

## A New Massey Product on **Ext** Groups\*

Zheng Qi-Bing

*Department of Mathematics, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China*

*Communicated by Walter Feit*

Received March 17, 1994

Let  $H$  be a commutative coassociative Hopf algebra over a field  $K$ ; then  $\mathbf{Ext}_H(K, K)$  is a DGA. Suppose  $M$  is a right DGA-module over this DGA. We give a new Massey product on this module and prove the basic properties of this Massey product. We have also discussed the case when  $M$  is a left DGA-module. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

This paper is a refinement of [5]. Throughout this paper,  $H$  is a graded commutative coassociative Hopf algebra over a field  $K$ , and  $(R, d)$  is the cobar complex for  $H$ ; that is, the elements of  $R$  have the form  $[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_n]$  with  $a_i \in \bar{H}$  and the differential is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} d[a_1|a_2|\cdots|a_n] \\ = \sum \left( -[a'_1|a''_1|\cdots|a_n] \cdots + (-1)^n [a_1|\cdots|a_{n-1}|a'_n|a''_n] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta(a_i) = 1 \otimes a_i + a_i \otimes 1 + \sum a'_i \otimes a''_i$ . Then  $(R, d)$  is a DGA with the bar product.

Let  $(M, d)$  be a right DGA-module over  $(R, d)$  (see [2]); that is, for any  $a \in R$ ,  $m \in M$  (we continue to use the bar to denote the module action)

$$d(m|a) = dm|a + (-1)^{|m|} m|da,$$

where we always use  $|\cdot|$  to denote the cohomological degree and  $\|\cdot\|$  to denote the second degree.

The purpose of this paper is to define a new Massey product on  $(M, d)$  and to prove some basic properties of this product.

\*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

1. STANDARD HOMOTOPY

In this section,  $\mathbf{Z}(\mathbf{Z}_p)$  is the set of integers (modular  $p$ ) and when we compute sign functions, “ $\equiv$ ” implies “ $\equiv \pmod{2}$ .”

We first give the definitions of some sign functions. For integers  $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n, n = 2, 3, \dots$ , let

$$\mathcal{S}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \left\{ (i_{s_1}, i_{s_2}, \dots, i_{s_n}) \mid (s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n) \text{ is a permutation of } (1, 2, \dots, n) \right\}.$$

If  $f: \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  is a map, then  $\mu_f: \mathcal{S}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  is defined according to the following rules.

1.  $\mu_f(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = 0$ .
2. If  $\mu_f(i_{s_1}, i_{s_2}, \dots, i_{s_n})$  is defined, then for any  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f(i_{s_1}, \dots, i_{s_{k-1}}, i_{s_k}, i_{s_{k+1}}, \dots, i_{s_n}) \\ \equiv \mu_f(i_{s_1}, \dots, i_{s_{k-1}}, i_{s_{k+1}}, i_{s_k}, \dots, i_{s_n}) + f(i_{s_k})f(i_{s_{k+1}}). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to prove that  $\mu_f$  is well-defined.

For a finite set  $S = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$  and a map  $f: S \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$ , if  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$  is a permutation of  $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ , we regard it as an element of  $\mathcal{S}(1, 2, \dots, n)$  and define the sign function of  $(b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n})$  relative to  $(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$  by

$$\mu_f(b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_n}) = \mu_{f'}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n),$$

where  $f'(k) = f(b_k), k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

For  $0 < i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n, n > 1$ , let

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}'(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) = \{ & (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_s \mid q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{n-s}) \mid i_1 \leq p_1 < p_2 < \dots \\ & < p_s \leq i_n, i_1 \leq q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_{n-s} \leq i_n, (p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_{n-s}) \\ & \in \mathcal{S}(i_1, \dots, i_n), s = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $(1 \mid 2, 3, \dots, n) \neq (1, 2 \mid 3, \dots, n)$ .

Let  $f: \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be a map. We still denote its restrictions by  $f$ , and we inductively define  $\sigma_f: \mathcal{S}'(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2$  by

1.  $\sigma_f(i_1 \mid i_2, \dots, i_n) \equiv 0$   
 $\sigma_f(i_2, \dots, i_n \mid i_1) \equiv f(i_1) + f(i_2) + \dots + f(i_n)$ .

2. For  $(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1}) \in \mathcal{S}(i_2, i_3, \dots, i_n)$ ,  $s \geq 1$ ,  $n - s > 1$ ,

$$\sigma_f(i_1, p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s}) \equiv f(i_1) + \sigma_f(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1})$$

$$\sigma_f(p_1, \dots, p_s | i_1, q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1}) \equiv \sigma_f(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1}).$$

LEMMA 1.1. *Let*

$$(i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_t) \in \mathcal{S}'(p_1, \dots, p_{s+t}),$$

$$(j_1, \dots, j_t | k_1, \dots, k_u) \in \mathcal{S}'(q_1, \dots, q_{t+u}),$$

$$(i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_t, k_1, \dots, k_u) \in \mathcal{S}(r_1, \dots, r_{s+t+u}),$$

and let  $f: \{r_1, \dots, r_{s+t+u}\} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}$  be a map (we continue to denote its restrictions by  $f$ ). Then we have

$$\mu_f(i_1, \dots, i_s, q_1, \dots, q_{t+u}) + \mu_f(j_1, \dots, j_t, k_1, \dots, k_u)$$

$$\equiv \mu_f(p_1, \dots, p_{s+t}, k_1, \dots, k_u) + \mu_f(i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_t)$$

$$\equiv \mu_f(i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_t, k_1, \dots, k_u)$$

$$\sigma_f(p_1, \dots, p_{s+t} | k_1, \dots, k_u) + \sigma_f(i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_t)$$

$$\equiv f(i_1) + f(i_2) + \dots + f(i_s)$$

$$+ \sigma_f(i_1, \dots, i_s | q_1, \dots, q_{t+u}) + \sigma_f(j_1, \dots, j_t | k_1, \dots, k_u).$$

*Proof.* Direct checkings. ■

DEFINITION 1.1. For a Hopf algebra  $H$ , the standard homotopy on its cobar complex  $S: R \otimes_K R \rightarrow R$  is defined by

1.  $S(u, 1) = S(1, u) = 0$  for any  $u \in R$ .

2. For  $u_i \in \bar{H}$ ,  $v_j \in \bar{H}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $m, n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and

$$\Delta^{(m-1)}(v_j) = \sum v_j^{(1)} \otimes v_j^{(2)} \otimes \dots \otimes v_j^{(m)}, \quad v_j^{(k)} \in H$$

$$S([u_1 | u_2 | \dots | u_m], [v_1 | v_2 | \dots | v_n])$$

$$= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum (-1)^{k(m-1)+\tau+1} [v_1 | \dots | v_{k-1} | u_1 v_k^{(1)} | \dots | u_m v_k^{(m)} | v_{k+1} | \dots | v_n],$$

where  $\tau$  is the sign function of

$$(v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, u_1, v_k^{(1)}, \dots, u_m, v_k^{(m)}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)$$

relative to

$$(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k^{(1)}, \dots, v_k^{(m)}, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)$$

and  $f$  is taken to be the second degree  $\|\cdot\|$ .

LEMMA 1.2. For any  $u, v, w \in R$ , we have

1.  $dS(u, v) + S(du, v) + (-1)^{|u|}S(u, dv) = u|v - (-1)^{|u||v| + \|u\| \|v\|}v|u$
2.  $S(u, v|w) = S(u, v)|w + (-1)^{(|u|-1)|v| + \|u\| \|v\|}v|S(u, w)$
3.  $S(u, S(v, w)) - S(S(u, v), w) = (-1)^{(|u|-1)(|v|-1) + \|u\| \|v\|}$   
 $(S(v, S(u, w)) - S(S(v, u), w))$  and if  $|w| = 1$ , then  $S(u, S(v, w)) = S(S(u, v), w)$ .

*Proof.* Part 1 is proved by a definition of [3]. Since  $(R, d)$  is the cobar complex of a Hopf algebra  $H$ , there is a group module morphism (see [3]),

$$\theta: W \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} R \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} R \rightarrow R,$$

where  $W$  is the free resolution of the group  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ ; in fact for any  $x, y \in R$ ,  $S(x, y) = \theta(e_1 \otimes x \otimes y)$ , so part 1 is proved.

Part 2 is proved by induction on the first degree.

For any  $u_i, v_j, w_k \in \bar{H}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, n$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, l$ , and

$$\Delta^{(r-1)}w_k = \sum w_k^{(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes w_k^{(r)}$$

we have by definition ( $l > 1$ , if  $l = 1$ , the sum is 0)

$$\begin{aligned} & S([u_1 | \dots | u_m], S([v_1 | \dots | v_n], [w_1 | \dots | w_l])) \\ & - S(S([u_1 | \dots | u_m], [v_1 | \dots | v_n]), [w_1 | \dots | w_l]) \\ & = \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq l} (-1)^{s(m-1) + t(n-1) + \tau} \\ & \quad \times [w_1 | \dots | w_{s-1} | u_1 w_s^{(1)} | \dots | u_m w_s^{(m)} | w_{s+1} | \dots \\ & \quad \quad | w_{t-1} | v_1 w_t^{(1)} | \dots | v_n w_t^{(n)} | w_{t+1} | \dots | w_l] \\ & + \sum_{1 \leq s < t \leq l} (-1)^{s(n-1) + (t+n-1)(m-1) + \tau'} \\ & \quad \times [w_1 | \dots | w_{s-1} | v_1 w_s^{(1)} | \dots | v_n w_s^{(n)} | w_{s+1} | \dots \\ & \quad \quad | w_{t-1} | u_1 w_t^{(1)} | \dots | u_m w_t^{(m)} | w_{t+1} | \dots | w_l], \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tau, \tau'$  are respectively the sign functions relative to the sequence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_{s-1}, w_s^{(1)}, \dots, w_s^{(m)}, w_{s+1}, \dots, w_{t-1}, w_t^{(1)}, \dots, \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad w_t^{(n)}, w_{t+1}, \dots, w_l) \\
 &(u_1, \dots, u_m, v_1, \dots, v_n, w_1, \dots, w_{s-1}, w_s^{(1)}, \dots, w_s^{(n)}, w_{s+1}, \dots, w_{t-1}, w_t^{(1)}, \dots, \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad w_t^{(m)}, w_{t+1}, \dots, w_l)
 \end{aligned}$$

and the map  $f$  is taken to be  $\|\cdot\|$ . ■

For  $b_i \in C(H), i = 1, \dots, n$ , we inductively define

$$S(b_1) = b_1, S(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = S(b_1, S(b_2, \dots, b_n)).$$

For  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n, \beta = (p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s}) \in \mathcal{S}'(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n)$ , we denote

$$\begin{aligned}
 S(b_\beta) &= S(b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_s}) | S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{n-s}}) \\
 \mu(b_\beta) &= \mu_f(p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_{n-s}) + \mu_{f'}(p_1, \dots, p_s, q_1, \dots, q_{n-s}) \\
 &\quad + \sigma_f(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s}), \\
 f(i_k) &= |b_{i_k}| - 1, f'(i_k) = \|b_{i_k}\|, k = 1, \dots, n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Then by definition, if  $(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1}) \in \mathcal{S}'(2, 3, \dots, n)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\mu(b_{(1, p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1})}) \\
 &\quad \equiv \mu(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1})}) + |b_1| - 1 \\
 &\mu(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_s | 1, q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1})}) \\
 &\quad \equiv \mu(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_s | q_1, \dots, q_{n-s-1})}) \\
 &\quad \quad + (|b_{p_1}| + \dots + |b_{p_s}| - s)(|b_1| - 1) + (\|b_{p_1}\| + \dots + \|b_{p_s}\|)\|b_1\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

**THEOREM 1.1.** For  $b_i \in R, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n > 1$ , we have

1. If  $db_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then

$$dS(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n)} (-1)^{\mu(b_\alpha)} S(b_\alpha).$$

2. If  $db_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, 1 \leq k \leq n, \bar{d}b_k = b_k$ , then

$$dS(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, \bar{b}_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) = (-1)^{|b_1|+|b_2|+\dots+|b_{k-1}|-k+\epsilon} S(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n)} (-1)^{\mu(c_\alpha)} S(c_\alpha),$$

where  $c_i = b_i$  if  $i \neq k, c_k = \bar{b}_k, \epsilon = 0$ , if  $k < n, \epsilon = 1$  if  $k = n$ .

3. For  $b_{n+1} \in R$ ,

$$S(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n | b_{n+1}) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'} (-1)^{|b_1|+\dots+|b_{n-1}|-n+1+\mu(b_\alpha)} S(b_\alpha),$$

where  $\mathcal{S}'$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n, n + 1)$  of such elements  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s-1}, n + 1), s = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$ .

*Proof.* All is proved by induction on  $n$ . ■

## 2. THE NEW MASSEY PRODUCT ON **Ext** GROUPS

For  $a \in M, da = 0, b_i \in R, db_i = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , the product  $\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  is defined modular a class of elements, and we always use  $\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  to denote a concrete element and use  $In \langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  to denote the whole class. We say  $In \langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  is trivial if there is  $c \in In \langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  such that  $c \sim 0$  in  $(M, d)$ .

**DEFINITION 2.1.** Let  $(M, d)$  be a DGA-module over  $(R, d), a \in M, da = 0, b_i \in R, db_i = 0, i = 1, \dots, n$ . We inductively define  $\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  by

1.  $\langle a; b_i \rangle = a|b_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

2. If for any  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n, s \langle n, n \rangle 1$ ,  $In \langle a; b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle$  is trivial and we let  $d \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} = \langle a; b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle$ , then a given element in  $In \langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  is defined by

$$\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle = a|S(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n) - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a|+\mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}),$$

where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})$ .

Notice that if we suppose

$$\begin{aligned}(i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_t) &\in \mathcal{S}'(p_1, \dots, p_{s+t}), \\ (j_1, \dots, j_t | k_1, \dots, k_u) &\in \mathcal{S}'(q_1, \dots, q_{t+u}), \\ (i_1, \dots, i_s, j_1, \dots, j_t, k_1, \dots, k_u) &\in \mathcal{S}'(r_1, \dots, r_{s+t+u}),\end{aligned}$$

then by Lemma 1.1 and by definition we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(b_{(i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_t)}) + \mu(b_{(j_1, \dots, j_t | k_1, \dots, k_u)}) \\ \equiv \mu(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{s+t} | k_1, \dots, k_u)}) + \mu(b_{(i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_t)}) \\ + |b_{i_1}| + |b_{i_2}| + \dots + |b_{i_s}| - s\end{aligned}$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}d\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle \\ = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} a |S(b_\alpha) \\ - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\ - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, 2, \dots, n)} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{n-1})} (-1)^{\mu(b_\alpha) + \mu(b_\beta) + \mu} \\ \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(b_\beta) \\ = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{\mu(b_\alpha) + \mu(b_\gamma)} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_t} \rangle} \\ |S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-i}}) |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\ - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})} (-1)^{\mu(b_\alpha) + \mu(b_\beta) + \mu} \\ \times \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(b_\beta) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})$ ,  $\gamma = (p_1, \dots, p_t | q_1, \dots, q_{s-t})$ ,  $\mu = |b_{i_1}| + \dots + |b_{i_s}| - s$ , so  $\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle \in \ker d$ .

**LEMMA 2.1.** Suppose  $\langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle$  is defined, if  $a \sim \mathbf{0}$  in  $(M, d)$ , or for some  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $b_k \sim \mathbf{0}$  in  $(R, d)$ ; then  $\text{In}\langle a; b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle$  is trivial.

*Proof.* If  $a \sim \mathbf{0}$  in  $(M, d)$ , let  $d\bar{a} = a$ ; then for  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we define

$$\overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} = \bar{a} |S(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s}).$$

Then it is easy to check that this is well-defined.

If for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $d\bar{b}_k = b_k$ , then for  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\epsilon + \sum_{i_j < k} (|b_{i_j}| - 1)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, b_{i_2}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} \\ &= (-1)^{|a|} a |S(c_{i_1}, c_{i_2}, \dots, c_{i_s}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{\mu(c_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_t} \rangle} |S(c_{q_1}, \dots, c_{q_{s-t}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{S}'(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s)$  of element  $\alpha = (p_1, \dots, p_t | q_1, \dots, q_{s-t})$  such that  $k \in \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{s-t}\}$ ,  $c_{i_j} = b_{i_j}$  if  $i_j \neq k$ ,  $c_k = \bar{b}_k$ ,  $\epsilon = 0$  if  $k = n$ ,  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $k < n$ , then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{d \langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n \rangle} \\ &= a |S(b_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_n) + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \epsilon} a |S(c_\alpha) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \epsilon} \langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle |S(c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{n-s}}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + |a| + \mu_1 + \mu_2} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S \\ &\quad (b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})} (-1)^{\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \epsilon + |a| + \mu_1 + \mu(c_\gamma)} \\ &\quad \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(c_\gamma) \\ &= a |S(b_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_n) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \epsilon} a |S(c_\alpha) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + g_3 + \mu(b_\beta)} \\ &\quad \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_t} \rangle} |S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-t}}) |S(c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{n-s}}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \\ &\quad \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{n-a})} (-1)^{\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \epsilon + \mu_1 + \mu(c_\gamma) + |a|} \\
 & \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(c_\gamma) \\
 = & a |S(b_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_n) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{\mu'_1 + \mu(b_\alpha) + \epsilon} a |S(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_s}) |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu'_1 + \mu(b_\alpha) + \epsilon + \mu(c_\beta)} \\
 & \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_i} \rangle} |S(c_{q_1}, \dots, c_{q_{s-i}}) |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \\
 & \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\
 = & \langle a; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_n \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})$ ,  $\beta = (p_1, \dots, p_i | q_1, \dots, q_{s-i})$ ,  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (|b_i| - 1)$ ,  $\mu_1 = \sum_{j=1}^s (|b_{i_j}| - 1)$ ,  $\mu'_1 = \sum_{i_i < k} (|b_{i_i}| - 1)$ , and by definition  $\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \mu_1 + \mu_2 \equiv \mu(b_\alpha)$  and by differentiating part 2 of Theorem 1.1, we have  $\mu + \mu(c_\alpha) + \mu'_1 + \mu(b_\alpha) \equiv 0$ , so the lemma is proved. ■

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Suppose all the following Massey products are defined. Then*

1. 
$$\begin{aligned}
 & In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle + In \langle a'; b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \\
 & \subset In \langle a + a'; b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle \\
 & In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_n \rangle + In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b'_k, \dots, b_n \rangle \\
 & \subset In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_k + b'_k, \dots, b_n \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

2. For  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ,  $n > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n \rangle \\
 & \sim (-1)^{(|b_k|-1)(|b_{k+1}|-1) + \|b_k\| \|b_{k+1}\|} In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_n \rangle.
 \end{aligned}$$

3. 
$$In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_n \rangle |b_{n+1} \prec In \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_n |b_{n+1} \rangle.$$

Here  $A + B \subset C$  implies that for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a + b \in C$ ;  $A \prec B$  implies that for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a \sim b$ ;  $A \sim B$  implies that  $A \prec B$  and  $B \prec A$ ,  $b|A = \{b|a | a \in A\}$ .

*Proof.* Part 1 is obvious. We only prove parts 2 and 3.

Since  $(R, d)$  is the cobar complex of a Hopf algebra  $H$ , there is a group module morphism (see [3])

$$\theta: W \otimes_K R \otimes_K R \rightarrow R,$$

where  $W$  is the free resolution of the group  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . In fact for any  $x, y \in R$ ,  $S(x, y) = \theta(e_1 \otimes x \otimes y)$ , now define  $S_2(x, y) = \theta(e_2 \otimes x \otimes y)$ ; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} dS_2(x, y) - S_2(dx, y) - (-1)^{|x|} S_2(x, dy) \\ = S(x, y) + (-1)^{|x||y| + \|x\| \|y\|} S(y, x). \end{aligned}$$

To prove part 2, we define  $c_i = b_i, i \neq k, k + 1, c_k = S_2(b_k, b_{k+1})$ , since by part 3 of Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) - (-1)^\mu S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_n) \\ = S(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, S(b_k, b_{k+1}), b_{k+2}, \dots, b_n) \\ + (-1)^\mu S(b_1, \dots, b_{k-1}, S(b_{k+1}, b_k), b_{k+2}, \dots, b_n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = (|b_k| - 1)(|b_{k+1}| - 1) + \|b_k\| \|b_{k+1}\|$ , so by part 2 of Theorem 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} dS(c_1, \dots, c_{k-1}, c_k, c_{k+2}, \dots, c_n) \\ = (-1)^{|b_1| + \dots + |b_{k-1}| - k + \epsilon} (S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) \\ - (-1)^\mu S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_n)) \\ + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, k, k+2, \dots, n)} (-1)^{\mu(c_\alpha)} S(c_\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu = (|b_k| - 1)(|b_{k+1}| - 1) + \|b_k\| \|b_{k+1}\|$ ,  $\epsilon = 0$  if  $k < n$ ,  $\epsilon = 1$  if  $k = n$ .

It is easy to check that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n \rangle - (-1)^\mu \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_n \rangle \\ = a|S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) - (-1)^\mu a|S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_n) \\ - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_1} (-1)^{\mu(b_\alpha) + |a|} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} \\ - (-1)^\mu \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\ - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_2} (-1)^{\mu(b_\alpha) + |a|} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} (S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\ - (-1)^\mu S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})$ ,  $\mathcal{S}'_1$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $k, k+1 \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ ,  $\mathcal{S}'_2$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $k, k+1 \in \{j_1, \dots, j_{n-s}\}$ ,  $\mu = (|b_k| - 1)(|b_{k+1}| - 1) + \|b_k\| \|b_{k+1}\|$ .

For  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n-1$ ,  $k \in \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ ,  $k+1 \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_s\}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} & (-1)^{\mu_1} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} - (-1)^\mu \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} \\ &= (-1)^{|a|} a |S(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_s}) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, \dots, i_n)} (-1)^{\mu(c_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_i} \rangle} |S(c_{q_1}, \dots, c_{q_{s-i}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (p_1, \dots, p_t | q_1, \dots, q_{s-t})$ ,  $\mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, \dots, i_s)$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{S}'(i_1, \dots, i_s)$  of  $\alpha$  such that  $k \in \{q_1, \dots, q_{s-t}\}$ ,  $\mu = (|b_k| - 1)(|b_{k+1}| - 1) + \|b_k\| \|b_{k+1}\|$ .

$$\mu_1 = \begin{cases} \sum_{i_j < k} (|b_{i_j}| - 1) + 1, & \text{if } k < i_s \\ \sum_{i_j < k} (|b_{i_j}| - 1), & \text{if } k = i_s. \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that the above definition is well-defined for  $n = 2$ . Suppose it is well-defined for  $n < N$ ; then (we denote  $(1, \dots, k, k+2, \dots, N)$  simply by  $(1, \dots, N)$ ),

$$\begin{aligned} & d \left( (-1)^{|a| + \mu_1} a |S(c_1, \dots, c_N) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{N-s-1}}) \right) \\ &= a |S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_N) - (-1)^\mu a |S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_N) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha)} a |S(c_\alpha) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha)} \langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle |S(c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha) + |a| + \mu(i) + \mu_2} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | \\ & \quad \left( S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) - (-1)^\mu \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{N-s-1})} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha) + |a| + \mu(c_\gamma) + \mu(i)} \\
 & \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(c_\gamma) \\
 = & a|S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_N) - (-1)^\mu a|S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_N) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N))^c} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha)} a|S(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_s})|S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\beta) + \mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_i} \rangle} | \\
 & S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-i}})|S(c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | \\
 & (S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - (-1)^\mu S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}})) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{N-s-1})} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha) + |a| + \mu(c_\gamma) + \mu(i)} \\
 & \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} |S(c_\gamma) \\
 = & a|S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_N) - (-1)^\mu a|S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_N) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N))^c} (-1)^{\mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha)} a|S(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_s})|S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N))^c} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu_1 + \mu(c_\alpha) + \mu(c_\beta)} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_i} \rangle} | \\
 & S(c_{q_1}, \dots, c_{q_{s-i}})|S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | \\
 & (S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - (-1)^\mu S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}})) \\
 = & a|S(b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_N) - (-1)^\mu a|S(b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_N)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N))^c} (-1)^{\mu_3 + \mu(b_\beta)} a |S(c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_s})| S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N))^c} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'_k(i_1, \dots, i_s)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha) + \mu_3 + \mu(c_\beta)} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_t} \rangle} | \\
 & S(c_{q_1}, \dots, c_{q_{s-i}}) | S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_k(1, \dots, N)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | \\
 & (S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}}) \\
 & - (-1)^\mu S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_{j_{N-s-1}})) \\
 & = \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_N \rangle - (-1)^\mu \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_N \rangle,
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_{N-s-1})$ ,  $\beta = (p_1, \dots, p_t | q_1, \dots, q_{s-t})$ , and

$$\mu_1 = \begin{cases} \sum_{i < k} (|b_i| - 1) + 1 & \text{if } k < N - 1 \\ \sum_{i < k} (|b_i| - 1) & \text{if } k = N - 1 \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_2 = \begin{cases} \sum_{j_m < k} (|b_{j_m}| - 1) + 1 & \text{if } k < j_{N-s-1} \\ \sum_{j_m < k} (|b_{j_m}| - 1) & \text{if } k = j_{N-s-1} \end{cases}$$

$$\mu_3 = \begin{cases} \sum_{i_m < k} (|b_{i_m}| - 1) + 1 & \text{if } k < i_s \\ \sum_{i_m < k} (|b_{i_m}| - 1) & \text{if } k = i_s \end{cases}$$

$$\mu = (|b_k| - 1)(|b_{k+1}| - 1) + \|b_k\| \|b_{k+1}\|$$

$$\mu(i) = |b_{i_1}| + |b_{i_2}| + \dots + |b_{i_s}| - s.$$

Thus it is well-defined for  $n = N$ , and part 2 is proved.

To prove part 3, for  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s < n$ ,  $s = 1, \dots, n - 1$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s}, b_n | b_{n+1} \rangle} - \langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s}, b_n \rangle | b_{n+1} \\
 & = \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(i_1, \dots, i_s, n))^c} (-1)^{\mu(b_{\alpha'})} \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_t}, b_n \rangle} | S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-i}}, b_{n+1}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = (p_1, \dots, p_t, n|q_1, \dots, q_{s-t}), \alpha' = (p_1, \dots, p_t, n|q_1, \dots, q_{s-t}, n + 1), \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + |b_{i_1}| + \dots + |b_{i_s}| - s$ , and  $\mathcal{S}'_n(i_1, \dots, i_s, n)$  is the subset of  $\mathcal{S}'(i_1, \dots, i_s, n)$  of such  $\beta = (p_1, \dots, p_t|q_1, \dots, q_{s-t}, n)$ .

It is easy to check that if  $s = 1$ , the above definition is well-defined. Now suppose it is well-defined for  $s < n$ ; then

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n | b_{n+1} \rangle - \langle a; b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n \rangle | b_{n+1} \\ &= a | S(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n | b_{n+1}) - a | S(b_1, \dots, b_{n-1}, b_n) | b_{n+1} \\ & \quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(c_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; c_{i_1}, \dots, c_{i_s} \rangle} | S(c_{j_1}, \dots, c_{j_{s-i}}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{s-i}}) | b_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{\tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'})} a | S(b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s}, b_n) | S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}, b_{n+1}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | \\ & \quad (S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-1}}, b_n | b_{n+1}) - S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-1}}, b_n) | b_{n+1}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(c_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n | b_{n+1} \rangle} | \\ & \quad S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \tag{1} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \quad + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} | \\ & \quad S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) | b_{n+1} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{\tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'})} a | S(b_{\alpha'}) \\ & \quad - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n)} \sum_{\beta \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(j_1, \dots, j_{n-s-1}, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\beta'})} \\ & \quad \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_s} \rangle} | S(b_{\beta'}) \\ & \quad + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(i) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{(n+1|j_1, \dots, j_{n-s}})} \\ & \quad \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} | b_{n+1} | S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \tag{2} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(c_\alpha) + \tilde{\mu}(b_\gamma)} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_{i-1}}, b_n \rangle} |S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-i}}, b_{n+1})| S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \quad (3) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(b_\alpha)} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}})| b_{n+1} \\
= & \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{\tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'})} a |S(b_{\alpha'}) \\
& - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{S}'_n(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n)} (-1)^{|a| + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_\gamma)} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_i} \rangle} |S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-i-1}}, b_n)| S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}, b_{n+1}) \\
& - \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} \sum_{\gamma \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_\gamma)} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{p_1}, \dots, b_{p_{i-1}}, b_n \rangle} |S(b_{q_1}, \dots, b_{q_{s-i}})| S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}, b_{n+1}) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})} (-1)^{|a| + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{\beta'}) + \mu(i)} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} |S(b_{\beta'}) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(i) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{(n+1)j_1, \dots, j_{n-s}})} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} b_{n+1} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{S}'(j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})} (-1)^{|a| + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{\beta''}) + \mu(i)} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} |S(b_{\beta''}) \quad (4) \\
& + \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(i) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{(j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})|n+1})} \\
& \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} |S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}})| b_{n+1} \\
= & \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{\tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'})} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} | \\
& S(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}, b_{n+1})
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}'_n(1, \dots, n))^c} (-1)^{|a| + \mu(i) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'})} \overline{\langle a; b_{i_1}, \dots, b_{i_{s-1}}, b_n \rangle} |dS(b_{j_1}, \dots, b_{j_{n-s}}, b_{n+1})|,$$

where  $c_i = b_i$  if  $i < n$ ,  $c_n = b_n |b_{n+1}$ ,  $\alpha = (i_1, \dots, i_s | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})$ ,  $\gamma = (p_1, \dots, p_t | q_1, \dots, q_{s-t})$ . If  $\beta = (k_1, \dots, k_u | l_1, \dots, l_{n-s-u})$ , then

$$\beta' = (k_1, \dots, k_u | l_1, \dots, l_{n-s-u}, n + 1)$$

$$\beta'' = (k_1, \dots, k_u, n + 1 | l_1, \dots, l_{n-s-u}).$$

$\mu(i) = |b_{i_1}| + \dots + |b_{i_s}| - s$ , but the sign function is very complicated, and so we only give the computations of some of them:

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(c_\alpha) &= \mu(c_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \quad (\alpha \in (\mathcal{S}_n(1, \dots, n))^c) \\ &\equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (c_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + \sigma_f (c_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\ &\equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(n+1, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\ &\quad + |b_{j_1}| + \dots + |b_{j_{n-s}}| - n + s + \sigma_f (b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + |b_{n+1}| \\ &\equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(n+1, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\ &\quad + |b_{j_1}| + \dots + |b_{j_{n-s}}| - n + s \\ &\quad + \sigma_f (b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s}, n+1)}) + |b_n| + |b_{j_{n-s}}| + |b_{n+1}| - 2 \\ &\equiv |b_{i_1}| + \dots + |b_{i_s}| + |b_n| - s + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{(n+1 | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + 1 \\ &\equiv 1 + \mu(i) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\alpha'}) + \mu(b_{(n+1 | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}). \end{aligned}$$

So (1) = (2) + (3), and

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(c_\alpha) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{\gamma'}) &\quad (\gamma \in (\mathcal{S}_n(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n))^c) \\ &= \mu(c_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + \tilde{\mu}(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n | q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1)}) \\ &\equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (c_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + \sigma_f (c_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\ &\quad + \mu(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n | q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1)}) + |b_{p_1}| + \dots \\ &\quad + |b_{p_{i-s}}| + |b_{q_1}| + \dots + |b_{q_{s-i}}| - s + 1 \\ &\equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\ &\quad + (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(n+1, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
& + |b_{j_1}| + \cdots + |b_{j_{n-s}}| - n + s + \sigma_f(b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\
& + |b_{n+1}| + (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n, q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1)}) \\
& + \sigma_f(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n | q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1)}) + |b_{p_1}| + \cdots \\
& + |b_{p_{i-1}}| + |b_{q_1}| + \cdots + |b_{q_{s-i}}| - s + 1 \\
& \equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n, q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\
& + \sigma_f(b_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1}, n | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) + \sigma_f(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n | q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1)}) \\
& + |b_{p_1}| + \cdots + |b_{p_{i-1}}| + |b_{q_1}| + \cdots + |b_{q_{s-i}}| + |b_{n+1}| + |b_{j_1}| + \cdots \\
& + |b_{j_{n-s}}| - n + 1 \\
& \equiv (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n, w_1, \dots, w_{n-i}, n+1)}) \\
& + (\mu_f + \mu_{f'}) (b_{(q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\
& + \sigma_f(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-i}, n+1)}) \\
& + \sigma(b_{(q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1 | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\
& + |b_{q_1}| + \cdots + |b_{q_{s-i}}| + |b_n| - s + t + |b_{j_1}| \\
& + \cdots + |b_{j_{n-s}}| - n + s \\
& \equiv \tilde{\mu}(b_{(p_1, \dots, p_{i-1}, n | w_1, \dots, w_{n-i}, n+1)}) + \mu(b_{(q_1, \dots, q_{s-i}, n+1 | j_1, \dots, j_{n-s})}) \\
& + |b_{p_1}| + \cdots + |b_{p_{i-1}}| + |b_n| - t + 1,
\end{aligned}$$

where  $(q_1, \dots, q_{s-t}, n+1, j_1, \dots, j_{n-s}) \in \mathcal{S}(w_1, \dots, w_{n-t}, n+1)$ , so (3) = (4). Other signs are direct checkings, so part 3 is proved.  $\blacksquare$

When  $(M, d)$  is a left DGA-module over  $(R, d)$ , we have all the corresponding definitions for  $(M, d)$ . We only give the corresponding result.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose all the following Massey products are defined; Then*

1. 
$$\begin{aligned}
& In\langle b_n, \dots, b_1; a \rangle + In\langle b_n, \dots, b_1; a' \rangle \\
& \quad \subset In\langle b_n, \dots, b_1; a + a' \rangle \\
& In\langle b_n, \dots, b_k, \dots, b_1; a \rangle + In\langle b_n, \dots, b'_k, \dots, b_1; a \rangle \\
& \quad \subset In\langle b_n, \dots, b_k + b'_k, \dots, b_1; a \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

2. For  $1 \leq k \leq n - 1$ ,  $n > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{In}\langle b_n, \dots, b_{k+1}, b_k, \dots, b_1; a \rangle \\ & \sim (-1)^{(b|b_k|-1)(b_{k+1}|-1)+\|b_k\|\|b_{k+1}\|} \text{In}\langle b_n, \dots, b_k, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_1; a \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3.  $b_{n+1}| \text{In}\langle b_n, \dots, b_1; a \rangle < \text{In}\langle b_{n+1}|b_n, \dots, b_1; a \rangle$ . Here  $A + B \subset C$  implies that for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a + b \in C$ ;  $A < B$  implies that for any  $a \in A$ , there exists  $b \in B$  such that  $a \sim b$ ;  $A \sim B$  implies that  $A < B$  and  $B < A$ ,  $A|b = \{a|b|a \in A\}$ .

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This paper was written under the guidance of Professor Zhou Xueguang, whom I thank here.

## REFERENCES

1. J. F. Adams, On the structure and application of the Steenrod algebra, *Math. Helv.* **32** (1958), 180–247.
2. S. Mac Lane, "Homology," Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York, 1963.
3. J. P. May, A general algebraic approach to Steenrod algebra, in "Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 168," pp. 153–231, Springer-Verlag, Berlin/New York.
4. J. Milnor and J. C. Moore, On the structure of Hopf algebras, *Ann. of Math.* **81** (1965), 211–264.
5. Z. Qi-Bing, "On the Cohomology of Hopf Algebras," Ph.D. thesis.
6. Z. Xueguang, Higher cohomology operations that detect homotopy classes, in "Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1340," pp. 416–436, Springer-Verlag/Berlin, New York.