ON THE BOUSFIELD CLASSES OF H_{∞} -RING SPECTRA

JEREMY HAHN

ABSTRACT. We prove that any K(n)-acyclic, H_{∞} -ring spectrum is K(n+1)-acyclic, affirming an old conjecture of Mark Hovey.

Contents

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Useful reductions	2
3.	Power operations for H_{∞} -E-algebras	3
4.	An explicit formula for a reduced power operation	4
5.	A proof of Theorem 1.2	8
References		9

Throughout this paper, all spectra will be p-local for a fixed prime p.

1. Introduction

A bedrock result of chromatic homotopy theory is that any K(n)-acyclic, finite spectrum is K(n-1)-acyclic. Our goal here is to prove that H_{∞} -ring spectra enjoy the opposite phenomenon:

Theorem 1.1. Suppose R is a K(n)-acyclic, H_{∞} -ring spectrum. Then R is K(n+1)-acyclic.

Corollary 1.1.1. Suppose R is a complex-orientable, H_{∞} -ring spectrum that kills a finite complex. Then R has the Bousfield class of E(n) for some n.

These results settle 'Miscellaneous Problem 2' from Mark Hovey's 1999 list of unsolved problems in algebraic topology [Hov99].

We will prove Theorem 1.1 for n > 0. The theorem is already known when n = 0, where it is a consequence of an old conjecture due to J.P. May:

May Nilpotence Conjecture [MNN15, Theorem 2.1]. If R is an H_{∞} -ring spectrum, and $R \otimes \mathbb{Q} \simeq 0$, then R is K(n)-acyclic for all n > 0.

The first written proof of May's conjecture is due to Mathew, Naumann, and Noel [MNN15], and these authors found spectacular applications in joint work with Clausen [NN16].

Let E denote the height n+1 Morava E-theory with $\pi_0 E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1, u_2, ..., u_n]]$. Standard techniques, which we review in Section 2, reduce Theorem 1.1 to the following Theorem 1.2:

Theorem 1.2. Suppose R is a K(n+1)-local, H_{∞} -E-algebra such that, in $\pi_0 R$, some power of u_n is in the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$. Then 1 is in the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$.

1

2 JEREMY HAHN

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 is by infinite descent: we use power operations to show that, if some power of u_n lies in $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$, then so must some lower power. This is analogous to the technique featured in [MNN15].

Acknowledgments. I heartily thank Akhil Mathew for introducing me to this problem and pointing out its appearance on Mark Hovey's webpage. Thanks are due to Eric Peterson, Peter May, Denis Nardin, and my advisor Mike Hopkins for helpful conversations. The author was supported by the NSF Graduate Fellowship under Grant DGE-1144152.

2. Useful reductions

In this section we reduce Theorem 1.1 to Theorem 1.2. Since the May Nilpotence Conjecture is proved [MNN15], we need only prove Theorem 1.1 when n > 0.

Fix such an integer n > 0 for the remainder of the paper. Recall that, for us, E denotes a height n+1 variant of Morava E-theory with $\pi_0 E \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1, u_2, ..., u_n]]$. For more details on E, see Section 3

Lemma 2.1. Suppose R is a spectrum. Then R is K(n+1)-acyclic if and only if $R \wedge E$ is.

Proof. This is Proposition 3.4 of [HS99]. The argument is that K(n+1) is a field spectrum, and so $K(n+1) \wedge E$ splits as a wedge of suspensions of K(n+1). It follows that $K(n+1) \wedge E \wedge R$ is a wedge of suspensions of $K(n+1) \wedge R$.

By an H_{∞} -E-algebra we simply mean an H_{∞} -ring spectrum R equipped with a map of H_{∞} -rings $E \to R$. A small piece of this structure is a ring map $\pi_0(E) \to \pi_0(R)$, which allows us to speak of $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \pi_0(R)$.

Lemma 2.2. Suppose R is a K(n)-acyclic, H_{∞} -E-algebra. Then, in $\pi_0(R)$, some power of u_n is in the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$.

Proof. Let \mathbb{S}/I denote a type n Moore spectrum $\mathbb{S}/(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, ..., v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$, as in [HS99, §4]. The spectrum $X = R \wedge \mathbb{S}/I$ is K(n)-acyclic by assumption, but also K(j)-acyclic for j < n. Since R is L_{n+1} -local, X is L_{n+1} -local, but $L_nX \simeq 0$. By [HS99, 7.10], $L_n^fX \simeq 0$. Also by [HS99, 7.10],

$$L_n^f(R \wedge \mathbb{S}/I) \simeq R \wedge T(\mathbb{S}/I),$$

where T(S/I) is the telescope of a v_n -self map on S/I.

On π_0 , the map $R \wedge \mathbb{S}/I \to R \wedge T(\mathbb{S}/I)$ inverts u_n . Since the image of this map is null, it follows that some power of u_n is 0 in $\pi_0(R \wedge \mathbb{S}/I)$.

To finish the proof, I will show that any element in the kernel of $\pi_0 R \to \pi_0(R \wedge S/I)$ is a member of the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$. Indeed, we can decompose this map as a composition

$$\pi_0(R) \to \pi_0(R \wedge \mathbb{S}/p^{i_0}) \to \pi_0\left(R \wedge \mathbb{S}/(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1})\right) \to \cdots \to \pi_0(R \wedge \mathbb{S}/I).$$

The kernel of the map that kills $v_k^{i_k}$ consists of elements that are multiples of $u_k^{i_k}$, and the result follows.

For the moment assume Theorem 1.2, which the rest of the paper is devoted to proving. We will deduce Theorem 1.1 from this assumption.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. If R is any K(n)-acyclic, H_{∞} -ring spectrum, then $R \wedge E$ will be a K(n)-acyclic, H_{∞} -E-algebra. By Lemma 2.2, some power of u_n is in the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1}) \subseteq \pi_0(R \wedge E)$. The same fact must be true in $\pi_0 L_{K(n+1)}(R \wedge E)$. By Theorem 1.2, 1 is in the ideal

 $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1}) \subseteq \pi_0(L_{K(n+1)}(R \wedge E))$. It follows that, upon smashing $L_{K(n+1)}(R \wedge E)$ with any type (n+1)-Moore spectrum M, one obtains 0. In particular, $L_{K(n+1)}(R \wedge E)$ is acyclic with respect to the telescope of M, and hence K(n+1)-acyclic. This implies that $R \wedge E$ is K(n+1)-acyclic. By Lemma 2.1, R is itself K(n+1)-acyclic.

Corollary 1.1.1. Let R be a complex-orientable, H_{∞} -ring spectrum that kills a finite complex. Then R has the Bousfield class of E(n) for some n.

Proof. This follows immediately from [Hov95, 1.11], which states that R has the Bousfield class of some wedge of Morava K-theories.

3. Power operations for H_{∞} -E-algebras

Recall that, given any height n+1 formal group \mathbb{G}_0 over $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{F}_p)$, there is a universal deformation \mathbb{G}_E defined over $\operatorname{Spf}\mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1,u_2,...,u_n]]$. By work of Goerss and Hopkins [GH04, GH05], there is an associated \mathbb{E}_{∞} -ring spectrum E, a height n+1 Morava E-theory. The coefficient ring $E_0=\pi_0E\cong\mathbb{Z}_p[[u_1,u_2,...,u_n]]$.

Let BC_p denote the classifying space of the cyclic group with p-elements. As noted in [HKR00, §5],

$$E^{0}(BC_{p}) \cong E_{0}[[a]]/[p](a).$$

There is a stable transfer map $\Sigma_+^{\infty}BC_p \to \Sigma_+^{\infty}Be \simeq \mathbb{S}$. This yields a map $E_0 \to E^0(BC_p)$, the image of which generates an ideal $\operatorname{tr} \subset E^0(BC_p)$. A simple calculation with a Gysin sequence [HKR00, 6.15] shows that $\operatorname{tr} = \left(\frac{[p](a)}{a}\right)$.

The total power operation is a ring homomorphism

$$P: E_0 \to E^0(BC_p)/\operatorname{tr}$$
.

In [AHS04, §3], the power operation is described in terms of the moduli problem associated to the ring

$$D = E^0(BC_p)/\mathrm{tr} \cong E_0[[a]]/\left(\frac{[p](a)}{a}\right).$$

To summarize their work, the E_0 -algebra morphism $E_0 \to D$ specifies a formal group $\mathbb{G}_{\text{source}}$ over Spf(D). There is an isogeny of formal groups $\mathbb{G}_{\text{source}} \to \mathbb{G}_{\text{target}}$ over Spf(D), and this latter formal group is specified by the ring homomorphism $P: E_0 \to D$. The interested reader may consult [AHS04] or [Str97] to learn more.

Now, suppose that x is an element of $E_0^{\vee}(BC_p) = \pi_0(L_{K(n+1)}E \wedge \Sigma_+^{\infty}BC_p)$. For each element $\alpha \in E^0(BC_p)$, we obtain a diagram

$$E \wedge \Sigma_{+}^{\infty} BC_{p} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \alpha} E \wedge E$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{m}$$

$$\mathbb{S} \xrightarrow{x} L_{K(n+1)} (E \wedge \Sigma_{+}^{\infty} BC_{p}) \xrightarrow{\cdots} E,$$

giving an element in E_0 . Assembling this construction over all α gives an E_0 -module map

$$\phi_x: E^0(BC_p) \to E_0,$$

which is the cap product with the class x.

In the case that the transfer ideal is in the kernel of ϕ_x , we obtain an additive operation

$$\widetilde{\phi}_x: E_0 \stackrel{P}{\to} E^0(BC_p)/\operatorname{tr} \stackrel{\phi_x}{\to} E_0.$$

Suppose now that R is a homotopy commutative E-algebra, with associated homomorphisms $\iota: E_0 \to \pi_0 R$ and $\tau: E^0(BC_p) \to R^0(BC_p)$. If $\alpha \in E^0(BC_p)$ is such that $\tau(\alpha) = 0$, then in the diagram

$$E \wedge \Sigma_{+}^{\infty} BC_{p} \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \alpha} E \wedge E \xrightarrow{1 \wedge \iota} E \wedge R$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow^{m} \qquad \downarrow$$

$$L_{K(n+1)}(E \wedge \Sigma_{+}^{\infty} BC_{p}) \xrightarrow{\iota} E \xrightarrow{\iota} R,$$

the composite $E \wedge \Sigma_{+}^{\infty} BC_{p} \to R$ is null. If R is furthermore K(n+1)-local, the map

$$L_{K(n+1)}(E \wedge \Sigma_{+}^{\infty}BC_{p}) \longrightarrow R$$

must also be null. When R is a K(n+1)-local, H_{∞} -E-algebra, there is a commutative diagram of ring homomorphisms

$$\pi_0 R \longrightarrow R^0(BC_p)/\operatorname{tr}$$
 $\downarrow \uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow$
 $\pi_0 E \stackrel{P}{\longrightarrow} E^0(BC_p)/\operatorname{tr}$

The combined structure ensures that, if $\iota(\beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in E_0$, then $\iota(\widetilde{\phi}_x(\beta)) = 0$ as well.

4. An explicit formula for a reduced power operation

Here we remark that, with careful choice of coordinate, one can explicitly describe the total power operation P (modulo certain ideals). We follow [Str97, §15] to select a height n+1 formal group law over \mathbb{F}_p and a coordinate on the resultant \mathbb{G}_E . The multiplication on \mathbb{G}_E is then presented by a formal group law $F(x,y) \in E_0[[x,y]]$ with properties outlined in the following proposition:

Proposition 4.1. [Str97, 15.6] For any integer m > 0, let C_{p^m} denote the polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ defined by

$$C_{p^m}(x,y) = \frac{x^{p^m} + y^{p^m} - (x+y)^{p^m}}{p}.$$

Then,

(1) For any 0 < k < n,

$$F(x,y) \equiv x + y + u_k C_{p^k}(x,y) \text{ modulo } (u_1, u_2, ..., u_{k-1}) + (x,y)^{p^k+1}$$

(2)
$$F(x,y) \equiv x + y + C_{p^{n+1}}(x,y) \text{ modulo } (u_1, u_2, ..., u_n) + (x,y)^{p^{n+1}+1}.$$

Corollary 4.1.1. For any integer i, we use $[i]_F(x)$ to denote the i-series of x. For $i \geq 0$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, let $\gamma_{i,k}$ denote $\frac{i-i^{p^k}}{n}$. Then,

$$[i]_F(x) \equiv ix + u_k \gamma_{i,k} x^{p^k} \mod (p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{k-1}, x^{p^k+1}).$$

In particular, $[p]_F(x) \equiv u_k x^{p^k}$. Furthermore,

$$[i]_F(x) \equiv ix + \gamma_{i,k} x^{p^{n+1}} \mod (p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_n, x^{p^{n+1}+1}),$$

and so, modulo this ideal, $[p]_F(x) \equiv x^{p^{n+1}}$.

Proof. See also [Rez98, 5.7]. This is a simple induction on i, the statement being true when i = 0. For larger i, setting $u_{n+1} = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} [i]_F(x) &= F([i-1]_F(x), x) \\ &\equiv [i-1]_F(x) + x + u_k C_{p^k}(x, [i-1]_F(x)) \\ &\equiv (i-1)x + u_k \gamma_{i-1,k} x^{p^k} + x + u_k C_{p^k}(x, (i-1)x + u_k \gamma_{i-1,k} x^{p^k}) \\ &\equiv (i-1)x + u_k \gamma_{i-1,k} x^{p^k} + x + x^{p^k} u_k \left(\frac{(i-1)^{p^k} + 1 - i^{p^k}}{p} \right) \\ &= ix + u_k x^{p^k} \left(\frac{p \gamma_{i-1,k} + (i-1)^{p^k} + 1 - i^{p^k}}{p} \right) \\ &= ix + u_k x^{p^k} \left(\frac{(i-1) - (i-1)^{p^k} + (i-1)^{p^k} + 1 - i^{p^k}}{p} \right) \\ &= ix + u_k x^{p^k} \left(\frac{i - i^{p^k}}{p} \right) \\ &= ix + u_k \gamma_{i,k} x^{p^k}, \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

Recall that the total power operation is a ring map

$$P: E_0 \to D \cong E_0[[a]]/([p](a)/a).$$

The ring homomorphism P classifies a formal group law F' on D. The natural E_0 -algebra map $E_0 \to D$ classifies a second formal group law on D, which, by abuse of notation, we denote F.

Lemma 4.2. There is an equality of elements in D[[x]],

$$\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} ([p]_F(x) -_F [k]_F a) = [p]_{F'} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (x -_F [k]_F a) \right)$$

Proof. In the language of Section 3, we have a diagram of formal groups over Spf(D)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{source}} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{target}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{source}} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_{\mathrm{target}} \end{array}$$

Applying global sections, we obtain a commuting diagram of E_0 -algebra homomorphisms

$$D[[y]] \longrightarrow D[[x]]$$

$$y \mapsto [p]_F(y) \qquad x \mapsto [p]_{F'}(x) \qquad D[[y]] \longrightarrow D[[x]]$$

By [Str97, 7.13], both horizontal arrows send y to $\prod_{k=0}^{p-1} (x - F[k]_F a)$.

Remark 4.3. As elements of D,

$$\prod_{i=1}^{p-1} ([-i]_F a) = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} ([i]_F a).$$

We denote their common value by Ψ .

Proposition 4.4. For $0 < k \le n$,

$$P(u_k)\Psi^{p^k} \equiv -u_k\Psi \ modulo \ (p, P(u_1), P(u_2), ..., P(u_{k-1}), u_1, u_2, ..., u_{k-1}).$$

Proof. Corollary 4.1.1 implies both of the following equations:

$$[p]_{F'}(x) \equiv P(u_k)x^{p^k} \text{ modulo } (p, P(u_1), ..., P(u_{k-1}), x^{p^k+1}), \text{ and}$$

 $[p]_F(x) \equiv u_k x^{p^k} \text{ modulo } (p, u_1, ..., u_{k-1}, x^{p^k+1}).$

In particular, both of the equations hold modulo $(p, u_1, ..., u_{k-1}, P(u_1), ..., P(u_{k-1}), x^{p^k+1})$, which is also where we perform the following calculations:

$$[p]_{F'}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{p-1}(x-F[i]_F a)\right) = [p]_{F'}\left(x \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{p-1}(x-F[i]_F a)\right)^{p^k}$$

$$\equiv P(u_k)x^{p^k}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{p-1}-F[i]_F a\right)^{p^k}$$

$$\equiv P(u_k)x^{p^k}\Psi^{p^k}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} ([p]_F(x) -_F [i]_F a) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (u_k x^{p^k} -_F [i]_F a)$$

$$\equiv u_k x^{p^k} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (u_k x^{p^k} -_F [i]_F a)$$

$$\equiv u_k x^{p^k} \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} (-_F [i]_F a)$$

$$\equiv u_k x^{p^k} \Psi$$

The result follows by Lemma 4.2.

In the remainder of this section, we attempt to reduce the complexity of the total power operation $P: E_0 \to D$ by modding out both the domain and codomain by $(p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1})$. It is not possible to do this directly because P is not an E_0 -algebra map, and indeed we will need to mod out more of the codomain than just $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$.

Proposition 4.5. In the ring $E_0[[a]]/(p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n, a]]$, the element $[p]_F(a)$ is a product

$$[p]_F(a) = Ua^{p^n}g(a),$$

where

- (1) U is a unit in $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n, a]]$,
- (2) g(a) is a monic polynomial in $(\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]])[a]$ of degree $p^{n+1} p^n$,
- (3) $g(a) \equiv a^{p^{n+1}-p^n} \mod u_n$, and
- (4) The constant term of g(a) is divisible by u_n but not u_n^2 .

Proof. By Corollary 4.1.1, $[p]_F(a) \equiv u_n a^{p^n}$ modulo a^{p^n+1} . This means that we may factor

$$[p]_F(a) = a^{p^n}(u_n + aq(a))$$

for some power series $q(a) \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n, a]]$. Corollary 4.1.1 also states that $[p]_F(a) \equiv a^{p^{n+1}}$ modulo $(u_n, a^{p^{n+1}+1})$, and so the Weierstrass preparation theorem [HKR00, 5.1] implies

$$u_n + aq(a) = Ug(a)$$

for some unit U and some monic polynomial g(a) of degree $a^{p^{n+1}-p^n}$. Modding out both sides by a, we learn that the constant term of g(a) is a unit times u_n . Modding out both sides by u_n , we arrive at an equation $\overline{Ug(a)} = a^{p^{n+1}-p^n} + \mathcal{O}(a^{p^{n+1}-p^n+1})$, where the right-hand-side has no terms of degree less than $p^{n+1} - p^n$. By looking at each coefficient of $\overline{g(a)}$ in turn, starting with the constant coefficient, we learn that $\overline{g(a)} = a^{p^{n+1}-p^n}$.

Corollary 4.5.1. The polynomial g(a) is irreducible, and $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ is a DVR valued by powers of its maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}=(a)$. The element u_n is in $\mathfrak{m}^{p^{n+1}-p^n}$ but no higher power of \mathfrak{m} . The element Ψ is not 0 inside $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$.

Proof. The ring $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]$ is a UFD, and so Eisenstein's criterion applies to show that g(a) is irreducible. It follows that the quotient $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ is a local domain. When we further mod out by a, we get $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]/\overline{g(a)} \cong \mathbb{F}_p$, since $\overline{g(a)}$ is a unit times u_n . Thus, $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ is a DVR with maximal ideal generated by a. We have that

 $u_n = (\text{some unit})a^{p^{n+1}-p^n} + (\text{terms of strictly higher valuation than } u_n),$

and so u_n must have valuation $p^{n+1} - p^n$.

To see that Ψ is not zero, recall that

$$\Psi = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} [k]_F(a),$$

and so can only be 0 if one of its factors is 0. However, for each $1 \le k < p$, $[k]_F(a) = ka + ...$ has valuation 1.

By Proposition 4.5, we may compose with a quotient homomorphism to obtain a reduced power operation

$$N: E_0 \stackrel{P}{\to} D \to \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a).$$

Proposition 4.6. For $1 \le i \le n-1$, $N(u_i) = 0$. Also, N(p) = 0.

Proof. Since N is a ring homomorphism, N(p) = p. That N(p) = 0 follows, since p = 0 in $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$. The rest we prove by induction on i, assuming that $N(u_1),...,N(u_{i-1})$ are all zero. Since

$$P(u_i)\Psi^{p^i} \equiv u_i\Psi \text{ modulo } (p, P(u_1), P(u_2), ..., P(u_{i-1}), u_1, u_2, ..., u_{i-1}),$$

we may conclude that

$$N(u_i)\Psi^{p^i}=0.$$

By Corollary 4.5.1, $N(u_i) = 0$.

Corollary 4.6.1. The ring homomorphism $N: E_0 \to \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ factors through a ring homomorphism

$$\overline{N}: E_0/(p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]] \to \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$$

Proposition 4.7. $\overline{N}(u_n)\Psi^{p^n-1}=u_n$

Proof. We have that

$$P(u_n)\Psi^{p^n} \equiv u_n\Psi \text{ modulo } (p, P(u_1), P(u_2), ..., P(u_{n-1}), u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}).$$

The result follows from Corollary 4.5.1.

5. A proof of Theorem 1.2

In the previous section, we learned that the total power operation $P: E_0 \to D$ induces a ring homomorphism $\overline{N}: \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]] \to \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ such that $\overline{N}(u_n)\Psi^{p^n-1} = u_n$.

By Corollary 4.5.1, the ring $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ is a valuation ring. We define the **weight** $\operatorname{wt}(f)$ of $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$ such that $\operatorname{wt}(u_n) = 1$ and $\operatorname{wt}(a) = \frac{1}{p^{n+1}-p^n}$. In other words, $\operatorname{wt}(f)$ is just a rescaling of the natural valuation of f by powers of the maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (a)$. We also use $\operatorname{wt}(f)$ to refer to the u_n -valuation of any $f \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$.

Proposition 5.1. $wt(\Psi) = \frac{p-1}{p^{n+1}-p^n}$.

Proof. We have that $[i]_F(a) = ia + \mathcal{O}(a^2)$, and so for 0 < i < p this has weight $\frac{1}{p^{n+1}-p^n}$. By definition, Ψ is the product of all of these elements and the result follows.

Proposition 5.2. $\overline{N}(u_n)$ has weight $\frac{p-1}{p^{n+1}-p^n}$

Proof. We have that

$$1 = \operatorname{wt}(u_n) = \operatorname{wt}(\overline{N}(u_n)\Psi^{p^n-1}) = \operatorname{wt}(\overline{N}(u_n)) + \frac{(p^n - 1)(p - 1)}{p^{n+1} - p^n},$$

so

$$\operatorname{wt}(\overline{N}(u_n)) = \frac{p^{n+1} - p^n - (p^n - 1)(p - 1)}{p^{n+1} - p^n} = \frac{p - 1}{p^{n+1} - p^n}.$$

Corollary 5.2.1. For any non-zero power series $z \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$ of weight at least 1, the weight of $\overline{N}(z)$ is less than the weight of z.

Proof. This follows from the facts that $\operatorname{wt}(u_n^t) = t \operatorname{wt}(u_n)$ and $\operatorname{wt}(f_1 + f_2) = \operatorname{wt}(f_1) + \operatorname{wt}(f_2)$ whenever $\operatorname{wt}(f_1) \neq \operatorname{wt}(f_2)$.

Recall from the end of Section 3 that, for every element $x \in E_0^{\vee}(BC_p) = \pi_0 L_{K(n+1)}(E \wedge \Sigma_+^{\infty} BC_p)$, there is an E_0 -module homomorphism $\phi_x : E^0(BC_p) \to E_0$. We can tensor over E_0 with the module $E_0/(p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{p-1})$ to obtain a module homomorphism

$$\overline{\phi}_x : \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n, a]]/([p](a)) \to \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]].$$

Proposition 5.3. For any non-zero z in $\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$ of weight at least 1, there exists an $x \in E_0^{\vee}(BC_p)$ such that:

• The E_0 -module map $\overline{\phi}_x : \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n, a]]/([p](a)) \to \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$ kills g(a).

• The resultant additive operation

$$\mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]] \xrightarrow{\overline{N}} \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a) \xrightarrow{\overline{\phi}_{\pi}} \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$$

sends z to a power series of strictly smaller weight.

Proof. The operation $x \mapsto \phi_x$ gives a map $E_0^{\vee}(BC_p) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{E_0-\operatorname{modules}}(E^0(BC_p), E)$. By, e.g. [Str98, §3], this map is in fact bijective. Now, $\overline{N}(z) \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]/g(a)$, has a unique representative polynomial $f(a) \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]][a]$ of degree $< p^{n+1} - p^n$. By Corollary 5.2.1, there is some $i < p^{n+1} - p^n$ such that the coefficient of a^i in f (an element $q \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$) has weight less than $\operatorname{wt}(z)$. I claim that there is a choice of x which will induce an additive operation sending z to q, finishing the proof. Indeed, Proposition 4.5 implies that there is a unique $x \in \operatorname{Hom}_{E_0-\operatorname{modules}}(E^0(BC_p), E)$ sending a^i to 1, sending all other a^j for $0 \le j < p^{n+1} - p^n$ to 0, and killing $g(a), ag(a), a^2g(a), \cdots$.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose R is a K(n+1)-local, H_{∞} -E-algebra such that, in $\pi_0 R$, some power of u_n is in the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$. Then 1 is in the ideal $(p, u_1, ..., u_{n-1})$.

Proof. The natural E_0 -algebra morphism $\iota: E_0 \to \pi_0 R$ yields an E_0 -algebra morphism

$$\overline{\iota}: \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]] \to \pi_0 R/(p, u_1, u_2, ..., u_{n-1}).$$

By assumption, there is some non-zero $z \in \mathbb{F}_p[[u_n]]$ that is sent to 0 by $\overline{\iota}$. Choose such a z of minimal weight ≥ 1 . The previous proposition provides an additive operation $E_0 \to E_0$ that sends z to a power series \hat{z} of smaller weight. As explained at the end of Section 3, the H_{∞} -structure ensures $\overline{\iota}(\hat{z}) = 0$. By the minimality of wt(z), it must be that wt(\hat{z}) = 0, so \hat{z} is just a unit in \mathbb{F}_p .

References

- [AHS04] Matthew Ando, Michael J. Hopkins, and Neil P. Strickland, The sigma orientation is an H_{∞} map, Amer. J. Math. 126 (2004), no. 2, 247–334. MR 2045503
- [GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins, Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra, Structured ring spectra, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 315, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004, pp. 151–200. MR 2125040
- [GH05] P. Goerss and M. Hopkins, *Moduli problems for structured ring spectra*, Available as of Nov. 2016 at http://www.math.northwestern.edu/~pgoerss/spectra/obstruct.pdf, 2005.
- [HKR00] Michael J. Hopkins, Nicholas J. Kuhn, and Douglas C. Ravenel, Generalized group characters and complex oriented cohomology theories, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 13 (2000), no. 3, 553–594 (electronic). MR 1758754
- [Hov95] Mark Hovey, Bousfield localization functors and Hopkins' chromatic splitting conjecture, The Čech centennial (Boston, MA, 1993), Contemp. Math., vol. 181, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 225–250. MR 1320994
- [Hov99] Mark Hovey, Algebraic Topology Problem List, Available as of Nov. 2016 at http://mhovey.web.wesleyan.edu/problems/, 1999.
- [HS99] Mark Hovey and Neil P. Strickland, Morava K-theories and localisation, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 139 (1999), no. 666, viii+100. MR 1601906
- [MNN15] Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel, On a nilpotence conjecture of J. P. May, J. Topol. 8 (2015), no. 4, 917–932. MR 3431664
- [NN16] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, Niko Naumann, and Justin Noel, Descent in algebraic K-theory and a conjecture of Ausoni-Rognes, arXiv preprint arXiv:1606.03328, 2016.
- [Rez98] Charles Rezk, Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem, Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997), Contemp. Math., vol. 220, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998, pp. 313–366. MR 1642902
- [Str97] Neil P. Strickland, Finite subgroups of formal groups, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 121 (1997), no. 2, 161–208.
 MR 1473889
- [Str98] N. P. Strickland, Morava E-theory of symmetric groups, Topology 37 (1998), no. 4, 757–779. MR 1607736

10 JEREMY HAHN

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: jhahn01@math.harvard.edu}$

Department of Mathematics, Harvard University, One Oxford Street, Cambridge, MA 02138 $\,$