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#### Abstract

This paper constructs a new family in the stable homotopy of spheres $\pi_{t-6} S$ represented by $h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3} \in E_{2}^{6, t}$ in the Adams spectral sequence which revisits the $b_{n-1} g_{0} \gamma_{3}$-elements $\in \pi_{t-7} S$ constructed in [3], where $t=2 p^{n}(p-1)+6\left(p^{2}+p+1\right)(p-1)$ and $p \geq 7$ is a prime, $n \geq 4$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $A$ be the $\bmod p$ Steenrod algebra and $S$ the sphere spectrum localized at an odd prime $p$. To determine the stable homotopy groups of spheres $\pi_{*} S$ is one of the central problems in homotopy theory. One of the main tools to reach it is the Adams spectral sequence (ASS) $E_{2}^{s, t}=\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{s, t}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s} S$, where the $E_{2}^{s, t}$-term is the cohomology of $A$.

From [1], $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ has the $Z_{p}$-base consisting of $a_{0} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1,1}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right), h_{i} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{i} q}$ $\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ for all $i \geq 0$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ has the $Z_{p}$-base consisting of $\alpha_{2}, a_{0}^{2}, a_{0} h_{i}(i>0), g_{i}(i \geq$ $0), k_{i}(i \geq 0), b_{i}(i \geq 0)$ and $h_{i} h_{j}(j \geq i+2, i \geq 0)$ whose internal degrees are $2 q+1,2, p^{i} q+$ $1, p^{i+1} q+2 p^{i} q, 2 p^{i+1} q+p^{i} q, p^{i+1} q$ and $p^{i} q+p^{j} q$, respectively, where $q=2(p-1)$. From [2, p.110, Table 8.1], the $Z_{p}$-base of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, *}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ has been completely listed and there is a generator $\gamma_{3} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3,\left(3 p^{2}+2 p+1\right) q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ whose name in [2] is $h_{0,1,2,3}$.

In [3], a family in $\pi_{*} S$, which is represented by $b_{n-1} g_{0} \gamma_{3} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{7, p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ in the ASS, has been detected. The main purpose of this paper is to construct a new family in $\pi_{*} S$ revisited [3]. Our result is the following theorem:

Theorem I Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 4$. Then the product

$$
h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3} \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{6, p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)
$$

and it converges in the ASS to a nontrivial element in $\pi_{p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q-6} S$ of order $p$.
The construction of the above $h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3}$-element is parallel to that of $b_{n-1} g_{0} \gamma_{3}$-element given in [3]. That is, Theorem I will also be proved on the basis of the following Theorem II revisited [3, Theorem II].

Let $M$ be the Moore spectrum modulo a prime $p \geq 3$ given by the cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \xrightarrow{p} S \xrightarrow{i} M \xrightarrow{j} \Sigma S . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha: \Sigma^{q} M \longrightarrow M$ be the Adams map and $K$ be its cofibre given by the cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{q} M \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \xrightarrow{i^{\prime}} K \xrightarrow{j^{\prime}} \Sigma^{q+1} M, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $q=2(p-1)$. This spectrum, which we briefly write as $K$, is known as the Toda-Smith spectrum $\mathrm{V}(1)$. Theorem I will be proved on basis of the following theorem:

Theorem II Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 3$. Then

$$
h_{n} g_{0} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+p q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right),
$$

the reduction of $h_{n} g_{0} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n}}{ }^{q+p q+2 q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$, converges in the ASS to a nontrivial homotopy element in $\pi_{p^{n} q+p q+2 q-3} K$.

Parallel to the detection of the element $\zeta_{n-1}^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-4} K, K\right]$ in [3], we will find an element $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-3} K, K\right]$ (given in Prop. 3.4) so that $j^{\prime} \eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q-4} K, M\right]$ is represented by $\left(j j^{\prime}\right)^{*} i_{*}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q-2}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} K\right)$ in the ASS. Then $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i \in \pi_{p^{n} q+(p+2) q-3} K$ is our desired map in Theorem II and $j j^{\prime} \bar{j} \gamma^{3} \bar{i} \eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i \in \pi_{p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q-6} S$ is the $h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3}$-element, where $\beta \in\left[\Sigma^{(p+1) q} K, K\right]$ and $\gamma \in\left[\Sigma^{\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q} V(2), V(2)\right]$ are the known $v_{2}$ - and $v_{3}$-periodicity elements, respectively.

Note that the proof, in [3, Theorem II], of detecting $\zeta_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}$ relies on the fact that $a_{0} b_{n-1} \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+1}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ is hit by a differential $d_{2}\left(h_{n}\right)$ and this no longer holds for $a_{0} h_{n} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+1}$ $\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$. So, the arguments in [3] are not valid for proving the existence of $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ here. However,we can say that the proof of the existence of $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ given in this paper will be valid to prove the existence of $\zeta_{n-1}^{\prime \prime}$ in [3].

Some techniques on the derivation of maps between $M$-module spectra will play an important role in the proof of Theorem II and especially of Prop. 3.4. After giving some preliminaries on it and some low-dimensional Ext groups in Section 2, the proof of the main theorems will be given in Section 3.

## 2 Some Preliminaries on Derivations and Low-dimensional Ext Groups

In this section, we first recall some results on derivations of maps between $M$-module spectra developed in [4]. From [4, p. 204-206], the Moore spectrum $M$ is a commutative ring spectrum
with multiplication $m_{M}: M \wedge M \rightarrow M$ and there is $\bar{m}_{M}: \Sigma M \rightarrow M \wedge M$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{M}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=1_{M}, \quad\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}=1_{M} \\
& m_{M} \bar{m}_{M}=0, \quad \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}=1_{M \wedge M} \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{M} T=-m_{M}, \quad T \bar{m}_{M}=\bar{m}_{M}, \quad m_{M}\left(1_{M} \wedge i\right)=-1_{M}, \quad\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}=1_{M}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T: M \wedge M \rightarrow M \wedge M$ is the switching map.
A spectrum $X$ is called an $M$-module spectrum if $p \wedge 1_{X}=0 \in[X, X]$, and consequently, the cofibration $X \xrightarrow{p \wedge 1_{X}} X \xrightarrow{i \wedge 1_{X}} M \wedge X \xrightarrow{j \wedge 1_{X}} \Sigma X$ splits, i.e. there is a homotopy equivalence $M \wedge X=X \vee \Sigma X$ and there are maps $m_{X}: M \wedge X \rightarrow X, \bar{m}_{X}: \Sigma X \rightarrow M \wedge X$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{X}\left(i \wedge 1_{X}\right)=1_{X}, \quad\left(j \wedge 1_{X}\right) \bar{m}_{X}=1_{X} \\
& m_{X} \bar{m}_{X}=0, \quad \bar{m}_{X}\left(j \wedge 1_{X}\right)+\left(i \wedge 1_{X}\right) m_{X}=1_{M \wedge X}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $M$-module actions $m_{X}, \bar{m}_{X}$ are called associative if there are commutativities $m_{X}\left(1_{M} \wedge m_{X}\right)=-m_{X}\left(m_{M} \wedge 1_{X}\right)$ and $\left(1_{M} \wedge \bar{m}_{X}\right) \bar{m}_{X}=\left(\bar{m}_{M} \wedge 1_{X}\right) \bar{m}_{X}$.

Let $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ be $M$-module spectra. Then we define a homomorphism $d:\left[\Sigma^{s} X^{\prime}, X\right]$ $\rightarrow\left[\Sigma^{s+1} X^{\prime}, X\right]$ by $d(f)=m_{X}\left(1_{M} \wedge f\right) \bar{m}_{X^{\prime}}$ for $f \in\left[\Sigma^{s} X^{\prime}, X\right]$. This operation $d$ is called a derivation (of maps between $M$-module spectra) which has the following properties:

Proposition 2.3 [4, p. 210, Theorem 2.2] (i) $d$ is derivative: $d(f g)=f d(g)+(-1)^{|g|} d(f) g$ for $f \in\left[\Sigma^{s} X^{\prime}, X\right], g \in\left[\Sigma^{t} X^{\prime \prime}, X^{\prime}\right]$, where $X, X^{\prime}, X^{\prime \prime}$ are $M$-module spectra.
(ii) Let $W^{\prime}, W$ be arbitrary spectra and $h \in\left[\Sigma^{r} W^{\prime}, W\right]$. Then $d(h \wedge f)=(-1)^{|h|} h \wedge d(f)$ for $f \in\left[\Sigma^{s} X^{\prime}, X\right]$.
(iii) $d^{2}=0:\left[\Sigma^{s} X^{\prime}, X\right] \rightarrow\left[\Sigma^{s+2} X^{\prime}, X\right]$ for associative spectra $X^{\prime}, X$.

From [4, p. 217, (3.4)], $K$ is an $M$-module spectrum, i.e. there are $M$-module actions $m_{K}: K \wedge M \rightarrow K, \bar{m}_{K}: \Sigma K \rightarrow K \wedge M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& m_{K}\left(1_{K} \wedge i\right)=1_{K}, \quad\left(1_{K} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{K}=1_{K} \\
& m_{K} \bar{m}_{K}=0, \quad\left(1_{K} \wedge i\right) m_{K}+\left(1_{K} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{K}=1_{K \wedge M} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Moreover, from [4, p. 218, (3.7)] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(i j)=-1_{M}, \quad d(\alpha)=0, \quad d\left(i^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad d\left(j^{\prime}\right)=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following proposition is a generalization of Theorem $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{c})$ in [5]:
Proposition 2.6 Let $V, V^{\prime}$ be arbitrary spectra. Then there is a direct sum decomposition

$$
\left[\Sigma^{*} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right]=(\operatorname{ker} d) \oplus\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)(\operatorname{ker} d)
$$

where $\operatorname{ker} d=\left[\Sigma^{*} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \cap(\operatorname{ker} d)$.

Proof The proof is a modification of the proof of Theorem A(c) in [5, p. 631]. Let $\delta_{L}(f)=$ $\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) f$ for $f \in\left[\Sigma^{*} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right]$. Then we have exact sequences

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[\Sigma^{s} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \stackrel{d}{\longleftrightarrow}\left[\Sigma^{s+1} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \stackrel{d}{\longleftrightarrow}\left[\Sigma^{s+2} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right]} \\
& {\left[\Sigma^{s} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \stackrel{\delta_{L}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left[\Sigma^{s+1} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \stackrel{\delta_{L}}{\longleftrightarrow}\left[\Sigma^{s+2} V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M\right]}
\end{aligned}
$$

which split each other. To prove this, we claim that $V \wedge M, V^{\prime} \wedge M$ are associative $M$-module spectra, then $d^{2}=0$ and $\delta_{L}^{2}=0$, since $i j i j=0$. On the other hand, by Prop. 2.3(i) and $d\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)=-1_{V^{\prime} \wedge M}$, we have $d\left(\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) f\right)= \pm f+\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) d(f)$, then if $d(f)=0$, $f= \pm d\left(\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) f\right)$ and if $\delta_{L}(f)=0, f= \pm\left(1_{V^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) d(f)$, which shows the result.

To prove the claim, we need to show that $m_{V \wedge M}\left(1_{M} \wedge m_{V \wedge M}\right)=-m_{V \wedge M}\left(m_{M} \wedge 1_{V \wedge M}\right)$ and $\left(1_{M} \wedge \bar{m}_{V \wedge M}\right) \bar{m}_{V \wedge M}=\left(\bar{m}_{M} \wedge 1_{V \wedge M}\right) \bar{m}_{V \wedge M}$, where $m_{V \wedge M}=\left(1_{V} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(T_{M, V} \wedge 1_{M}\right):$ $M \wedge V \wedge M \xrightarrow{T_{M, V} \wedge 1_{M}} V \wedge M \wedge M \xrightarrow{1_{V} \wedge m_{M}} V \wedge M$ and $\bar{m}_{V \wedge M}=\left(T_{V, M} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(1_{V} \wedge \bar{m}_{M}\right):$ $\Sigma V \wedge M{ }^{1_{V} \wedge \bar{m}_{M}} V \wedge M \wedge M \xrightarrow{T_{V, M \wedge 1_{M}}} M \wedge V \wedge M$ are the $M$-module action of $V \wedge M$ in which $T_{M, V}: M \wedge V \rightarrow V \wedge M, T_{V, M}: V \wedge M \rightarrow M \wedge V$ are the switching maps. In fact, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{V} \wedge M\left(1_{M} \wedge m_{V \wedge M}\right)=\left(1_{V} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(T_{M, V} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(1_{M} \wedge 1_{V} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(1_{M} \wedge T_{M, V} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(1_{V} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(1_{V} \wedge 1_{M} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(T_{M \wedge M, V} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \text { with } T_{M \wedge M, V}:(M \wedge M) \wedge V \rightarrow V \wedge(M \wedge M) \\
& \quad=-\left(1_{V} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(1_{V} \wedge m_{M} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(T_{M \wedge M, V} \wedge 1_{M}\right), \text { by the associativity of } m_{M} \\
& \quad=-\left(1_{V} \wedge m_{M}\right)\left(T_{M, V} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(m_{M} \wedge 1_{V} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
& \quad=-m_{V \wedge M}\left(m_{M} \wedge 1_{V \wedge M}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows the first associativity of the $M$-module spectrum $V \wedge M$, while the proof of the second one is similar. Q.E.D.

Corollary 2.7 Let $X, V, V^{\prime}$ and $V^{\prime \prime}$ be arbitrary spectra and $g: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}, g^{\prime}: V^{\prime} \rightarrow V^{\prime \prime}$ be maps. If $\left[V^{\prime \prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right] \xrightarrow{\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)^{*}}\left[V^{\prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right] \xrightarrow{\left(g \wedge 1_{M}\right)^{*}}[V \wedge M, X \wedge M]$ is an exact sequence, then $\operatorname{ker} d \cap\left[V^{\prime \prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right] \xrightarrow{\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{ker} d \cap\left[V^{\prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right] \xrightarrow{\left(g \wedge 1_{M}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{ker} d \cap[V \wedge M, X \wedge M]$ is also exact, where $d$ is the derivation defined on the corresponding group.

Proof For any $f \in \operatorname{ker} d \cap\left[V^{\prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right]$ such that $f \in \operatorname{ker}\left(g \wedge 1_{M}\right)^{*}$, there is $f^{\prime} \in\left[V^{\prime \prime} \wedge\right.$ $M, X \wedge M]$ so that $f^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=f$. By Prop. 2.6, $f^{\prime}=f_{1}^{\prime}+\left(1_{X} \wedge i j\right) f_{2}^{\prime}$ with $f_{1}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} d \cap$ [ $\left.V^{\prime \prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right]$ and $f_{2}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} d \cap\left[\Sigma V^{\prime \prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right]$. Then, by applying $d$ on the equation $f=f_{1}^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\left(1_{X} \wedge i j\right) f_{2}^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ we have $f_{2}^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$ and so $f=f_{1}^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ with $f_{1}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} d \cap\left[V^{\prime \prime} \wedge M, X \wedge M\right]$ as desired. Q.E.D.

Now we turn to considering some results on low-dimensional Ext groups which will be used in the proof of the main theorems and especially of Prop. 3.4.
Proposition 2.8 Let $p \geq 7, n \geq 4$. Then the product $h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3} \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{6, p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+2 p+1\right) q}$ $\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$, where $\gamma_{3}=h_{0,1,2,3} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3,\left(3 p^{2}+2 p+1\right) q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ as in [2, Table 8.1].
Proof The proof is similar to that given in the proof of [3, Prop. 2.2] and is omitted here.
Proposition 2.9 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right), \alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}\right.$
$\left.\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)\right\}$ and $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)\right\}$, where $\alpha_{1}=j \alpha i$ : $\Sigma^{q-1} S \rightarrow S$ and $\tilde{h}_{n}$ is the unique generator of $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right)$ stated in [3, Prop. 2.4(2)].
Proof Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ has the unique generator $h_{0} h_{n}=j_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=j_{*} \alpha_{*} i^{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$, then the first result follows from the following exact sequence:

$$
\xrightarrow{p^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{j^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{i^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{p^{*}}
$$

induced by (1.1), where the right group has the unique generator $i^{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)$ satisfying $p^{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*} p_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=0$ and the left group has the unique generator $\alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=i^{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ (cf. [3, Prop. 2.4(2)]).

Look at the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{j_{*}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q-1}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}}
$$

induced by (1.2). Since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q-r}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $r=1,2$ and has the unique generator $b_{n-1}$ for $r=0$, then the right group has the unique generator $(i j)^{*}\left(\tilde{b}_{n-1}\right)$ satisfying $\alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}\left(\tilde{b}_{n-1}\right)=$ $j^{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(b_{n-1}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right)(c f .[3, \operatorname{Prop} .2 .4(1)]) . S o \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)$ $=i_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right)$ has the unique generator $\left(i^{\prime}\right)_{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} \tilde{h}_{n}=i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$, since $\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)-\alpha_{*}(i j)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ by the fact that $\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}=i j \alpha-\alpha i j$ (cf. [6, p. 428, (5.1)]). Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.10 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$. Then:
(1) $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+r}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)=0$ for $r=0,1,2, \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+1}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)=0$;
(2) $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+r}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $r=1,2,3, \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+r}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)=0$ for $r=1,2$;
(3) $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right\}$ with $\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(i^{\prime} i j \alpha\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$.

Proof (1) Look at the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+r}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+r}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \\
& \quad{ }_{\rightarrow}^{j_{*}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+r-1}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}}
\end{aligned}
$$

induced by (1.2). The left group is zero since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+t}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $t=-1,0,1,2,3$ (cf. [1]). The right group has the unique generator $(i j)^{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ for $r=0$, and has two generators $(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ and $(i j)^{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ for $r=1$ and has the unique generator $\alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ for $r=2$ (cf. [3, Prop. 2.4 (2)]). We claim that (i) $\alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right) \neq 0$; (ii) $\alpha_{*}\left[\lambda_{1}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\lambda_{2} \alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)\right] \neq 0$; (iii) $\alpha_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right) \neq 0$. Then the above $\alpha_{*}$ is monic and so $i m j_{*}^{\prime}=0$. This shows that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+r}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)=0$ for $r=0,1,2$ and consequently we have $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+1}$ $\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)=0$.

To prove the claim, we recall from [2, Table 8.1] that $\alpha_{2} h_{n}=j_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+2 q+1}$ $\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$, then $i_{*}\left(\alpha_{2} h_{n}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+2 q+1}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right)$ since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=0$ (cf. [1]). We also have $j^{*} i_{*}\left(\alpha_{2} h_{n}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right)$ since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right)=0$. Hence, by $2 \alpha i j \alpha=i j \alpha^{2}+\alpha^{2} i j$ (cf. [6, p. 428 line 20]),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=j^{*} \alpha_{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} j^{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=\frac{1}{2} j^{*} i_{*}\left(\alpha_{2} h_{n}\right) \neq 0 . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows (i). For the claim (ii),

$$
\alpha_{*}\left[\lambda_{1}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)+\lambda_{2} \alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)\right]=\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)+\left(\frac{1}{2} \lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right) \neq 0
$$

since the two terms are linearly independent by the fact that $(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*}(i j)^{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right) \neq 0$ (cf. (2.11)). The claim (iii) is self-evident since $i^{*} j_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=j_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=\alpha_{2} h_{n} \neq 0$.
(2) Consider the following exact sequence $(r=1,2,3)$ :

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+r}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{i_{*}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+r}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{j_{*}^{\prime}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+r-1}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right) \xrightarrow{\alpha_{*}}
$$

induced by (1.2). The left group is zero for $r=2,3$ since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+t}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $t=1,2,3$ (cf. [1]) and has the unique generator $\alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)$ for $r=1$ so that im $i_{*}^{\prime}=0$. The right group is zero for $r=2,3$ (cf. [3, Prop. 2.3(1)]) and has the unique generator $i_{*}\left(b_{n-1}\right)$ for $r=1$ satisfying $\alpha_{*} i_{*}\left(b_{n-1}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} M, Z_{p}\right)$ so that im $j_{*}^{\prime}=0$ and so the result follows.
(3) Consider the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q+1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{\left(j^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \xrightarrow{\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)
$$

induced by (1.2). The left group is zero by (1) and the right group has the unique generator $i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ by Prop. 2.9 which satisfies $\alpha^{*} i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha^{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ $=0$ since $i^{\prime} i j \alpha^{2}=2 i^{\prime} \alpha i j \alpha-i^{\prime} \alpha^{2} i j=0 \in\left[\Sigma^{2 q-1} M, K\right]$. Then the result follows. Q.E.D.
Proposition 2.12 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ with $\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$.

Proof Look at the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{\left(j^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \xrightarrow{\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)
$$

induced by (1.2). The left group is zero by Prop. 2.10(1) and similarly to Prop. 2.9, the right group has the unique generator $(i j)^{*} i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ satisfying $\alpha^{*} i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=i_{*}^{\prime}(i j)_{*}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)$ since $i^{\prime} i j\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \alpha=0 \in\left[\Sigma^{2 q-2} M, K\right]$. Then the result follows. Q.E.D.

Let $K^{\prime}$ be the cofibre of $j j^{\prime}: \Sigma^{-1} K \rightarrow \Sigma^{q+1} S$ given by the cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{-1} K \xrightarrow{j j^{\prime}} \Sigma^{q+1} S \xrightarrow{z} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{x} K . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

As stated in [3, pp. 191-192], $K^{\prime}$ is also the cofibre of $\alpha i: \Sigma^{q} S \rightarrow M$ given by the cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{q} S \xrightarrow{\alpha i} M \xrightarrow{v} K^{\prime} \xrightarrow{y} \Sigma^{q+1} S, \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we also have another cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{-1} K \xrightarrow{\alpha i j j^{\prime}} \Sigma M \xrightarrow{\psi} K^{\prime} \wedge M \xrightarrow{\rho} K \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the relation that $\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge j\right) \psi=v, \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i\right)=x$. (cf. [3, (2.9), (2.10)]).

Since $\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge j\right)\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}=v\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}=v=\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge j\right) \psi$, then $\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}=\psi$ since $\left[\Sigma M, K^{\prime}\right]=0$ by the fact that $[\Sigma M, M]=0,\left[\Sigma M, \Sigma^{q+1} S\right]=0$. So we have $d(\psi)=0 \in$ $\left[\Sigma^{2} M, K^{\prime} \wedge M\right]$ since $d\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right)=v \wedge d\left(1_{M}\right)=0$ and $d\left(\bar{m}_{M}\right) \in\left[\Sigma^{2} M, M \wedge M\right] \cong\left[\Sigma^{2} M, M\right]+$ $[\Sigma M, M]=0$. Since $m_{K}\left(x \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i\right)=m_{K}\left(1_{K} \wedge i\right) x=x=\rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i\right)$, then $\rho=m_{K}\left(x \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ since $\left[\Sigma K^{\prime}, K\right]=0$ by the fact that $[\Sigma M, K]=0$ and $\left[\Sigma^{q+2} S, K\right]=0$ (cf. [7, Theorem 5.2]). So we have $d(\rho)=0$ since $d\left(x \wedge 1_{M}\right)=x \wedge d\left(1_{M}\right)=0$ and $d\left(m_{K}\right) \in[\Sigma K \wedge M, K] \cong[\Sigma K, K]+$ $\left[\Sigma^{2} K, K\right]=0(c f .[4$, Theorem 3.6]). That is, up to a sign we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=m_{K}\left(x \wedge 1_{M}\right), \quad \psi=\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}, \quad d(\rho)=0, \quad d(\psi)=0 . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\alpha^{\prime}=\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{K} \in\left[\Sigma^{q-1} K, K\right]$, where $\alpha_{1}=j \alpha i \in \pi_{q-1} S$. Then $j^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}=0$ and so by (2.15) there is $\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{q-1} K, K^{\prime} \wedge M\right]$ such that $\rho \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}$. Moreover, $d\left(\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right) \in$ $\left[\Sigma^{q} K, K^{\prime} \wedge M\right]=0$ since $\left[\Sigma^{q} K, K\right]=0$ (cf. [4]) and $\left[\Sigma^{q-1} K, M\right]=0$ by the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\Sigma^{2 q} M, M\right] \xrightarrow{\left(j^{\prime}\right)^{*}}\left[\Sigma^{q-1} K, M\right] \xrightarrow{\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}}\left[\Sigma^{q-1} M, M\right] \xrightarrow{(\alpha)^{*}}, \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left group has the unique generator $\alpha^{2}$ so that im $\left(j^{\prime}\right)^{*}=0$ and the right group has two generators $i j \alpha$ and $\alpha i j$ so that the above $(\alpha)^{*}$ is monic. Then $\rho \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime} i^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}=$ $i^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\rho\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ and we have $\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime} i^{\prime}=\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\lambda \psi(i j \alpha i j)$ for some $\lambda \in Z_{p}$ since $\left[\Sigma^{q-2} M, M\right] \cong Z_{p}\{i j \alpha i j\}$. Since $d\left(\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)=0, d\left(i^{\prime}\right)=0, d\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=$ $0, d\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0, d(\psi)=0$ and $d(i j \alpha i j)=-\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}$, then by applying $d$ to the above equation we have $\lambda \psi\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$ and the scalar $\lambda=0$. So $\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M} i^{\prime}=\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$. Moreover, $\rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M} \neq 0 \in\left[\Sigma^{q-2} K, K\right] \cong Z_{p}\left\{\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ (cf. [6, p. 431, Lemma 5.6 (ii) and (5.12)]) since $d\left(\rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)=\rho \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}=\alpha^{\prime} \neq 0$. Then, in conclusion we have a map $\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{q-1} K, K^{\prime} \wedge M\right]$ satisfying

$$
\begin{align*}
& \rho \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}=\alpha^{\prime}, \quad \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime} i^{\prime}=\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
& d\left(\alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right) \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}=-\alpha^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

since $d\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)=-\alpha^{\prime}($ cf. $[6$, p. 430, (5.10)]).
Proposition 2.19 Let $p \geq 5$ and $f: \Sigma^{t} K^{\prime} \rightarrow K$ be any map. Then $f \cdot z=0 \in\left[\Sigma^{t+q+1} S, K\right]$.
Proof From [6, p. 433], there is a commutative multiplication $\mu: K \wedge K \rightarrow K$ such that $\mu\left(i^{\prime} i \wedge 1_{K}\right)=1_{K}=\mu\left(1_{K} \wedge i^{\prime} i\right)$ and there is an injection $\nu: \Sigma^{q+2} K \rightarrow K \wedge K$ such that $\left(j j^{\prime} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \nu=1_{K}$. Then by (2.13) we have $z \wedge 1_{K}=\left(z \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(j j^{\prime} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \nu=0$ and so $f \cdot z=$ $\mu\left(1_{K} \wedge i^{\prime} i\right) f \cdot z=\mu\left(f \cdot z \wedge 1_{K}\right) i^{\prime} i=0$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 2.20 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K^{\prime} \wedge M, H^{*} M\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\psi_{*}(i j)_{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right), \psi_{*}(i j)^{*} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)\right\}
$$

Proof Look at the following exact sequence:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{\psi_{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K^{\prime} \wedge M, H^{*} M\right) \\
& \quad \xrightarrow{\rho_{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

induced by (2.15). The result follows from Prop. 2.9 and 2.10(2). Q.E.D.
Proposition 2.21 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$. Then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right\}$ with $\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=$ $\left(i^{\prime}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$.

Proof Consider the following exact sequence:

$$
\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \xrightarrow{\left(j^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \xrightarrow{\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)
$$

induced by (1.2). Since $j_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \subset \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\tilde{h}_{n}\right\} \quad(c f$. [3, Prop. 2.4(2)]) and $\alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right)$, then $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)$ $=i_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} M, H^{*} M\right)=0$ by the fact that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q+q+r}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $r=0,1,2$ (cf. [2]). Moreover, it is clear that $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)$ has the unique generator $i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)$ and it satisfies $\alpha^{*} i_{*}^{\prime}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=i_{*}^{\prime} \alpha_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=0$. Then the result follows. Q.E.D.

From [6, p. 430], there is $\alpha^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{q-2} K, K\right]$ satisfying $\alpha^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}=i^{\prime} i j \alpha i j$. Let $X$ be the cofibre of $\alpha^{\prime \prime}: \Sigma^{q-2} K \rightarrow K$ given by the cofibration

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Sigma^{q-2} K \xrightarrow{\alpha^{\prime \prime}} K \xrightarrow{w} X \xrightarrow{u} \Sigma^{q-1} K . \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ induces a boundary homomorphism $\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}: \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}$ $\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right)$. Since $\alpha^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}=i^{\prime} i j \alpha i j=i^{\prime} i j\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$, then $\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime} i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=$ $\left(i^{\prime} i j\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)^{*}(i j)^{*}\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(i^{\prime} i j\right)_{*}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)_{*}\left(\tilde{h}_{n}\right)=\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}(\operatorname{cf}$. Prop. 2.21 and 2.12). So, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the above $\left(i^{\prime}\right)^{*}$ is monic by $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)=0$ (cf. Prop. 2.10(1)).

## 3 Proof of the Main Theorems

We will first prove Theorem II by an argument presented in the Adams resolution of certain spectra related to $K$. Recall from [3, p. 193] that

$$
\cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_{2}} \begin{array}{ccccc}
\Sigma^{-2} E_{2} & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_{1}} & \Sigma^{-1} E_{1} & \xrightarrow{\bar{a}_{0}} & E_{0}=S  \tag{3.1}\\
& \downarrow \bar{b}_{2} & & \downarrow \bar{b}_{1} & \\
& & & & \\
& \Sigma^{-2} K G_{2} & & \Sigma^{-1} K G_{1} & \\
& & & K G_{0}
\end{array}
$$

is the minimal Adams resolution of $S$ satisfying the conditions (1)(2)(3) stated in [3, p. 194]. An Adams resolution of arbitrary spectrum $V$ can be obtained by smashing $V$ on (3.1). We first prove the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.2 Let $p \geq 5$ and $n \geq 2$. Then there exist $\tilde{\eta}_{n, 2} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} M, E_{2} \wedge M\right]$ and $\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \in$ $\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} K, E_{2} \wedge K\right]$ such that $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{M}\right) \tilde{\eta}_{n, 2}=h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}$ and $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime}=h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}$, where $h_{0} h_{n} \in \pi_{p^{n} q+q} K G_{2} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$.

Proof From [8, Theorem IV (b)(c)], a map $\bar{\zeta}_{n-1} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-3} M, S\right]$ was constructed and shown to satisfy:
(i) The composition $\zeta_{n-1}=\bar{\zeta}_{n-1} i: \Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-3} S \xrightarrow{i} \Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-3} M \xrightarrow{\bar{\zeta}_{n-1}} S$ is represented by $h_{0} b_{n-1} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ in the ASS;
(ii) $\bar{\zeta}_{n-1}: \Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-3} M \rightarrow S$ is represented by $j^{*}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(Z_{p}, H^{*} M\right)$ with $h_{0} h_{n} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$.

So, in the Adams resolution, there is $\bar{\zeta}_{n-1,2} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} M, E_{2}\right]$ such that $\bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \bar{\zeta}_{n-1,2}=\bar{\zeta}_{n-1}$ and $\bar{b}_{2} \bar{\zeta}_{n-1,2}=h_{0} h_{n} \cdot j \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} M, K G_{2}\right]$, where $h_{0} h_{n} \in \pi_{p^{n} q+q} K G_{2} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$. It follows that $\bar{c}_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right) j=0$ and we have $\bar{c}_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)=f_{0} \cdot p$ for some $f_{0} \in \pi_{p^{n} q+q} E_{3}$. So, $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$ and $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right)=0$ and the result follows. Q.E.D.

Lemma 3.3 Let $p \geq 3, n \geq 2$ and $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$ be the $d_{1}$-cycle which represents the element $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right)$ stated in Prop. 2.12 and (2.23). Then $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)$, where $\kappa$ is an element in $\pi_{p^{n} q+1} E_{3}$ satisfying $\bar{a}_{2} \kappa=\bar{c}_{1} h_{n}$ with $h_{n} \in \pi_{p^{n} q} K G_{1} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$.

Proof Recall that $X$ is the cofibre of $\alpha^{\prime \prime}: \Sigma^{q-2} K \rightarrow K$ given by the cofibration (2.22). Since $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$ represents $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K\right.$, $\left.H^{*} K\right)$, then $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} u \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q} X, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$ is a $d_{1}$-boundary and so $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} u=0$ and $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ with $f^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+1} K, E_{3} \wedge K\right]$. It follows that $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime} w$ with $f_{2}^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q} X, E_{2} \wedge K\right]$. Hence, $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{2}^{\prime} w=0$ and $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{2}^{\prime}=g^{\prime} \cdot u$ with $g^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$. This $g^{\prime}$ is a $d_{1}$-cycle since $\left(\bar{b}_{3} \bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g^{\prime}=g_{2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}$ (with $g_{2}^{\prime} \in$ $\left.\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+1} K, K G_{3} \wedge K\right]\right)=0$ by the fact that $\alpha^{\prime \prime}$ induces zero homomorphism in $Z_{p}$-cohomology. So, by Prop. 2.12 and (2.23), $g^{\prime}$ represents $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right)$ and so $g^{\prime} \cdot u$ is a $d_{1}$-boundary, i.e. $g^{\prime} \cdot u=\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{3}^{\prime}$ with $g_{3}^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q} X, K G_{1} \wedge K\right]$. It follows from $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{3}^{\prime}$ that $f_{2}^{\prime}=\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{3}^{\prime}+\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}^{\prime}$ with $f_{3}^{\prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+1} X, E_{3} \wedge K\right]$ and we have $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f^{\prime}=f_{2}^{\prime} w=\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{3}^{\prime} w+\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}^{\prime} w$. Clearly, $g_{3}^{\prime} w \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q} K, K G_{1} \wedge K\right]$ is a $d_{1}$-cycle which represents an element in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}\right\}$ (cf. Prop. 2.21). Then $g_{3}^{\prime} w=h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}$ up to a scalar with $h_{n} \in \pi_{p^{n} q} K G_{1} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$. So we have $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f^{\prime}=\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right)+\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}^{\prime} w=\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)+\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}^{\prime} w$, where $\kappa \in \pi_{p^{n} q+1} E_{3}$ satisfies $\bar{a}_{2} \kappa=\bar{c}_{1} h_{n}$. It follows that $f^{\prime}=\kappa \wedge 1_{K}+f_{3}^{\prime} w+\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{4}^{\prime}$ for some $g_{4}^{\prime} \in$ $\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+1} K, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$ and we have $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=f^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \alpha^{\prime \prime}=\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)$. Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.4 Let $p \geq 5, n \geq 2$ and $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$ be the $d_{1}$-cycle as in Lemma 3.3. Then $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=0$.

Proof By Lemma 3.3, it suffices to prove that $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)=0$. Note that, by $\bar{a}_{2} \kappa=\bar{c}_{1} h_{n}$, we have $\bar{a}_{2}\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{1}\right) \kappa=\bar{c}_{1}\left(1_{K G_{1}} \wedge \alpha_{1}\right) h_{n}=0$ and $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{1}\right) \kappa=\bar{c}_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)$ (up to a scalar) since $\pi_{p^{n} q+q} K G_{2} \cong \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{h_{0} h_{n}\right\}$. Hence, by Lemma 3.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0, \quad\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (2.18) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(1_{E_{3}}\right. & \left.\wedge \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
= & \left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M} \\
& +\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
= & \left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime} i^{\prime} m_{M}\left(\text { since } \rho\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}=0, \rho\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=i^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M} \quad \text { by }(2.18) \\
= & \left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}=0 \quad \text { by }(3.5),
\end{aligned}
$$

and so by (2.14) and Cor. 2.7, $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho=f\left(y \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ for some $f \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+2 q+1} M, E_{3}\right.$ $\left.\wedge K^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \cap \operatorname{ker} d$.

It follows that $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f\left(y \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho=\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge\right.$ $\left.1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)\left(1_{K G_{1}} \wedge \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho=0$, then by (2.14) and Cor. 2.7 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f=f_{2}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $f_{2} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} M \wedge M, E_{2} \wedge K^{\prime} \wedge M\right] \cap \operatorname{ker} d$.
Observe that $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}=\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2} \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ and we claim that $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2} \bar{m}_{M}=$ $\lambda_{2}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ modulo $d_{1}$-boundary with $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in Z_{p}$.

To prove this, note that the $d_{1}$-cycle $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ represents an element $\left[\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right] \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K^{\prime} \wedge M, H^{*} M\right)$ and $\left[\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{E_{2}} \wedge \rho\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right] \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right) \cong Z_{p}\left\{\left[\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge i^{\prime}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right]\right\}$ (cf. Prop. 2.9). Then $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{E_{2}} \wedge\right.$ $\rho) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \rho\left(v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g$ for some $g \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} M, K G_{1} \wedge K\right]$. Since $\left(1_{K G_{1}} \wedge j^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime}\right) g=0$, then $g=\left(1_{K G_{1}} \wedge \rho\right) g_{2}$ with $g_{2} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} M, K G_{1} \wedge K^{\prime} \wedge M\right]$. It follows that $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) g_{2}+\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \psi\right) g_{3}$ for some $g_{3} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} M, K G_{2} \wedge M\right] \cong Z_{p}\left\{\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right) i j\right\}$, then $g_{3}=\lambda^{\prime}\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right) i j$ for some $\lambda^{\prime} \in Z_{p}$. However, $d\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$ and $d\left(f_{2}\right)=0$ implies that $d\left(f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right)=0$, then by applying $d$ to the above equation we have $\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \psi\right) d\left(g_{3}\right)+\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) d\left(g_{2}\right)=0$, i.e. $\lambda^{\prime}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \psi\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) d\left(g_{2}\right)$ and this means that the scalar $\lambda^{\prime}=0$ since $\psi_{*}\left[h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right] \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q+1}\left(H^{*} K^{\prime} \wedge M, H^{*} M\right)$. This shows that $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}(i \wedge$ $\left.1_{M}\right)=\lambda_{1}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ modulo $d_{1}$-boundary. In addition, since $d\left(\bar{m}_{M}\right)$ $\in\left[\Sigma^{2} M, M \wedge M\right] \cong\left[\Sigma^{2} M, M\right]+[\Sigma M, M]=0$, then, similarly, by Prop. 2.20 and $d\left(f_{2} \bar{m}_{M}\right)=0$ we have $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2} \bar{m}_{M}=\lambda_{2}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \psi\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)$ modulo $d_{1}$-boundary. This shows the claim.

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{b}_{2}\right. & \left.\wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}=\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right) f_{2} \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{1}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge v i \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\lambda_{2}\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \psi\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{1}\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\lambda_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

modulo $d_{1}$-boundary. Moreover, $\left(1_{K G_{2}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}\right)\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge\right.$ $\left.1_{K}\right) \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\left(v \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i\right) v\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)=\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right) i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)$ (Note:
$\rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i\right) v=x v=i^{\prime}$, cf. (2.15)). Then modulo a $d_{1}$-boundary $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{4}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\bar{b}_{2}\right. & \left.\wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{E_{2}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f_{2} \\
& =\lambda_{1}\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right) i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\lambda_{2}\left(h_{0} h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right) i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right) \\
& =\lambda_{1}\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\lambda_{2}\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 3.2. It follows that $\left(1_{E_{2}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f_{2}=\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}+\lambda_{1} \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)(i \wedge$ $\left.1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\lambda_{2} \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{4}$ for some $f_{3} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} M \wedge M, E_{3} \wedge K\right]$ and we have $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f=\left(1_{E_{2}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f_{2}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}(\alpha i \wedge$ $\left.1_{M}\right)+\lambda_{1} \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\lambda_{2} \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)$.
$\operatorname{By}(2.2), m_{M}\left(\alpha \wedge 1_{M}\right)\left(1_{M} \wedge i\right)=m_{M}\left(1_{M} \wedge i\right) \alpha=-\alpha=\alpha m_{M}\left(1_{M} \wedge i\right)$, then $m_{M}\left(\alpha \wedge 1_{M}\right)=$ $\alpha m_{M}$ since $\left[\Sigma^{q+1} M, M\right]=0$. So $m_{M}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\alpha m_{M}\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\alpha$ and we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{1}=\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime} i j \alpha=\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}, \\
& \sigma_{2}=\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right) \bar{m}_{M}\left(j \alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{1} \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, $\lambda_{1} \sigma_{1}+\lambda_{2} \sigma_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}$. On the other hand, $\lambda_{1} \sigma_{1}+\lambda_{2} \sigma_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) \sigma_{1}+$ $\lambda_{2} \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime}\left(1_{M} \wedge j\right)\left(\left(i \wedge 1_{M}\right) m_{M}+\bar{m}_{M}\left(j \wedge 1_{M}\right)\right)\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} i^{\prime} \alpha i j=$ $\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}$ and similarly $\lambda_{1} \sigma_{1}+\lambda_{2} \sigma_{2}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \sigma_{2}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}$. This shows that $\lambda_{1} \sigma_{1}+\lambda_{2} \sigma_{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{1}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime} \alpha^{\prime} i^{\prime}=0$, so we have

$$
\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f=\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{3}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)
$$

It follows that $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f=f_{3}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)+\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{5}$ for some $g_{5} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+2 q} M\right.$, $\left.K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$, then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho & =\left(\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) \alpha_{K^{\prime} \wedge M}^{\prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho(\mathrm{cf.}(2.18)) \\
& =\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \rho\left(1_{K^{\prime}} \wedge i j\right)\right) f\left(y \wedge 1_{M}\right)=\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{5}\left(y \wedge 1_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This $g_{5}$ is a $d_{1}$-cycle since $\left(\bar{b}_{3} \bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{5}\left(y \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$ and so $\left(\bar{b}_{3} \bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{5}=g_{6}\left(\alpha i \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$ (with $\left.g_{6} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} M \wedge M, K G_{3} \wedge K\right]\right)$. Then $g_{5}$ represents an element in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} M\right)$ $=0$ (cf. Prop. 2.10(1)). That is, $g_{5}$ is a $d_{1}$-boundary and we have $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right) \rho=$ $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{5}\left(y \wedge 1_{M}\right)=0$.

It follows that $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)=f_{4} \alpha i j j^{\prime}$ with $f_{4} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q+1} M, E_{3} \wedge K\right]$ and $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge\right.$ $\left.1_{K}\right) f_{4} \alpha i j j^{\prime}=\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)=\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(1_{K G_{1}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(h_{n} \wedge 1_{K}\right)=0$. Then, by (2.13), we have $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{4} \alpha i=f_{5} z$ with $f_{5} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K^{\prime}, E_{2} \wedge K\right]$. From Prop. 2.19, $\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{5} z$ $=0$, then $f_{5} z=\left(\bar{c}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{7}=0$ since the $d_{1}$-cycle $g_{7} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+2 q} S, K G_{1} \wedge K\right]$ represents an element in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{1, p^{n} q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)=0$. Hence $\left(\bar{a}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) f_{4} \alpha i=0, f_{4} \alpha i=\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{8}$ for some $g_{8} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+2 q+1} S, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$ and we have $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)=f_{4} \alpha i j j^{\prime}=\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{8} j j^{\prime}$. This $g_{8}$ is a $d_{1}$-cycle since $\left(\bar{b}_{3} \bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{8} j j^{\prime}=0,\left(\bar{b}_{3} \bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{8}=g_{9} z=0\left(\right.$ with $\left.g_{9} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q} K^{\prime}, K G_{3} \wedge K\right]\right)$, then $g_{8}$ represents an element in $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n}}{ }^{q+2 q+1}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)=0$ (cf. Prop. 2.10(1)). That is, $g_{8}$ is a $d_{1}$-boundary and so $\left(1_{E_{3}} \wedge \alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)\left(\kappa \wedge 1_{K}\right)=\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) g_{8} j j^{\prime}=0$. This shows the lemma. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem II From Prop. 3.4, we have $\left(\bar{c}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right)\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=0$, then there is $\eta_{n, 2}^{\prime \prime} \in$ $\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K, E_{2} \wedge K\right]$ such that $\left(\bar{b}_{2} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime \prime}=\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-1} K, K G_{2} \wedge K\right]$. Let
$\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\bar{a}_{0} \bar{a}_{1} \wedge 1_{K}\right) \eta_{n, 2}^{\prime \prime} \in\left[\Sigma^{p^{n} q+q-3} K, K\right]$ and consider the map $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i \in \pi_{p^{n} q+p q+2 q-3} K$, where $\beta \in\left[\Sigma^{(p+1) q} K, K\right]$ is the known $v_{2}$-map (cf. [6, p. 426]) which has filtration 1 in the ASS. Since $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime}$ is represented by $\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{2, p^{n} q+q-1}\left(H^{*} K, H^{*} K\right)$ in the ASS, then similarly to that is given at the bottom of [3, p. 202], $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i$ is represented by $\left(\beta i^{\prime} i\right)^{*}\left(h_{0} h_{n}\right)^{\prime \prime}=\left(\beta i^{\prime} i\right)^{*} \alpha_{*}^{\prime \prime}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=$ $\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)_{*}\left(\beta i^{\prime} i\right)^{*}\left(h_{n}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\alpha^{\prime \prime}\right)_{*}\left(\beta i^{\prime} i\right)_{*}\left(h_{n}\right)=\left(i^{\prime} i\right)_{*}\left(h_{n} g_{0}\right) \neq 0 \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+p q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)$. Moreover, $\left(i^{\prime} i\right)_{*}\left(g_{0} h_{n}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n}}{ }^{q+p q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)$ cannot be hit by a differential since $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3-r, p^{n} q+p q+2 q-r+1}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $r \geq 2$ by several steps of exact sequences induced by (1.2) (1.1) and using [3, Prop. 2.1 (3)]. This finishes the proof of the theorem. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem I Let $V(2)$ be the cofibre of $\beta: \Sigma^{(p+1) q} K \rightarrow K$ given by the cofibration

$$
\Sigma^{(p+1) q} K \xrightarrow{\beta} K \xrightarrow{\bar{i}} V(2) \xrightarrow{\bar{j}} \Sigma^{(p+1) q+1} K .
$$

From Theorem II, there is $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i \in \pi_{p^{n} q+p q+2 q-3} K$, which is represented by $\left(i^{\prime} i\right)_{*}\left(h_{n} g_{0}\right) \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+p q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)$. Let $\gamma: \Sigma^{\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q} V(2) \rightarrow V(2)$ be the $v_{3}$-map for $p \geq 7$ (cf. [6, p. 426]) and consider the following composition ( $\left.t=p^{n} q+p q+2 q-3\right)$ :

$$
\tilde{f}: \Sigma^{t} S \xrightarrow{\bar{i} \eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i} V(2) \xrightarrow{\gamma^{3}} \Sigma^{-3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q} V(2) \xrightarrow{j j^{\prime} \bar{j}} \Sigma^{-3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q+(p+2) q+3} S
$$

Since $\eta_{n}^{\prime \prime} \beta i^{\prime} i$ is represented by $\left(i^{\prime} i\right)_{*}\left(h_{n} g_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{3, p^{n} q+p q+2 q}\left(H^{*} K, Z_{p}\right)$, then the above $\tilde{f}$ is represented by

$$
c=\left(j j^{\prime} \bar{j}\right)_{*}\left(\gamma_{*}\right)^{3}\left(\bar{i} i^{\prime} i\right)_{*}\left(h_{n} g_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{6, p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)
$$

Similarly to what is given in [1, p. 203], $\tilde{f} \in \pi_{*} S$ is represented by $c=h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3} \neq 0 \in$ $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{6, p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)$ (up to a nonzero scalar) in the ASS. Moreover, from [1, Prop. 2.1(3)], $\operatorname{Ext}_{A}^{6-r, p^{n} q+3\left(p^{2}+p+1\right) q-r+1}\left(Z_{p}, Z_{p}\right)=0$ for $r \geq 2$, then $h_{n} g_{0} \gamma_{3}$ cannot be hit by differentials in the ASS and so $\tilde{f} \in \pi_{*} S$ is nontrivial and of order $p$. Q.E.D.
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