $\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} \leq 1$:

- If $(A + B)/2 > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to the filled ellipse.
- If $(A + B)/2 < 1$, there are only finitely many.

First Example

Consider the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $R > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to $D(0, R)$. If $R < 1$ there are only finitely many.

It is not obvious, but these assertions remain true for $D(a, R)$, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Analogous assertions hold for a filled ellipse $\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} \leq 1$:

- If $(A + B)/2 > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to the filled ellipse.
- If $(A + B)/2 < 1$, there are only finitely many.

First Example

Consider the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $R > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to $D(0, R)$. If $R < 1$ there are only finitely many.

It is not obvious, but these assertions remain true for $D(a, R)$, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Analogous assertions hold for a filled ellipse $\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} \leq 1$:

- If $(A + B)/2 > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to the filled ellipse.
- If $(A + B)/2 < 1$, there are only finitely many.

First Example

Consider the disc $D(0, R) \subset \mathbb{C}$. If $R > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to $D(0, R)$. If $R < 1$ there are only finitely many.

It is not obvious, but these assertions remain true for $D(a, R)$, for any $a \in \mathbb{R}$.

Analogous assertions hold for a filled ellipse $\frac{x^2}{A^2} + \frac{y^2}{B^2} \leq 1$:

- If $(A + B)/2 > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to the filled ellipse.
- If $(A + B)/2 < 1$, there are only finitely many.

The Classical Theorems of Fekete and Fekete-Szegő

There is a measure of size for sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, called the logarithmic capacity $\gamma(E)$, which arises in potential theory and has applications in arithmetic:

Theorem (Fekete, 1923; Fekete-Szegő, 1955)

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set which is stable under complex conjugation, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its interior. If the logarithmic capacity $\gamma(E) > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to E . If $\gamma(E) < 1$, there are only finitely many.

The Classical Theorems of Fekete and Fekete-Szegő

There is a measure of size for sets $E \subset \mathbb{C}$, called the logarithmic capacity $\gamma(E)$, which arises in potential theory and has applications in arithmetic:

Theorem (Fekete, 1923; Fekete-Szegő, 1955)

Let $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a compact set which is stable under complex conjugation, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its interior. If the logarithmic capacity $\gamma(E) > 1$, there are infinitely many algebraic integers whose conjugates all belong to E . If $\gamma(E) < 1$, there are only finitely many.

Definition of the Logarithmic Capacity

The basic harmonic potential in the plane is $-\log(|z - w|)$.

Given a probability measure ν with support contained E , its *energy integral* is

$$I(\nu) = \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The Robin constant $V_\infty(E)$ is the infimum of the energy integrals, over all probability measures with support in E :

$$V_\infty(E) = \inf_{\nu \text{ on } E} \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The logarithmic capacity is defined by $\gamma(E) = e^{-V_\infty(E)}$.

Definition of the Logarithmic Capacity

The basic harmonic potential in the plane is $-\log(|z - w|)$. Given a probability measure ν with support contained E , its *energy integral* is

$$I(\nu) = \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The Robin constant $V_\infty(E)$ is the infimum of the energy integrals, over all probability measures with support in E :

$$V_\infty(E) = \inf_{\nu \text{ on } E} \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The logarithmic capacity is defined by $\gamma(E) = e^{-V_\infty(E)}$.

Definition of the Logarithmic Capacity

The basic harmonic potential in the plane is $-\log(|z - w|)$. Given a probability measure ν with support contained E , its *energy integral* is

$$I(\nu) = \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The Robin constant $V_\infty(E)$ is the infimum of the energy integrals, over all probability measures with support in E :

$$V_\infty(E) = \inf_{\nu \text{ on } E} \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The logarithmic capacity is defined by $\gamma(E) = e^{-V_\infty(E)}$.

Definition of the Logarithmic Capacity

The basic harmonic potential in the plane is $-\log(|z - w|)$. Given a probability measure ν with support contained E , its *energy integral* is

$$I(\nu) = \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The Robin constant $V_\infty(E)$ is the infimum of the energy integrals, over all probability measures with support in E :

$$V_\infty(E) = \inf_{\nu \text{ on } E} \iint_{E \times E} -\log(|z - w|) d\nu(z) d\nu(w) .$$

The logarithmic capacity is defined by $\gamma(E) = e^{-V_\infty(E)}$.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

If E is compact and $\gamma(E) > 0$, there is a unique probability measure ν on E , called the *equilibrium distribution*, which achieves the minimal energy integral $V_\infty(E)$.

The *Green's function* $G(z, \infty; E)$ is defined by

$$G(z, \infty; E) = -V_\infty(E) + \int_E \log(|z - w|) d\mu(z).$$

When E has a piecewise smooth boundary, the Green's function has the following properties:

- $G(z, \infty; E) = 0$ on E ;
- $G(z, \infty; E)$ is continuous on \mathbb{C} , and harmonic and positive in $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$;
- $G(z, \infty; E) = \log(|z|) - V_\infty(E) + o(1)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, it is uniquely characterized by these properties.

Computing Capacities

The best way to compute capacities is to guess the Green's function, then read off the Robin constant by

$$V_{\infty}(E) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(z, \infty; E) - \log(|z|) .$$

For example, when $E = D(0, R)$,
then $G(z, \infty; E) = \log^+(|z|/R)$
so $V_{\infty}(E) = -\log(R)$
and $\gamma(E) = e^{-(-\log(R))} = R$.

If E is connected, its Green's function can be computed by finding a conformal mapping from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus E$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus D(0, 1)$ which takes $\infty \rightarrow \infty$.

Computing Capacities

The best way to compute capacities is to guess the Green's function, then read off the Robin constant by

$$V_{\infty}(E) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(z, \infty; E) - \log(|z|) .$$

For example, when $E = D(0, R)$,
then $G(z, \infty; E) = \log^+(|z|/R)$
so $V_{\infty}(E) = -\log(R)$
and $\gamma(E) = e^{-(-\log(R))} = R$.

If E is connected, its Green's function can be computed by finding a conformal mapping from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus E$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus D(0, 1)$ which takes $\infty \rightarrow \infty$.

Computing Capacities

The best way to compute capacities is to guess the Green's function, then read off the Robin constant by

$$V_\infty(E) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(z, \infty; E) - \log(|z|) .$$

For example, when $E = D(0, R)$,
then $G(z, \infty; E) = \log^+(|z|/R)$
so $V_\infty(E) = -\log(R)$
and $\gamma(E) = e^{-(-\log(R))} = R$.

If E is connected, its Green's function can be computed by finding a conformal mapping from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus E$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus D(0, 1)$ which takes $\infty \rightarrow \infty$.

Computing Capacities

The best way to compute capacities is to guess the Green's function, then read off the Robin constant by

$$V_\infty(E) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(z, \infty; E) - \log(|z|) .$$

For example, when $E = D(0, R)$,
then $G(z, \infty; E) = \log^+(|z|/R)$
so $V_\infty(E) = -\log(R)$
and $\gamma(E) = e^{-(-\log(R))} = R$.

If E is connected, its Green's function can be computed by finding a conformal mapping from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus E$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus D(0, 1)$ which takes $\infty \rightarrow \infty$.

Computing Capacities

The best way to compute capacities is to guess the Green's function, then read off the Robin constant by

$$V_\infty(E) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} G(z, \infty; E) - \log(|z|) .$$

For example, when $E = D(0, R)$,
then $G(z, \infty; E) = \log^+(|z|/R)$
so $V_\infty(E) = -\log(R)$
and $\gamma(E) = e^{-(-\log(R))} = R$.

If E is connected, its Green's function can be computed by finding a conformal mapping from $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus E$ to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \setminus D(0, 1)$ which takes $\infty \rightarrow \infty$.

Sketch of the Proof of the Fekete-Szegő Theorem

Suppose $\gamma(E) > 1$.

Let U be the interior of E , then shrink E inside U .

By discretizing the equilibrium distribution, construct a monic polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ of degree n whose normalized logarithm $\frac{1}{n} \log(|P(z)|)$ approximates $G(z, \infty; E) + V_\infty(E)$ outside E . Since $\gamma(E) > 1$, we have

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |P(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

By a process called *patching*, we can use $P(z)$ to construct a monic polynomial $Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ with much higher degree such that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |Q(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

The algebraic integers in the Fekete-Szegő theorem are the roots of $R(z)^N - 1 = 0$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Sketch of the Proof of the Fekete-Szegő Theorem

Suppose $\gamma(E) > 1$.

Let U be the interior of E , then shrink E inside U .

By discretizing the equilibrium distribution, construct a monic polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ of degree n whose normalized logarithm $\frac{1}{n} \log(|P(z)|)$ approximates $G(z, \infty; E) + V_\infty(E)$ outside E . Since $\gamma(E) > 1$, we have

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |P(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

By a process called *patching*, we can use $P(z)$ to construct a monic polynomial $Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ with much higher degree such that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |Q(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

The algebraic integers in the Fekete-Szegő theorem are the roots of $R(z)^N - 1 = 0$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Sketch of the Proof of the Fekete-Szegő Theorem

Suppose $\gamma(E) > 1$.

Let U be the interior of E , then shrink E inside U .

By discretizing the equilibrium distribution, construct a monic polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ of degree n whose normalized logarithm $\frac{1}{n} \log(|P(z)|)$ approximates $G(z, \infty; E) + V_\infty(E)$ outside E . Since $\gamma(E) > 1$, we have

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |P(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

By a process called *patching*, we can use $P(z)$ to construct a monic polynomial $Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ with much higher degree such that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |Q(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

The algebraic integers in the Fekete-Szegő theorem are the roots of $R(z)^N - 1 = 0$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Sketch of the Proof of the Fekete-Szegő Theorem

Suppose $\gamma(E) > 1$.

Let U be the interior of E , then shrink E inside U .

By discretizing the equilibrium distribution, construct a monic polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ of degree n whose normalized logarithm $\frac{1}{n} \log(|P(z)|)$ approximates $G(z, \infty; E) + V_\infty(E)$ outside E . Since $\gamma(E) > 1$, we have

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |P(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

By a process called *patching*, we can use $P(z)$ to construct a monic polynomial $Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ with much higher degree such that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |Q(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

The algebraic integers in the Fekete-Szegő theorem are the roots of $R(z)^N - 1 = 0$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Sketch of the Proof of the Fekete-Szegő Theorem

Suppose $\gamma(E) > 1$.

Let U be the interior of E , then shrink E inside U .

By discretizing the equilibrium distribution, construct a monic polynomial $P(z) \in \mathbb{R}[z]$ of degree n whose normalized logarithm $\frac{1}{n} \log(|P(z)|)$ approximates $G(z, \infty; E) + V_\infty(E)$ outside E . Since $\gamma(E) > 1$, we have

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |P(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

By a process called *patching*, we can use $P(z)$ to construct a monic polynomial $Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z]$ with much higher degree such that

$$\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |Q(z)| \leq 1\} \subset U.$$

The algebraic integers in the Fekete-Szegő theorem are the roots of $R(z)^N - 1 = 0$ for $N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Second Example

The capacity of a segment $E = [a, b]$ is $\gamma(E) = (b - a)/4$.

Theorem (Robinson, 1964)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. If $(b - a)/4 > 1$ there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers whose conjugates belong to $[a, b]$; if $(b - a)/4 < 1$ there are only finitely many.

Here the conjugates belong to the *real* interior of E .

The theorem is proved by constructing Chebyshev-like polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ which are monic and have large oscillations on $[a, b]$. Their roots are the totally real algebraic integers in the theorem.

Second Example

The capacity of a segment $E = [a, b]$ is $\gamma(E) = (b - a)/4$.

Theorem (Robinson, 1964)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. If $(b - a)/4 > 1$ there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers whose conjugates belong to $[a, b]$; if $(b - a)/4 < 1$ there are only finitely many.

Here the conjugates belong to the *real* interior of E .

The theorem is proved by constructing Chebyshev-like polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ which are monic and have large oscillations on $[a, b]$. Their roots are the totally real algebraic integers in the theorem.

Second Example

The capacity of a segment $E = [a, b]$ is $\gamma(E) = (b - a)/4$.

Theorem (Robinson, 1964)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. If $(b - a)/4 > 1$ there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers whose conjugates belong to $[a, b]$; if $(b - a)/4 < 1$ there are only finitely many.

Here the conjugates belong to the *real* interior of E .

The theorem is proved by constructing Chebyshev-like polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ which are monic and have large oscillations on $[a, b]$. Their roots are the totally real algebraic integers in the theorem.

Second Example

The capacity of a segment $E = [a, b]$ is $\gamma(E) = (b - a)/4$.

Theorem (Robinson, 1964)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval. If $(b - a)/4 > 1$ there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers whose conjugates belong to $[a, b]$; if $(b - a)/4 < 1$ there are only finitely many.

Here the conjugates belong to the *real* interior of E .

The theorem is proved by constructing Chebyshev-like polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[z]$ which are monic and have large oscillations on $[a, b]$. Their roots are the totally real algebraic integers in the theorem.

Third Example

Theorem (R, 2000)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be a finite set of primes. If

$$\frac{b-a}{4} \cdot \prod_{p \in S} p^{-1/(p-1)} > 1,$$

there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers α such that each $p \in S$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. If the reverse inequality holds, there are only finitely many.

There are capacities of p -adic sets too. The condition that p splits completely is equivalent to requiring the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p (the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p) to belong to \mathbb{Q}_p .

Third Example

Theorem (R, 2000)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be a finite set of primes. If

$$\frac{b-a}{4} \cdot \prod_{p \in S} p^{-1/(p-1)} > 1,$$

there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers α such that each $p \in S$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. If the reverse inequality holds, there are only finitely many.

There are capacities of p -adic sets too. The condition that p splits completely is equivalent to requiring the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p (the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p) to belong to \mathbb{Q}_p .

Third Example

Theorem (R, 2000)

Let $[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval, and let $S = \{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$ be a finite set of primes. If

$$\frac{b-a}{4} \cdot \prod_{p \in S} p^{-1/(p-1)} > 1,$$

there are infinitely many totally real algebraic integers α such that each $p \in S$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. If the reverse inequality holds, there are only finitely many.

There are capacities of p -adic sets too. The condition that p splits completely is equivalent to requiring the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p (the completion of the algebraic closure of \mathbb{Q}_p) to belong to \mathbb{Q}_p .

Integrality means avoiding ∞

What about the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p for $p \notin S$?

They all belong to $D_p(0, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : |z|_p \leq 1\}$.

An algebraic number is an algebraic integer if and only if its p -adic conjugates belong to $D_p(0, 1)$ for all p .

Another way of viewing the integrality condition is to say that the conjugates *avoid* ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ for all finite primes. Note that $D_p(0, 1) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus B(\infty, 1)^-$.

By allowing more general sets at nonarchimedean places, one can construct algebraic numbers which satisfy prescribed conditions at finitely many places, and are integral at the remaining places.

Integrality means avoiding ∞

What about the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p for $p \notin S$?

They all belong to $D_p(0, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : |z|_p \leq 1\}$.

An algebraic number is an algebraic integer if and only if its p -adic conjugates belong to $D_p(0, 1)$ for all p .

Another way of viewing the integrality condition is to say that the conjugates *avoid* ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ for all finite primes. Note that $D_p(0, 1) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus B(\infty, 1)^-$.

By allowing more general sets at nonarchimedean places, one can construct algebraic numbers which satisfy prescribed conditions at finitely many places, and are integral at the remaining places.

Integrality means avoiding ∞

What about the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p for $p \notin S$?

They all belong to $D_p(0, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : |z|_p \leq 1\}$.

An algebraic number is an algebraic integer if and only if its p -adic conjugates belong to $D_p(0, 1)$ for all p .

Another way of viewing the integrality condition is to say that the conjugates *avoid* ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ for all finite primes. Note that $D_p(0, 1) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus B(\infty, 1)^-$.

By allowing more general sets at nonarchimedean places, one can construct algebraic numbers which satisfy prescribed conditions at finitely many places, and are integral at the remaining places.

Integrality means avoiding ∞

What about the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p for $p \notin S$?

They all belong to $D_p(0, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : |z|_p \leq 1\}$.

An algebraic number is an algebraic integer if and only if its p -adic conjugates belong to $D_p(0, 1)$ for all p .

Another way of viewing the integrality condition is to say that the conjugates *avoid* ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ for all finite primes. Note that $D_p(0, 1) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus B(\infty, 1)^-$.

By allowing more general sets at nonarchimedean places, one can construct algebraic numbers which satisfy prescribed conditions at finitely many places, and are integral at the remaining places.

What about the conjugates in \mathbb{C}_p for $p \notin S$?

They all belong to $D_p(0, 1) = \{z \in \mathbb{C}_p : |z|_p \leq 1\}$.

An algebraic number is an algebraic integer if and only if its p -adic conjugates belong to $D_p(0, 1)$ for all p .

Another way of viewing the integrality condition is to say that the conjugates *avoid* ∞ in $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p)$ for all finite primes. Note that $D_p(0, 1) = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus B(\infty, 1)^-$.

By allowing more general sets at nonarchimedean places, one can construct algebraic numbers which satisfy prescribed conditions at finitely many places, and are integral at the remaining places.

Fourth Example: Allowing more general sets

Theorem

Let $0 < R, L \in \mathbb{R}$, and take $E_\infty = D(0, R) \cup [R, R + L]$, a 'disc with a tail'. Fix a prime p , and let

$$E_p = p\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cup \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cup p^{-1}\mathbb{Z}_p^\times = \mathbb{Q}_p \cap (D_p(0, p) \setminus D_p(0, 1/p)^-),$$

a p -adic annulus. For each prime $q \neq p$, put $E_q = D_q(0, 1)$. Then if

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}R + \frac{1}{4}\frac{R^2 + RL + L^2}{R+L}\right) \cdot p^{1 - \frac{1}{p-1} + \frac{1}{(p-1)^2(1+p^2+p^4)}} > 1,$$

there are infinitely many algebraic numbers whose conjugates in \mathbb{C}_v belong to E_v , for each place v .

If the reverse inequality holds, there are only finitely many.

Allowing more general sets

The sets in the theorem are finite unions of ‘basic sets’:

The set E_∞ is a union of a set in \mathbb{C} which is the closure of its complex interior, and a set in \mathbb{R} which is the closure of its real interior. Note that these sets need not be disjoint.

The set E_p is a union of affine translates of \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$E_p = \bigcup_{i=-1}^1 \bigcup_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(a \cdot p^i + p^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}_p \right).$$

The sets $E_q = D_q(0, 1)$ for $q \neq p$ are ‘trivial’ with respect to ∞ .

Allowing more general sets

The sets in the theorem are finite unions of ‘basic sets’:

The set E_∞ is a union of a set in \mathbb{C} which is the closure of its complex interior, and a set in \mathbb{R} which is the closure of its real interior. Note that these sets need not be disjoint.

The set E_p is a union of affine translates of \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$E_p = \bigcup_{i=-1}^1 \bigcup_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(a \cdot p^i + p^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}_p \right).$$

The sets $E_q = D_q(0, 1)$ for $q \neq p$ are ‘trivial’ with respect to ∞ .

Allowing more general sets

The sets in the theorem are finite unions of ‘basic sets’:

The set E_∞ is a union of a set in \mathbb{C} which is the closure of its complex interior, and a set in \mathbb{R} which is the closure of its real interior. Note that these sets need not be disjoint.

The set E_p is a union of affine translates of \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$E_p = \bigcup_{i=-1}^1 \bigcup_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(a \cdot p^i + p^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}_p \right).$$

The sets $E_q = D_q(0, 1)$ for $q \neq p$ are ‘trivial’ with respect to ∞ .

Allowing more general sets

The sets in the theorem are finite unions of ‘basic sets’:

The set E_∞ is a union of a set in \mathbb{C} which is the closure of its complex interior, and a set in \mathbb{R} which is the closure of its real interior. Note that these sets need not be disjoint.

The set E_p is a union of affine translates of \mathbb{Z}_p :

$$E_p = \bigcup_{i=-1}^1 \bigcup_{a=1}^{p-1} \left(a \cdot p^i + p^{i+1} \mathbb{Z}_p \right).$$

The sets $E_q = D_q(0, 1)$ for $q \neq p$ are ‘trivial’ with respect to ∞ .

Fifth Example

Theorem (Robinson, 1968)

Let $0 < a < b \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the interval $[a, b]$ contains infinitely many totally real algebraic units if and only if

- 1 $\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right) > 0$ and
- 2 $\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right) \cdot \log\left(\frac{b-a}{4ab}\right) - \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right)^2 > 0$

If either condition fails, there are only finitely many.

Discussion

An algebraic number is a unit if and only if its conjugates belong to

$$D_p(0, 1) \setminus D_p(0, 1)^- = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus (B(\infty, 1)^- \cup B(0, 1)^-)$$

for each p , that is, if it avoids ∞ and 0 at each finite place.

The conditions in the Theorem are equivalent to the negative definiteness of

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right) & \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right) \\ \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right) & -\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4ab}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

There are Green's functions and Robin constants with respect any point not in E . Here

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(E, \{\infty, 0\}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_\infty(E) & G(0, \infty; E) \\ G(\infty, 0; E) & V_0(E) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the 'Green's matrix of E ' with respect to ∞ and 0 .

Discussion

An algebraic number is a unit if and only if its conjugates belong to

$$D_p(0, 1) \setminus D_p(0, 1)^- = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus (B(\infty, 1)^- \cup B(0, 1)^-)$$

for each p , that is, if it avoids ∞ and 0 at each finite place.

The conditions in the Theorem are equivalent to the negative definiteness of

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right) & \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right) \\ \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right) & -\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4ab}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

There are Green's functions and Robin constants with respect any point not in E . Here

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(E, \{\infty, 0\}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_\infty(E) & G(0, \infty; E) \\ G(\infty, 0; E) & V_0(E) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the 'Green's matrix of E ' with respect to ∞ and 0 .

Discussion

An algebraic number is a unit if and only if its conjugates belong to

$$D_p(0, 1) \setminus D_p(0, 1)^- = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}_p) \setminus (B(\infty, 1)^- \cup B(0, 1)^-)$$

for each p , that is, if it avoids ∞ and 0 at each finite place.

The conditions in the Theorem are equivalent to the negative definiteness of

$$\Gamma = \begin{pmatrix} -\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right) & \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right) \\ \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{b}+\sqrt{a}}{\sqrt{b}-\sqrt{a}}\right) & -\log\left(\frac{b-a}{4ab}\right) \end{pmatrix}$$

There are Green's functions and Robin constants with respect any point not in E . Here

$$\Gamma = \Gamma(E, \{\infty, 0\}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_\infty(E) & G(0, \infty; E) \\ G(\infty, 0; E) & V_0(E) \end{pmatrix}$$

is the 'Green's matrix of E ' with respect to ∞ and 0 .

The General Framework

Let K be a global field, a number field or a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ for some p . Fix an algebraic closure \tilde{K} of K .

Let \mathcal{C}/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ be a finite, galois-stable set of points: the points to *avoid*.

For each place v of K , let $E_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ be a nonempty set disjoint from \mathfrak{X} . We will require that E_v be galois-stable, and that it be a finite union of ‘ v -basic sets’ as defined below.

For all but finitely many places, we require that $E_v = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, 1)^-)$ be ‘ \mathfrak{X} -trivial’.

The General Framework

Let K be a global field, a number field or a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ for some p . Fix an algebraic closure \tilde{K} of K .

Let \mathcal{C}/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ be a finite, galois-stable set of points: the points to *avoid*.

For each place v of K , let $E_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ be a nonempty set disjoint from \mathfrak{X} . We will require that E_v be galois-stable, and that it be a finite union of ' v -basic sets' as defined below.

For all but finitely many places, we require that $E_v = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, 1)^-)$ be ' \mathfrak{X} -trivial'.

The General Framework

Let K be a global field, a number field or a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ for some p . Fix an algebraic closure \tilde{K} of K .

Let \mathcal{C}/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ be a finite, galois-stable set of points: the points to *avoid*.

For each place v of K , let $E_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ be a nonempty set disjoint from \mathfrak{X} . We will require that E_v be galois-stable, and that it be a finite union of ‘ v -basic sets’ as defined below.

For all but finitely many places, we require that $E_v = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, 1)^-)$ be ‘ \mathfrak{X} -trivial’.

The General Framework

Let K be a global field, a number field or a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ for some p . Fix an algebraic closure \tilde{K} of K .

Let \mathcal{C}/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ be a finite, galois-stable set of points: the points to *avoid*.

For each place v of K , let $E_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ be a nonempty set disjoint from \mathfrak{X} . We will require that E_v be galois-stable, and that it be a finite union of ‘ v -basic sets’ as defined below.

For all but finitely many places, we require that $E_v = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, 1)^-)$ be ‘ \mathfrak{X} -trivial’.

The General Framework

Let K be a global field, a number field or a finite extension of $\mathbb{F}_p(t)$ for some p . Fix an algebraic closure \tilde{K} of K .

Let \mathcal{C}/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve.

Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ be a finite, galois-stable set of points: the points to *avoid*.

For each place v of K , let $E_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ be a nonempty set disjoint from \mathfrak{X} . We will require that E_v be galois-stable, and that it be a finite union of ‘ v -basic sets’ as defined below.

For all but finitely many places, we require that $E_v = \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=1}^m B(x_i, 1)^-)$ be ‘ \mathfrak{X} -trivial’.

Basic Sets

If v is archimedean and $K_v \cong \mathbb{C}$, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is v -basic if it is simply connected, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its interior.

If v is archimedean and $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is v -basic if either

- it is simply connected, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its \mathbb{C} -interior; or
- it is contained in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ and is homeomorphic to a segment $[a, b]$.

If v is nonarchimedean, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ is v -basic if

- it is an open ball $B(a, r)^-$ or a closed ball $B(a, r)$; or
- it is a closed affinoid in the sense of rigid analysis; or
- for some separable algebraic extension L_w/K_v (finite or infinite), it is the intersection of $\mathcal{C}(L_w)$ with an open or closed ball or an affinoid.

Basic Sets

If v is archimedean and $K_v \cong \mathbb{C}$, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is v -basic if it is simply connected, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its interior.

If v is archimedean and $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is v -basic if either

- it is simply connected, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its \mathbb{C} -interior; or
- it is contained in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ and is homeomorphic to a segment $[a, b]$.

If v is nonarchimedean, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ is v -basic if

- it is an open ball $B(a, r)^-$ or a closed ball $B(a, r)$; or
- it is a closed affinoid in the sense of rigid analysis; or
- for some separable algebraic extension L_w/K_v (finite or infinite), it is the intersection of $\mathcal{C}(L_w)$ with an open or closed ball or an affinoid.

Basic Sets

If v is archimedean and $K_v \cong \mathbb{C}$, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is v -basic if it is simply connected, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its interior.

If v is archimedean and $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C})$ is v -basic if either

- it is simply connected, has a piecewise smooth boundary, and is the closure of its \mathbb{C} -interior; or
- it is contained in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{R})$ and is homeomorphic to a segment $[a, b]$.

If v is nonarchimedean, a set $F_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ is v -basic if

- it is an open ball $B(a, r)^-$ or a closed ball $B(a, r)$; or
- it is a closed affinoid in the sense of rigid analysis; or
- for some separable algebraic extension L_w/K_v (finite or infinite), it is the intersection of $\mathcal{C}(L_w)$ with an open or closed ball or an affinoid.

The Fekete-Szegő Theorem with Local Rationality Conditions

Theorem (R, 2012)

Let K be a global field. Let \mathcal{C}/K be a smooth, projective, geometrically integral curve. Let $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \subset \mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ be a finite set of points stable under $\text{Aut}(\tilde{L}/K)$. For each place v of K , let $E_v \subset \mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v) \setminus \mathfrak{X}$ be a nonempty set which is a finite union of v -basic sets and is stable under the group of continuous automorphisms $\text{Aut}^c(\mathbb{C}_v/K_v) \cong \text{Aut}(\tilde{K}^{\text{sep}})/K_v$. Assume that E_v is \mathfrak{X} -trivial for all but finitely many v .

Put $\mathbb{E} = \prod_v E_v$. There is a measure of size $\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X})$ called the Cantor capacity such that if $\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) > 1$, there are infinitely many points of $\mathcal{C}(\tilde{K})$ whose conjugates in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{C}_v)$ all belong to E_v , for each place v of K . If $\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) < 1$, there are only finitely many such points.

The Cantor Capacity

For each place v , define the local Green's matrix to be the $m \times m$ symmetric matrix

$$\Gamma(E_v, \mathfrak{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_{x_1}(E_v) & G(x_2, x_1; E_v) & \cdots & G(x_m, x_1; E_v) \\ G(x_1, x_2; E_v) & V_{x_2}(E_v) & \cdots & G(x_m, x_2; E_v) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G(x_1, x_m; E_v) & G(x_2, x_m; E_v) & \cdots & V_{x_m}(E_v) \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$, put $\mathbb{E} = \prod_v E_v$. Define the global Green's matrix

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \sum_v \Gamma(E_v, \mathfrak{X}) \log(Nv),$$

where Nv is the order of the residue field at v , and $\log(Nv) = 1$ if $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\log(Nv) = 2$ if $K_v \cong \mathbb{C}$.

If $\mathfrak{X} \not\subset \mathcal{C}(K)$, put $L = K(\mathfrak{X})$ and let $\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \frac{1}{[L:K]} \Gamma(\mathbb{E}_L, \mathfrak{X})$.

The Cantor Capacity

For each place v , define the local Green's matrix to be the $m \times m$ symmetric matrix

$$\Gamma(E_v, \mathfrak{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_{x_1}(E_v) & G(x_2, x_1; E_v) & \cdots & G(x_m, x_1; E_v) \\ G(x_1, x_2; E_v) & V_{x_2}(E_v) & \cdots & G(x_m, x_2; E_v) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G(x_1, x_m; E_v) & G(x_2, x_m; E_v) & \cdots & V_{x_m}(E_v) \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$, put $\mathbb{E} = \prod_v E_v$. Define the global Green's matrix

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \sum_v \Gamma(E_v, \mathfrak{X}) \log(Nv),$$

where Nv is the order of the residue field at v , and $\log(Nv) = 1$ if $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\log(Nv) = 2$ if $K_v \cong \mathbb{C}$.

If $\mathfrak{X} \not\subset \mathcal{C}(K)$, put $L = K(\mathfrak{X})$ and let $\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \frac{1}{[L:K]} \Gamma(\mathbb{E}_L, \mathfrak{X})$.

The Cantor Capacity

For each place v , define the local Green's matrix to be the $m \times m$ symmetric matrix

$$\Gamma(E_v, \mathfrak{X}) = \begin{pmatrix} V_{x_1}(E_v) & G(x_2, x_1; E_v) & \cdots & G(x_m, x_1; E_v) \\ G(x_1, x_2; E_v) & V_{x_2}(E_v) & \cdots & G(x_m, x_2; E_v) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ G(x_1, x_m; E_v) & G(x_2, x_m; E_v) & \cdots & V_{x_m}(E_v) \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\mathfrak{X} \subset \mathcal{C}(K)$, put $\mathbb{E} = \prod_v E_v$. Define the global Green's matrix

$$\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \sum_v \Gamma(E_v, \mathfrak{X}) \log(Nv),$$

where Nv is the order of the residue field at v , and $\log(Nv) = 1$ if $K_v \cong \mathbb{R}$ and $\log(Nv) = 2$ if $K_v \cong \mathbb{C}$.

If $\mathfrak{X} \not\subset \mathcal{C}(K)$, put $L = K(\mathfrak{X})$ and let $\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \frac{1}{[L:K]} \Gamma(\mathbb{E}_L, \mathfrak{X})$.

The Cantor Capacity

Let

$\mathcal{P}_m = \{(\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \geq 0, \mathbf{s}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{s}_m = \mathbf{1}\}$
denote the set of m -element *probability vectors*.

There is a simple criterion for a symmetric $m \times m$ matrix to be negative definite: The *value of Γ as a matrix game* is

$$\text{val}(\Gamma) = \max_{\vec{s} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \min_{\vec{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m} {}^t \vec{s} \Gamma \vec{r},$$

and Γ is negative definite if and only if $\text{val}(\Gamma) < 0$.

In general, for $\mathbb{E} = \prod_V E_V$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, the Cantor capacity of \mathbb{E} with respect to \mathfrak{X} is defined to be

$$\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = e^{-\text{val}(\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}))}.$$

The Cantor Capacity

Let

$\mathcal{P}_m = \{(\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \geq 0, \mathbf{s}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{s}_m = \mathbf{1}\}$
denote the set of m -element *probability vectors*.

There is a simple criterion for a symmetric $m \times m$ matrix to be negative definite: The *value of Γ as a matrix game* is

$$\text{val}(\Gamma) = \max_{\vec{s} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \min_{\vec{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m} {}^t \vec{s} \Gamma \vec{r},$$

and Γ is negative definite if and only if $\text{val}(\Gamma) < 0$.

In general, for $\mathbb{E} = \prod_V E_V$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, the Cantor capacity of \mathbb{E} with respect to \mathfrak{X} is defined to be

$$\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = e^{-\text{val}(\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}))}.$$

The Cantor Capacity

Let

$\mathcal{P}_m = \{(\mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m : \mathbf{s}_1, \dots, \mathbf{s}_m \geq 0, \mathbf{s}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{s}_m = 1\}$
denote the set of m -element *probability vectors*.

There is a simple criterion for a symmetric $m \times m$ matrix to be negative definite: The *value of Γ as a matrix game* is

$$\text{val}(\Gamma) = \max_{\vec{s} \in \mathcal{P}_m} \min_{\vec{r} \in \mathcal{P}_m} {}^t \vec{s} \Gamma \vec{r},$$

and Γ is negative definite if and only if $\text{val}(\Gamma) < 0$.

In general, for $\mathbb{E} = \prod_V E_V$ and $\mathfrak{X} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$, the Cantor capacity of \mathbb{E} with respect to \mathfrak{X} is defined to be

$$\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = e^{-\text{val}(\Gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}))}.$$

An Elliptic Curve example

Let \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Q} be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 256x$.

The real locus $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ has two components, with a bounded loop lying over the interval $[-16, 0]$.

Theorem

There are infinitely many points $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose archimedean conjugates all belong to the bounded real loop of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, whose 2-adic conjugates all belong to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and whose p -adic conjugates all belong to $\mathcal{E}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ is the ring of integers of \mathbb{C}_p

Here $\mathfrak{X} = \{\bar{0}\}$ (the origin of \mathcal{E}), and $\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{X}) = \prod_v \gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_v)$ where

$\gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_\infty) = 2$, $\gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_2) = 2^{-106/107}$, and $\gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_p) = 1$ for all odd p .

An Elliptic Curve example

Let \mathcal{E}/\mathbb{Q} be the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 256x$.

The real locus $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ has two components, with a bounded loop lying over the interval $[-16, 0]$.

Theorem

There are infinitely many points $\alpha \in \mathcal{E}(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ whose archimedean conjugates all belong to the bounded real loop of $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$, whose 2-adic conjugates all belong to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$, and whose p -adic conjugates all belong to $\mathcal{E}(\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p)$ where $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_p$ is the ring of integers of \mathbb{C}_p

Here $\mathfrak{x} = \{\bar{0}\}$ (the origin of \mathcal{E}), and $\gamma(\mathbb{E}, \mathfrak{x}) = \prod_v \gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_v)$ where

$\gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_\infty) = 2$, $\gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_2) = 2^{-106/107}$, and $\gamma_{\bar{0}}(E_p) = 1$ for all odd p .

A Fermat Curve example

Take $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and consider the Fermat Curve \mathcal{F} with affine equation $x^p + y^p = 1$.

It has p points at ∞ ; let \mathfrak{X} be that set of points.

Take $0 < R \in \mathbb{R}$ and put $E_\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) : |x| \leq R\}$.

At the prime p , let L_w/\mathbb{Q}_p be the extension $L_w = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$.

Put $E_p = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_{L_w})$.

For all other primes q , let E_q be the \mathfrak{X} -trivial set.

McCallum has determined a regular model for \mathcal{F} over \mathcal{O}_{L_w} ; it has n_p components of a certain type, corresponding to the number of nontrivial linear \mathbb{F}_p -rational factors of the equation $((x - y)^p - (x^p - y^p))/p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

A Fermat Curve example

Take $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and consider the Fermat Curve \mathcal{F} with affine equation $x^p + y^p = 1$.

It has p points at ∞ ; let \mathfrak{X} be that set of points.

Take $0 < R \in \mathbb{R}$ and put $E_\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) : |x| \leq R\}$.

At the prime p , let L_w/\mathbb{Q}_p be the extension $L_w = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$.

Put $E_p = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_{L_w})$.

For all other primes q , let E_q be the \mathfrak{X} -trivial set.

McCallum has determined a regular model for \mathcal{F} over \mathcal{O}_{L_w} ; it has n_p components of a certain type, corresponding to the number of nontrivial linear \mathbb{F}_p -rational factors of the equation $((x - y)^p - (x^p - y^p))/p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

A Fermat Curve example

Take $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and consider the Fermat Curve \mathcal{F} with affine equation $x^p + y^p = 1$.

It has p points at ∞ ; let \mathfrak{X} be that set of points.

Take $0 < R \in \mathbb{R}$ and put $E_\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) : |x| \leq R\}$.

At the prime p , let L_w/\mathbb{Q}_p be the extension $L_w = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$.

Put $E_p = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_{L_w})$.

For all other primes q , let E_q be the \mathfrak{X} -trivial set.

McCallum has determined a regular model for \mathcal{F} over \mathcal{O}_{L_w} ; it has n_p components of a certain type, corresponding to the number of nontrivial linear \mathbb{F}_p -rational factors of the equation $((x - y)^p - (x^p - y^p))/p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

A Fermat Curve example

Take $K = \mathbb{Q}$ and consider the Fermat Curve \mathcal{F} with affine equation $x^p + y^p = 1$.

It has p points at ∞ ; let \mathfrak{X} be that set of points.

Take $0 < R \in \mathbb{R}$ and put $E_\infty = \{(x, y) \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{C}) : |x| \leq R\}$.

At the prime p , let L_w/\mathbb{Q}_p be the extension $L_w = \mathbb{Q}_p(\zeta_p)$.

Put $E_p = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{O}_{L_w})$.

For all other primes q , let E_q be the \mathfrak{X} -trivial set.

McCallum has determined a regular model for \mathcal{F} over \mathcal{O}_{L_w} ; it has n_p components of a certain type, corresponding to the number of nontrivial linear \mathbb{F}_p -rational factors of the equation $((x - y)^p - (x^p - y^p))/p \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$.

A Fermat Curve example

Theorem

There are infinitely many points of $\mathcal{F}(\tilde{\mathbb{Q}})$ which have all their conjugates in E_v for each v if

$$R \cdot p^{-\frac{p(2p-1)}{(p-1)^2((2n_p+2)p-n_p)}} > 1,$$

and only finitely many if the opposite inequality holds.

